free production makes a new start. In the shops of our

There is however a regrettable omission in the popular towns there are enough clothes to clothe everyone, stored meetings which we want to point out. This is that nothing, there unsold, next to general poverty. There are even enough or almost nothing, is done about the countryside. Everything is confined to the towns. The countryside might not exist luxury goods for everyone to choose according to taste.' That-judging by what is said at the meetings-is how for the workers in the towns. Even the speakers who talk the proletarian mass imagines the revolution: the immediate about the character of the next revolution avoid mentioning introduction of anarchist communism, and the free organization the countryside and the land. They do not know the peasant of production. These two points are settled, and in this or his desires, and they don't venture to speak in his name. respect the communes of the revolution which is knocking Is it necessary to insist at length on the danger ar g on the door will no longer repeat the errors of their fore- from this? The emancipation of the proletariat will not be runners which by shedding their blood so generously have even possible so long at the revolutionary movement does cleared the way for the future. not include the villages. The insurgent communes will not be able to hold out for even a year if the insurrection is not at the same time spread in the villages. When taxes, mortgages, and rents are abolished, when the institutions The same agreement has not yet been reached-though it which levy them are scattered to the four winds, it is certain is not far away-on another point, no less important, on the that the villages will understand the advantages of this question of government. revolution. But in any case it would be unwise to count It is known that there are two schools of thought face to on the diffusion of the revolutionary idea from the towns face, completely divided on this question. It is necessary, into the countryside without preparing ideas in advance. says one, 'on the very day of the revolution to set up a It is necessary to know here and now what the peasant government to take power. This strong, powerful and resolute wants, how the revolution in the villages is to be understood, government will make the revolution by decreeing this and how the thorny question of property in land is to be resolved. that and by imposing obedience to its decrees.' It is necessary to say to the peasant in advance what the 'A sad delusion!' says the other. 'Every central government, town proletarian and his allies propose to do, that he has nothing to fear from the measures which will be harmful to the landowner. It is necessary that on his side the town worker gets used to respecting the peasant and to working

taking it on itself to rule a nation, being formed inevitably from disparate elements and being conservative by virtue of its governmental essence, would be only a hindrance to the revolution. It would only obstruct the revolution in the in agreement with him. communes ready to go ahead, without being able to inspire But for this the workers must take on the task of spreading backward communes with the spirit of revolution. The same propaganda in the villages. It is important that in each town within a commune in revolt. Either the commune government there should be a small special organization, a branch of will only sanction things already done, and then it will be a the Land League, for propaganda among the peasants. It useless and dangerous mechanism; or else it will want to is necessary that this kind of propaganda should be considered take the lead: it will make rules for what has still to as a duty under the same heading as propaganda in the be worked out freely by the people themselves if it is to industrial centres. be viable; it will apply theories where the whole of society The beginning will be difficult; but let us remember that must work out new forms of common life with that creative the success of the revolution is at stake. It will only be force which arises in the social organism when it breaks victorious on the day when the factory worker and the field its chains and sees new and wider horizons opening up in labourer proceed hand in hand to the conquest of equality front of it. The men in power will obstruct this enthusiasm, for all, bringing happiness to the country cottage as well without carrying out any of the things which they would as to the buildings of the large industrial areas. have been capable of themselves if they had remained within the people, working out the new organization with them instead of shutting themselves up in government ministries 1 We take these lines from the Popular and Parliamentary and wearing themselves out in idle debates. A government History of the Paris Commune by Arthur Arnould, a work will be a hindrance and a danger; powerless to do good, full which we have pleasure in bringing to the attention of of strength to do evil; so what is the point of it?'

However natural and correct this argument is, it nevertheless runs up against age-old prejudices stored up and given credit by those who have had an interest in maintaining the religion of government side by side with the religion of property and the religion of god.

This prejudice-the last of the series, God, Property, This essay consists of three separate articles which were Government-still exists and is a danger to the next revolution. first published in Kropotkin's paper Le Révolté for the anni-But it can already be stated that it is in decline. 'We shall versaries of the Paris Commune in March 1880, March 1881, manage our business ourselves, without waiting for orders and March 1882. They were put together to form a single from a government, and we shall take no notice of those chapter of Kropotkin's first political book ('La Commune who try to force themselves on us as priests, proprietors, de Paris', Paroles d'un Révolté, Paris 1885). The first or government,' the proletarians are already saying. So it English translation was published eighty years ago as the is to be hoped that if the anarchist party continues to second Freedom Pamphlet (The Commune of Paris, London struggle vigorously against the religion of governmentalism, 1891), and was reprinted five years later in the American and if it does not itself stray from the path by letting Liberty Library (The Commune of Paris, Columbus Junction itself be drawn into struggles for power-it is to be hoped, 1896); it has recently been included in an abridged and we say, that in the few years which still remain to us before inaccurate version in Martin A. Miller's edition of Kropotkin's the revolution the governmental prejudice will be shaken Selected Writings on Anarchism and Revolution (reviewed sufficiently not to be able any more to draw the proletarian in FREEDOM on June 26). The translation has now been masses into a false road. revised by Nicolas Walter to make the original version of the essay available in English for the first time.

This pamphlet is No. 8 of a series published by Freedom Press, 84b Whitechapel High St. London, EI, in the Anarchist weekly 'Freedom'. Further copies may be obtained at 72 peach (inc. post,)

Express Printers, 84a Whitechapel High Street, E.I.

0

8 20

2

0

5

15

3

4

10

0

SON

1-----

16

- our readers.
- 2 Written in March 1881.

