

"Whoever could make two ears of corn or two blades of grass to grow upon a spot of ground where only one grew before, would deserve better of mankind and do more essential service to this country than the whole race of politicians put together."

-JONATHAN SWIFT

Vol. 12, No. 8

MacArthur Legacy

NERAL MacArthur's letter to the leader of the U.S. Republican I Party has stirred up a new hornets' nest about Korean policy. He favoured the use of Chinese Nationalist troops from Formosa, and "the opening up a second front in Asia"-in a word, the opening of a war against Communist China. To support his position, MacArthur reports troop concentrations in nearby Manchuria. But there have been many instances already-and we have drawn attention to them in Freedom-of reports being exaggerated or even invented to give colour to Korean operations for political reasons.

by both the Northerners and Southerners and by their own compatriots in the Communist armies. The Kuomintang consul in Korea has collected some very shocking stories."

April 14th, 1951

Finally, one must always remember that Korea is a small country fought over(the territory round Seoul has been fought over four times in nine months) by foreign armies, as well as the inevitable tragedies and ravagement of civil war.

against air attack . . ." Once more the parallel with Spain is apparent, with the great human and social struggle submerged under military experimentation. No further comment is needed.

The Moral Issues

Threepence

Can one rightly regard the supporters of such orthodox policy as "thinking people"?

But we have nothing but contempt for those who originally backed the war, but now want to cut their losses, and declare that "we should not meddle in the internal political problems of other nations". There, were plenty of such people who regarded Nazism as no concern of theirs between 1933 and 1939. And they to-day look with a like indifference on Franco and Peron.

Obviously the issue of tyranny is a moral one which cannot ethically be sidestepped. Yet the results of intervention are seen in Korea, while orthodox opinion has no answer to central Europe or Spain or Argentina-nor, let us add, any effective policy for remedying ills in the West. How can the dilemma be resolved-if not by the adoption of a revolutionary position? The orthodox methods of reliance on governments and delegated authority have resulted in present-day Korea, in the post-war world with its two great hostile camps, each seeking to strengthen itself by the suppression of internal criticism. Over the past twenty years examples of the viciousness and futility of such methods have been piling up with ever-increasing frequency. The first step towards cutting through the tangle and despair of world politics will be taken by the successful libertarian revolution which avoids the nationalist snare.

On the other hand a military commander working under a propaganda sounding-board such as the United Nations is obviously operating under difficulties, and MacArthur's "indiscretions" no doubt reflect military exasperation. In addition to being a militarist, MacArthur is a reactionary politician: but he was these things before the war in Korea was even envisaged. We have little patience with those who supported United Nations intervention in Korea, but do not now like the consequences and so seek a scapegoat in MacArthur. Let this be the occasion for a stock-taking regarding the war in Korea.

Material Cost

The casualties of the nine months' war have been enormous. The Allies are said to have lost over a quarter of a million men-more than the total British casualties during the six years of the last war. American dead are reported as being over 50,000. The North Koreans and Chinese are stated to have lost between half and threequarters of a million. The dead therefore may number as many as one million men-as many as died during three years of the Spanish Civil War of 1936-39, the most savage and costly struggle in recent history. These figures take