NOTE

FREEDOM Weekly - 72p ANARCHY Monthly - 20p [inc. post]



LOUISE MICHEL

I do not wish to defend myself, I do not wish to be defended. I belong completely to the social revolution, and I declare that I accept complete responsibility for all my actions. I accept it completely and without reservations.

You accuse me of having taken part in the murder of the generals? To that I would reply Yes, if I had been in Montmartre when they wished to have the people fired on. I would not have hesitated to fire myself on those who gave such orders. But I do not understand why they were shot when they were prisoners, and I look on this action as arrant cowardice.

As for the burning of Paris, yes, I took part in it. I wished to oppose the invader from Versailles with a barrier of flames. I had no accomplices in this action. I acted on my own initiative. I am told that I am an accomplice of the Commune. Certainly, yes, since the Commune wanted more than anything else the social revolution, and since the social revolution is the dearest of my desires. More than that, I have the honour of being one of the instigators of the Commune, which by the way had nothingnothing, as is well known-to do with murders and arson. I who was present at all the sittings at the Town Hall, I declare that there was never any question of murder or arson. Do you want to know who are really guilty? It is the politicians. And perhaps later light will be



from drawing by Nerauand

The Defence of Louise Michel

14639

brought on to all these events which today it is found quite natural to blame on all partisans of the social revolution. . . .

But why should I defend myself? I have already declared that I refuse to do so. You are men who are going to judge me. You sit before me unmasked. You are men and I am only a woman, and yet I look you in the eye. I know quite well that everything I could say will not make the least difference to your sentence. So a single last word before I sit down. We never wanted anything but the triumph of the great principles of the revolution. I swear it my our martyrs who fell at Satory, by our martyrs whom I acclaim loudly, and who will one day have their revenge.

Once more I belong to you. Do with me what you please. Take my life if you v n. I am not the woman to argue with you for a moment. . . . What I claim from you, you who call yourselves a Council of War, who sit as my judges, who do not

sight of all, is Satory where our brothers have already fallen.

I must be cut off from society. You have been told to do so. Well, the Commissioner of the Republic is right. Since it seems that any heart which beats for freedom has the right only to a lump of lead, I too claim my share. If you let me live, I shall never stop crying for revenge, and I shall avenge my brothers by denouncing the murderers in the Commission for Pardons. . . . I have finished. If you are not cowards, kill me!

The Paris Commune and the Anarchist Movement

THE PARIS COMMUNE, whose centenary has been widely Commune and then with the anarchist movement. (A full commemorated this year, is seldom thought of as having account of her life—Louise Michel, by Edith Thomas—has much connection with the anarchist movement. Its connection recently been published in France by Gallimard; let us hope with the Marxist movement is well known, from Marx's it is soon translated into English.) A link which is personally more tenuous but politically own Address The Civil War in France written immediately after its fall, through the writings of such figures as Lenin more significant is that with Bakunin. He was not in Paris at all during the crisis, but he was active in the commune and Trotsky, right down to the work of Marxist scholars movement of southern France, and took a crucial part in and propagandists today. But the Commune was at the time the events at Lyon and Marseille in autumn 1870. Moreover, an inspiration for the whole revolutionary socialist movement, during and immediately after the Paris Commune he wrote and the annual commemoration of the rising of March 18 the first anarchist attempt to analyse its meaning-especially used to be one occasion in the year when all the groups in The Paris Commune and the Idea of the State (the first of the far left were united. Moreover there are certain English edition of which has just been published by CIRA, aspects of the crisis of 1870-1871 which are open to a specifically anarchist interpretation, though this is scarcely and will also appear in Anarchy 5). Thus Bakunin played a small but significant part in the

mentioned in the enormous literature on the subject, and there have been important links between the Commune and movement which culminated in the Paris Commune; and the Paris Commune played a small but significant part in the anarchist movement from the very beginning. The closest personal link is represented by Louise Michel, the final elaboration of his thought. Following the line in who was not just one of the most active women in the the Russian revolutionary tradition laid down by the populists Commune but was also one of the bravest of all its leaders. from the 1840s, Bakunin saw the Russian peasant commune After agitating in the groups which prepared for the rising (obshchina) as the basis of a socialist society, to be realised of March and fighting on the barricades in the struggle of by a movement involving peasants as well as urban workers. May, she gave herself up to the authorities to secure the No such movement came into full existence in Russia in release of her mother, who had been taken as a hostage. his lifetime; but the revolutionary insurrections which broke At her trial on December 16, 1871, soon after the execution out in France during 1870-1871 took the form of independent of Ferré, Rossel, and Bourgeois at Satory, she caused a communes in dozens of towns-including Lyon and Marseille sensation by not only not denying her part in the Commune, where he was himself involved, and above all Paris itself. So as so many others did, but deliberately glorying in it, in the it is not surprising that the last stage of Bakuninism (overlaying speech which opens this FREEDOM Pamphlet-for which the insurrectionism which ran through it from the barricades Victor Hugo wrote her a poem, Viro Major ('Greater than of Paris and Dresden in 1848-1849 to the abortive rising of Bologna in 1874) was based on a combination of the a Man'). Instead of being sentenced to death, as she had demanded, Russian peasant commune and the French urban commune

she was transported to New Caledonia in the South Pacific -of populism and communalism. And after Bakunin's death for life. But she never gave up her convictions, as so many in 1876 this position was developed further-especially in others did, and remained active in her exile. And from her Switzerland by refugees from the Paris Commune such as return to France under the amnesty of 1880 to her death in Elisée Reclus, working with refugees from the Russian, Italian, 1905 she remained ceaselessly active in the revolutionary and Spanish revolutionary movements-into the theory of socialist movement, moving rapidly towards anarchism and anarchist communism, in which the commune played (and becoming the most energetic anarchist propagandist of the a century later still plays) an important part. late nineteenth century-being arrested over and over again There are also personal links with other tendencies in the (she was imprisoned in 1883-1886, in 1886, and in 1890), anarchist movement. One is represented by such Communards even being shot and wounded in 1888 by a lunatic (whom she as Benoît Malon, Gustave Lefrançais, and Jean-Louis Pindy, characteristically not only refused to prosecute but actually also refugees in Switzerland who were for a time active as tried to save), and finally dying in Marseille in the middle anarchists or near-anarchists, but who later became reformist of one of her vast speaking tours and receiving a gigantic socialists, especially after returning to France. The same funeral in Paris (said to have been the largest since Victor is true of Paul Brousse, a French radical who moved to Hugo's in 1885). Her grave next to her mother's in the the left and went into exile as a result of the commune Levallier-Perret cemetery is still a place of pilgrimage, and movement and its repression, and became an extremist there are still anarchist groups in France which take the name of anarchist-one of the first exponents of the theory of the woman who literally devoted her whole life to the cause of propaganda by deed during the 1870s-but who similarly the social revolution-which she identified first with the Paris turned to reformist socialism after 1880 and led the moderate

N

10

5

F

16

8 20

15

33

10

0

3

SN

6

5

5

disguise yourselves as a Commission of Pardons, you who are military men and deliver your judgement in the

Possibilists in the French socialist movement. (A full account week's tapping'. of his political career-From Anarchism to Reformism by David Stafford-has recently been published by Weidenfeld prison hospital, and left Russia to live in exile for forty and Nicolson.)

the anarchist movement, which is frequently but mistakenly Lefrançais, and Elisée Reclus. There he joined in developing supposed to have no connection with the wider social the theory of anarchist communism, which as we have seen movement. Emile Henry, the most intelligent and impressive derived to a large extent from the experiences and implications of the anarchist propagandists by deed in the 1890s-the of the Commune. In 1877-1878 he was active for a time one who deliberately set out in 1894 to kill people at in Paris, trying to revive the socialist movement there after random, commenting that 'no bourgeois can be innocent'— the eclipse following the destruction of the Commune, and was the son of a Communard: Fortuné Henry, a member in his Memoirs he mentions 'the first commemoration of of the International who represented the 10th arrondissement the Commune, in March, 1878', when 'we surely were not on the Commune Council and managed to escape to Spain, two hundred'. (According to Jean Maitron, the historian being condemned to death in his absence. It seems likely of French anarchism, the Commune had in fact been that one of the motives behind the wave of revolutionary commemorated in March 1877, but only by private meetings.) terrorism in late nineteenth-century France (which caused In 1879 Kropotkin, who had been contributing to various about 20 deaths) was the bitter personal memory of the anarchist papers, began to publish his own, Le Révolté; it counter-revolutionary terrorism at the end of the Paris was then that he started the series of essays which established Commune (which caused more than 20,000 deaths).

But perhaps the most significant single case is that of several on the Paris Commune. Every March he wrote an someone who did not actually take part in the Paris Commune anniversary article, and the three for 1880, 1881, and 1882 but who was deeply influenced by it and who mediated its were put together to form a single chapter in his book influence on the whole anarchist movement: Peter Kropotkin. Paroles d'un Révolté, which was made up of essays from In 1871 he was a clever young geographer in Russia, but Le Révolté and published in 1885 while he was in prison he became a socialist that year in the shadow of the Commune, in France. (A new translation of this chapter is included and began to turn away from a promising scientific career in this pamphlet.) towards a dangerous political career. In the spring of 1872 Other chapters in Paroles d'un Révolté include an essay he travelled for the first time to Western Europe, and joined on the modern commune, as distinct from the medieval the International in Switzerland. At the masonic Temple commune (and, it is now necessary to add, as distinct from Unique which was the headquarters of the International the more recent sense too), making use of the experience in Geneva, he decided to devote his life to the socialist of the Paris Commune; and also essays on representative and movement; and the circumstances of that decision are revolutionary government, both emphasising the Commune's particularly significant in the present context. In his Memoirs error of relying on elected representatives to carry out the

to the cause of revolution. I know that moment; I and disorder. lived through it after one of the meetings at the Temple Unique, when I felt more acutely than ever before how cowardly are the educated men who hesitate to put their education, their knowledge, their energy, at the service of those who are so much in need of that education and that energy. . . .

This is vague enough; but in the material which Kropotkin later added to his Memoirs and which has been printed only in the Russian editions published since his death, he gives the date of the meeting as March 18 and the occasion as the celebration of the Paris Commune-so it was in fact at the first anniversary commemoration of the Commune that Kropotkin began the political career which was to last for "As he says in his Memoirs, 'the socialist movement in England almost half a century.

at Neuchâtel in April 1872, he tells us that he also met 'a October 1886) and other papers and speaking at meetings French communard, who was a compositor', and who described all over the country. One of his particular subjects was still the fall of the Commune while he was setting the type for the Paris Commune, and he produced anniversary articles a novel; Guillaume identified him in his history of the Inter- and speeches every March. Thus William Morris, writing national as André Bastélica-a Corsican who was the leading about the Commune meeting at South Place on March 18, 1886, Bakuninist in Marseille and who took part in the risings in described it as 'a great success, and the place crowded, both Lyon and Paris. Kropotkin also met Malon, then still Kropotkin new come from prison spoke, and I made his close to anarchism. It was in the Jura, of course, that acquaintance there' (Letter to John Carruthers, March 25, 1886); Kropotkin became specifically an anarchist, and when he and a year later he similarly described the Commune meeting returned to Russia in May 1872 he began anarchist activity at South Place on March 17, 1887: 'We had a fine meeting in the Chaikovski Circle, the leading group in the populist last night to celebrate the Commune-crowded. Kropotkin movement at that time.

Kropotkin's chief activity in Russia from 1872 to 1874 March 18, 1887). (The latter speech was published in the was as a speaker at meetings of peasants and workers in seventh issue of FREEDOM, April 1887, and would be well St. Petersburg and Moscow, and the two main subjects of worth reprinting.) his lectures were the International and the Paris Commune. At the same time Kropotkin continued to write in the When he was arrested in St. Petersburg in March 1874 his French anarchist press, especially in his old paper, which lodgings were searched by the police, and the great majority was now published in Paris and changed its name to La of the books and pamphlets which they seized were about Révolte. Once more his most important essays were collected the Commune (a list, preserved in the state archives, was in a book, La Conquête du Pain, a sequel to Paroles d'un printed in the edition of his Diary published in Russia in Révolté, which was published in 1892 and later translated 1923). Kropotkin was held in prison without trial from 1874 into English as The Conquest of Bread (1906). This time to 1876, first in the Peter-Paul Fortress, then after March 1876 there was no chapter specifically about the Paris Commune, in the St. Petersburg House of Detention where, as he but the whole conception of the future society expounded tells us again in his Memoirs, by the traditional method in the book is based on it. As Kropotkin put it in his of tapping on the walls he was able among other things 'to preface to the second English edition of 1913, the Commune relate to a young neighbour the history of the Paris Commune from the beginning to the end. It took, however, a whole

In 1876 Kropotkin managed to escape from the St. Petersburg years. In 1877 he went to Switzerland to work in the Jura There are even personal links with the terrorist wing of Federation, and met more Communards, especially Pindy,

his reputation as the leading theorist of anarchism, including

of a Revolutionist, Kropotkin describes the event as follows: work of the social revolution which the people should . . . every revolutionist has had a moment in his life have carried out themselves. And in the essay on order (which when some circumstance, maybe unimportant in itself, was included in FREEDOM Pamphlet 4 last September) he has brought him to pronounce his oath of giving himself took the Paris Commune as the final example of both order Order is the Paris Commune drowned in blood. It is the death of 30,000 men, women and children, cut to pieces by shells, shot down, buried in quicklime beneath the streets of Paris. . . .

> Disorder . . . is the people of Paris fighting for a new idea and, when they die in the massacres, leaving to humanity the idea of the free commune, and opening the way for the revolution which we can feel approaching and which will be the Social Revolution.

After he was released from prison in France in 1886, Kropotkin settled in England, where he lived for thirty years. was in full swing', and he took an active part in the growing When he then went on to the Jura and met James Guillaume agitation, writing in FREEDOM (which he helped to found in spoke in English and very well' (Letter to Bruce Glasier,

was too short-lived to give any positive result. . . . But the working-classes of the old International saw at once

deavoured to give a more or less definitive expression in this book.

And the same point was made in the prefaces to the Russian editions of The Conquest of Bread, and also in the postcript to the last Russian edition of Paroles d'un Révolté (which was included in FREEDOM Pamphlet 5 last November): 'I had in view above all a large urban commune getting rid of the failure of the Commune had led to the war. the capitalist yoke, especially Paris, with its working population full of intelligence and possessing, thanks to the lessons of the past, great organising capability.'

0

5

0 8

~

1 2

N

8

1

H

5

0

50

5

Kropotkin maintained his interest in the Paris Commune for many years more. In 1892 he wrote a preface for the the essay in 1899. Then in 1899 he included several references was still the same. After the 1917 Revolution, however, to the Commune in Memoirs of a Revolutionist, repeating Kropotkin selom mentioned the Paris Commune again, and energy on elections to and debates in the Commune Council of 1789-1794 during the last years of his life.

and for not expropriating private property-i.e. because they But it was in the month after Kropotkin's death-in were not anarchist or communist: 'The Commune of Paris March 1921-that Kronstadt rose and fell, and that Alexander was a terrible example of an outbreak with insufficiently Berkman pointed out the irony of the Bolsheviks celebrating the fiftieth anniversary of the Paris Commune the day determined ideals.' He returned to the same theme in Modern Science and after they had destroyed the Kronstadt Commune. By that Anarchism (first published in Russian in 1901; an American time the idea of the commune had deeply penetrated the translation was published in 1903, and an enlarged English consciousness of the anarchist movement and scarcely needed translation was published by the Freedom Press in 1912). to be mentioned to be understood. Yet there are times when The Paris Commune and other similar risings in France and it should be mentioned. This year we have commemorated Spain during 1870-1873 showed 'what the political aspect of at the same time the hundredth anniversary of the destruction. a Social Revolution ought to be': 'the free, independent of the Paris Commune by French liberals and the destruction Communist Commune'. But once more the anarchist and of the Kronstadt Commune by Russian communists. However communist morals were drawn: 'If no central Government many times it is destroyed, and whoever destroys it, the was needed to rule the independent Communes, if the national idea of the free city which rises in revolution and abolishes Government is thrown overboard and national unity is authority and property together cannot be destroyed, and obtained by free federation, then a central municipal Govern- remains one of the basic components of political anarchism. ment become equally useless and noxious. The same federative Following the consistent anarchist critique of the Paris principle would do within the Commune.' And at the Commune over a century, we would not do everything the same time the failure of the communalist risings 'proved once Communards did or leave undone everything they left undone; more that the triumph of a popular Commune was materially but we do feel that we are closer to what they tried to do possible without the parallel triumph of the people in the than either the liberals or the communists who have patronised and misinterpreted them with false praise. For us at least, economic field'. Then in his letters to Max Nettlau of 1901-1902, refuting in the words of the old song, 'the Commune is not dead!'

the claims of individualism and the argument that anarchists should seek allies among bourgeois sympathisers, Kropotkin



The theory of the state and the practice of the Commune

free, belonging to itself.

This overthrow of the central power took place without the usual stage effects of revolution, without the firing of guns, without the shedding of blood upon barricades. When the armed people came out into the streets, the rulers fled away, the troops evacuated the town, the civil servants hurriedly retreated to Versailles carrying everything they could with them. The government evaporated like a pond of stagnant water in a spring breeze, and on March 19 the great city of Paris found herself free from the impurity which had defiled her, with the loss of scarcely a drop of her children's blood

Yet the change thus accomplished began a new era in that long series of revolutions by which the peoples are marching from slavery to freedom. Under the name of the Paris Commune a new idea was born, to become the starting point for future revolutions.

As is always the case, this fruitful idea was not the product of some one individual's brain, of the conceptions of some philosopher; it was born of the collective spirit, it sprang from the heart of a whole community. But at first it was vague, and many of those who acted upon and gave their lives for it did not look at it in the light in which we see it today; they did not realize the full extent of the revolution they were inaugurating of the fertility of the new principle they were trying to put into practice. It was only after they had begun to apply it that its future significance slowly dawned upon them; it was only afterwards, when the new principle came to be thought out, that it grew definite and precise and was seen in all its clearness, in all its beauty, its justice, and the importance of its results.

From the time that socialism had taken a new leap forward what would be the form of political organization of society during the five or six years which preceded the Commune, most favourable for that great economic revolution which one question above all preoccupied the theoreticians of the the present development of industry is forcing upon our approaching social revolution. This was the question of knowing generation, and which must bring about the abolition of

its historical significance. They understood that the insisted that it is the masses of the people who fight for free commune would be henceforth the medium in liberty and equality against, not with, the bourgeoisiewhich the ideas of modern Socialism may come to above all in Paris in 1871. In his preface to the Italian realization. . . . These are the ideas to which I have en- edition of Paroles d'un Révolté (which was included in FREEDOM Pamphlet 5 last November), he suggested that the defeat of France in 1870 and the fall of the Commune in 1871 together led to the eclipse of revolutionary France and the triumph of militarist Germany in Europe; and in his letter to Gustav Steffen about the First World War (published in FREEDOM, October 1914) he went so far as to suggest that

In his writings for the Russian anarchist movement, Kropotkin frequently returned to the subject of the Paris Commune, notably in a series of articles on it in his paper Listki 'Khleb i Volya' during 1907 which were immediately reprinted as a pamphlet-Parizhskaya Kommuna (1907). This was quite Russian pamphlet edition of Bakunin's essay on the Commune, separate from the pamphlet reprinted from Paroles d'un which was also included in the French pamphlet edition of Révolté, though they are often confused, but the message the criticisms of the Communards for wasting time and referred much more often to the Great French Revolution

N.W.

ON MARCH 18, 1871, the people of Paris rose against a despised and detested government, and proclaimed the city independent,

individual property and the taking into common of all the commune, able to put into practice within its walls what was dictated by the will of the people! capital accumulated by previous generations. This word, the Commune, then came from all lips.

The International Working Men's Association gave this reply. The organization, it said, must not be confined to a single nation; it must extend over artificial frontiers. And soon this great idea sank into the hearts of the people and

The Commune of 1871 could be nothing but a first attempt. Beginning at the close of a war, hemmed in between two armies ready to join hands and crush the people, it dared not unhesitatingly set forth upon the path of economic revolution; it neither boldly declared itself socialist, nor proceeded with the expropriation of capital or the organization But it still remained to know what should be the component of labour; nor did it even take stock of the general resources of the city. Neither did it break with the tradition of the state, of representative government, and it did not seek To this question two answers were given, each the expression to establish within the Commune that organization from the simple to the complex which it inaugurated by proclaiming The German socialists advocated that the state should the independence and free federation of the communes. Yet forget that the bourgeoisie took four years of a revolutionary To which the socialists of the Latin race, strong in revo- period to change a limited monarchy into a bourgeois republic,

took fast hold of their minds. Though it has been hunted down ever since by the united efforts of every kind of reactionary, it is alive nevertheless, and when the voice of the rebellious peoples destroys the obstacles to its development, it will reappear stronger than ever before. parts of this vast association. of a distinct current of thought: one said the people's state; the other said anarchy. take possession of all accumulated wealth and give it to it is certain that if the Paris Commune had lived a few months workers' associations and, further, should organize production longer it would inevitably have been driven by the force of and exchange, and generally watch over the life and activities circumstances towards both these revolutions. Let us not of society. lutionary experience, replied that it would be a miracle if and we should not be astonished that the people of Paris such a state could ever exist; but if it could, it would did not cross with a single bound the space between the surely be the worst of tyrannies. This ideal of the omnipotent anarchist commune and the government of robbers. But let and beneficent state is merely a copy from the past, they us also bear in mind that the next revolution, which in said; and they opposed it with a new ideal—an-archy: that France and certainly in Spain as well will be communalist, is, the total abolition of the state and social organization from will take up the work of the Paris Commune where it was

the simple to the complex by means of the free federation checked by the massacres of the Versailles army. of popular forces, of producers and consumers.

It was soon admitted, even by a few 'statists' less imbued The Commune was defeated, and we know how the with governmental prejudices, that anarchy certainly represents a much better sort of organization than that aimed at by bourgeoisie avenged itself for the fright the people had given the people's state; but, they said, the anarchist ideal is so it in shaking off the yoke of their rulers. It proved that far off that just now we cannot trouble about it. On the there really are two classes in modern society: on one other hand the anarchist theory lacked a concrete and at side, the man who works and gives up to the capitalist the same time simple formula to show plainly its point of more than half of what he produces, and passes too easily departure, to embody its conceptions, and to indicate that over the crimes of his masters; on the other, the idler, it was supported by a tendency actually existing among the the well-fed, animated by the instincts of a wild beast, people. The federation of workers' unions and consumers' hating his slave, ready to massacre him like game. groups extending over frontiers and independent of existing After shutting the people of Paris in and blocking up states still seemed too vague; and at the same time it was all the exits, they let loose the soldiers, brutalized by barrack easy to see that it could not take in the whole diversity of life and drink, and told them publicly: 'Kill these wolves human requirements. A clearer formula was needed, one and their young!' And they said to the people: Whatever you do, you shall perish! If you are caught more easily grasped, one which had a firm foundation in the realities of life. with arms in your hands-death! If you lay down

If the question had merely been how best to elaborate a theory, we should have said that theories, as theories, are not of so much importance. But so long as a new idea has not found a clear, precise form of statement, growing naturally out of things as they actually exist, it does not take hold of men's minds, does not inspire them to enter upon a decisive struggle. The people do not fling themselves into the unknown without some positive and clearly formulated idea to serve them, so to speak, as a springboard at the startingpoint.

As for this starting-point, they must be led up to it by life itself.

And then after this insane orgy over the piles of corpses, For five months Paris, isolated by the siege, had drawn after this mass extermination, came the petty yet atrocious on its own livelihood, and had learnt to know the immense vengeance which is still going on-the cat-o'-nine-tails, the economic, intellectual, and moral resources it disposes of; thumbscrews, the irons in the ship's hold, the whips and it had caught a glimpse of its strength of initiative and truncheons of the warders, insults, hunger, all the refinements understood what it meant. At the same time it had seen of cruelty. that the chattering gang which had seized power had no Will the people forget this hangman's work? idea how to organize either the defence of France or its internal development. It had seen the central government at cross purposes with every manifestation of the intelligence Overthrown, but not conquered, the Commune is reborn of the great city. It had understood more than that: the today. It is no longer only a dream of the vanquished, powerlessness of any government to guard against great disasters caressing in their imagination the lovely mirage of hope; or to smooth the path of rapid revolution. During the no! the 'Commune' is today becoming the visible and definite siege it had suffered frightful privations, privations of the aim of the revolution rumbling beneath our feet. The idea workers and defenders of the city, alongside the insolent is sinking into the masses, it is giving them a rallying cry, luxury of the idlers, and thanks to the central government and we firmly count on the present generation to bring it had seen the failure of every attempt to put an end about the social revolution within the commune, to put an to this scandalous system. Each time that the people wished end to the ignoble bourgeois exploitation, to rid the people to take a free leap forward, the government added weight of the tutelage of the state, and to inaugurate in the evolution to their chains and tied on a ball, and naturally the idea of the human race a new era of liberty, equality, and was born that Paris should set itself up as an independent solidarity.

your arms-death! If you use them-death! If you beg for mercy-death! Whichever way you turn, right, left, forward, back, up, down-death! You are not merely outside the law, but outside mankind. Neither age nor sex shall save you or yours. You shall die, but first you shall taste the agony of your wife, your sister, your mother, your daughters, your sons, even in the cradle! Before your eyes the wounded man shall be taken out of the ambulance and hacked with bayonets or beaten with rifle-butts. He shall be dragged alive by his broken leg or bleeding arm and flung into the gutter as a groaning, suffering bundle of rubbish.

Death! Death! Death!1

Popular aspirations and popular prejudices in the Gommune

Ten years already separate us from the day when the the people of Paris had not been slashed, stabbed, shot and people of Paris, overthrowing the traitor government which disembowelled by the murderers of Versailles. To find a had seized power at the downfall of the Empire, set themselves clear and precise idea, comprehensible to everyone and summing up as a Commune and proclaimed their absolute independence.² up in a few words what had to be done to bring about And yet it is still towards that date of March 18, 1871, the revolution-such was indeed the preoccupation of the that we turn our gaze, it is to it that our best memories are people of Paris from the earliest days of their independence. attached; it is the anniversary of that memorable day that the But a great idea does not germinate in a day, however proletariat of both hemispheres intends to celebrate solemnly, rapid the elaboration and propagation of ideas during and tomorrow night hundreds of thousands of workers' hearts revolutionary periods. It always needs a certain time to will beat in unison, fraternizing across frontiers and oceans, in develop, to spread throughout the masse, and to translate Europe, in the United States, in South America, in memory itself into action, and the Paris Commune tacked this time.

0

5

22

0 8

~

9

5

4

3

5 N

N

18

15

3

1 1

10

0

8

+++

1

It lacked more than this, because ten years ago the of the rebellion of the Paris proletariat. The fact is that the idea for which the French proletariat ideas of modern socialism were themselves passing through spilt its blood in Paris, and for which it suffered in the a period of transition. The Commune was born so to speak swamps of New Caledonia, is one of those ideas which contain between two eras in the development of modern socialism. a whole revolution in themselves, a broad idea which can In 1871 the authoritarian, governmental, and more or less cover with the folds of its flag all the revolutionary tendencies religious communism of 1848 no longer had any hold over of the peoples marching towards their emancipation. the practical and libertarian minds of our era. Where To be sure, if we confined ourselves to observing only could you find today a Parisian who would agree to shut

the concrete and palpable deeds achieved by the Paris himself up in a Phalansterian barracks? On the other Commune, we would have to say that this idea was not hand the collectivism which wished to yoke together the wage wide enough, that it covered only a very small part of the system and collective property remained incomprehensible, revolutionary programme. But if on the contrary we observe unattractive, and bristling with difficulties in its practical the spirit which inspired the masses of the people at the application. And free communism, anarchist communism, was time of the movement of March 18, the tendencies which scarcely dawning; it scarcely ventured to provoke the attacks were trying to come to the surface and didn't have time of the worshippers of governmentalism. to enter the realm of reality because, before coming into Minds were undecided, and the socialists themselves didn't the open, they were already smothered under the piles of feel bold enough to begin the demolition of individual corpses-we shall then understand the whole significance of property, having no definite end in view. Then they let the movement and the sympathy it arouses within the masses themselves be fooled by the argument which humbugs have of both hemispheres. The Commune enraptures hearts not repeated for centuries: 'Let us first make sure of victory; after that we shall see what can be done.' by what it did but by what it intended to do one day.

What was the origin of this irresistible force which draws towards the movement of 1871 the sympathy of all the oppressed masses? What idea does the Paris Commune represent? And why is this idea so attractive to the workers of every land, of every nationality?

The answer is easy. The revolution of 1871 was above all a popular one. It was made by the people themselves, it sprang spontaneously from within the masses, and it was among the great mass of the people that it found its defenders, its heroes, its martyrs-and it is exactly for this 'mob' character that the bourgeoisie will never forgive it. And at the same time the moving idea of this revolutionvague, it is true, unconscious perhaps, but nevertheless By proclaiming the free commune, the people of Paris were pronounced and running through all its actions-is the proclaiming an essentially anarchist principle; but, since idea of the social revolution, trying at last to establish the idea of anarchism had at that time only faintly dawned after so many centuries of struggle real liberty and real in men's minds, it was checked half-way, and within the equality for all.

conquer its rights.

Attempts have been made, it is true, and are still being made to change the real direction of this revolution and to useless to regulate the relations of communes between represent it as a simple attempt to regain the independence themselves, why should we admit its necessity to regulate of Paris and thus to constitute a little state within France. the mutual relations of the groups which make up the But nothing can be less true. Paris did not try to isolate commune? And if we leave to the free initiative of the itself from France, any more than to conquer it by force communes the business of coming to a common understanding of arms; it did not try to shut itself up within its walls with regard to enterprises concerning several cities at once, like a monk in a cloister; it was not inspired by a narrow why refuse this same initiative to the groups composing a parochial spirit. If it claimed its independence, if it wished commune? There is no more reason for a government inside to prevent the interference of the central power in its a commune than for a government above the commune. affairs, it was because it saw in that independence a means But in 1871 the people of Paris, who have overthrown of quietly working out the bases of future organization so many governments, were making only their first attempt and bringing about within itself a social revolution-a to rebel against the governmental system itself; so they let revolution which would have completely transformed the themselves be carried away by governmental fetishism and whole system of production and exchange by basing them gave themselves a government. The consequences of that on justice, which would have completely modified human are known. The people sent their devoted sons to the town relations by putting them on a footing of equality, and which would have remade the morality of our society by to rule when their instincts prompted them to be and to giving it a basis in the principles of equity and solidarity.

people of Paris, and the social revolution was their end.

This end would have certainly been attained if the revolution of March 18 had been able to take its natural course, if

First make sure of victory! As if there were any way of forming a free commune so long as you don't touch property! As if there were any way of defeating the enemy so long as the great mass of the people is not directly interested in the triumph of the revolution, by seeing that it will bring material, intellectual, and moral well-being for everyone! They tried to consolidate the Commune first and put off the social revolution until later, whereas the only way to proceed was to consolidate the Commune by means of the social revolution!

The same thing happened with the principle of government. Commune people decided in favour of the old principle of It was the revolution of 'the mob' marching forward to authority, giving themselves a Commune Council, copied from the municipal councils.

If indeed we admit that a central government is absolutely

hall. There, immobilized, in the midst of paperwork, forced move among the people, forced to discuss when it was Communal independence was then but a means for the necessary to act, and losing the inspiration which comes from continual contact with the masses, they found themselves reduced to impotence. Paralysed by their removal from the revolutionary source, the people, they themselves paralysed the popular initiative.

Born during a period of transition, at a time when the Indeed we now know that on the day when France bristles ideas of socialism and authority were undergoing a profound with insurgent communes, the people must no longer give modification; emerging from a war, in an isolated centre, themselves a government and expect that government to under the guns of the Prussians, the Paris Commune was initiate revolutionary measures. When they have made a bound to perish. clean sweep of the parasites who devour them, they will But by its eminently popular character it began a new themselves take possession of all social wealth so as to era in the series of revolutions, and through its ideas it put it into common according to the principles of anarchist was the precursor of a great social revolution. The unheard communism. And when they have entirely abolished property, of, cowardly, and ferocious massacres with which the bour- government, and the state, they will form themselves freely geoisie celebrated its fall, the mean vengeance which the according to the necessities dictated to them by life itself. torturers have perpetrated on their prisoners for nine years, Breaking its chains and overthrowing its idols, mankind will these cannibalistic orgies have opened up between the bour- march them towards a better future, no longer knowing either geoisie and the proletariat a chasm which will never be filled. masters or slaves, keeping its veneration only for the At the time of the next revolution, the people will know noble martyrs who paid with their blood and sufferings for what has to be done; they will know what awaits them those first attempts at emancipation which have lighted our

if they don't gain a decisive victory, and they will act way in our march towards the conquest of freedom. accordingly.

From the Paris Commune to anarchist communism

The celebrations and public meetings organized on March 18 been evicted, production will continue, shaking off the in all the towns where there are socialist groups deserve restraints which obstruct it, abolishing the speculations which all our attention, not merely because they are a demonstration kill it and the muddle which disorganizes it, and transforming of the army of the proletriat, but more as an expression itself according to the needs of the moment under the of the feelings which inspire the socialists of both hemispheres. impulse which will be given to it by free labour. 'People They are 'polled' in this way better than by all imaginable never worked in France as they did in 1793, after the methods of voting, and they formulate their aspirations in land was snatched from the hands of the nobles,' says full freedom, without letting themselves be influenced by Michelet. People have never worked as they will on the day when work has become free, when every advance by electoral tactics. Indeed the proletarians meeting on this day no longer the worker will be a source of well-being for the whole confine themselves to praising the heroism of the Paris commune.

proletariat, or to calling for vengeance for the May massacres. While refreshing themselves with the memory of the heroic

On the subject of social wealth, an attempt has been made struggle in Paris, they have gone further. They are discussing to establish a distinction between two kinds, and has even what lessons for the next revolution must be drawn from the Commune of 1871; they are asking what the mistakes managed to divide the socialist party over this distinction. The school which today is called *collectivist*, substituting for of the Commune were, not to criticize the men who made the collectivism of the old International (which was only antithem, but to bring out how the prejudices about property authoritarian communism) a sort of doctrinaire collectivism, and authority, which were at that time prevalent in the has tried to establish a distinction between capital which is workers' organizations, prevented the revolutionary idea from used for production and wealth which is used to supply the coming to light, being developed, and illuminating the whole necessities of life. Machinery, factories, raw materials, means world with its life-giving light. of communication, and land on one side; and homes, The lesson of 1871 has benefited the proletariat of the manufactured goods, clothing, foodstuffs on the other. The whole world, and, breaking with their old prejudices, the former becoming collective property; the latter intended, proletarians have said clearly and simply what they understand according to the learned representatives of this school, to their revolution to be. remain individual property.

An attempt has been made to establish this distinction. But the good sense of the people has quickly got the better It is certain from now on that the next rising of communes of it. They have realized that this distinction is illusory will not be merely a communalist movement. Those who and impossible to establish. Unsound in theory, it fails still think that it is necessary to establish the independent before the reality of life. The workers have realized that commune and then within this commune attempt to carry the house which shelters us, the coal and gas which we out economic reforms are being left behind by the development burn, the nourishment which the human machine burns to of the popular mind. It is through revolutionary socialist maintain life, the clothing which man covers himself with actions, abolishing individual property, that the communes to protect his existence, the book which he reads for instruction, of the next revolution will assert and establish their ineven the pleasure which he gets, are so many integral parts dependence. of his existence, are just as necessary for the success of On the day when, as a result of the development of the as machines, factories, raw materials and other media of authoritarian communism.

production and for the progressive development of mankind revolutionary situation, governments are swept away by the people, and the camp of the bourgeoisie, which is maintained production. They have realized that to maintain individual only by the protection of the state, is thrown into disorderproperty for this kind of wealth would be to maintain on that day (and it is not far off), the insurgent people inequality, oppression, exploitation, to paralyse in advance will not wait until some government decrees in its amazing the results of partial expropriation. Leaping the hurdles wisdom some economic reforms. They will themselves abolish put in their way by theoretical collectivism, they are going individual property by a violent expropriation, taking possession straight for the simplest and most practical form of antiin the name of the whole people of all the social wealth accumulated by the labour of previous generations. They In fact in their meetings the proletarians are clearly asserting will not confine themselves to expropriating the holders of their right to all social wealth and the necessity of abolishing social capital by a decree which would remain a dead letter; individual property as much in consumer goods as in those they will take possession of it on the spot and will establish for further production. 'On the day of the revolution, we their rights by making use of it without delay. They will shall seize all wealth, all goods stored up in the towns, and organize themselves in the factories to keep them working; we shall put them in common,' say the spokesmen of the they will exchange their hovels for salubrious dwellings in working masses, and the audiences confirm this by their the houses of the bourgeoisie; they will organize themselves unanimous approval. to make immediate use of all the wealth stored up in 'Let each person take from the store what he needs, and the towns; they will take possession of it as if it had never been stolen from them by the bourgeoisie. Once the in- we may be sure that in the warehouses of our towns there dustrial baron who deducts profits from the worker has will be enough food to feed everyone until the day when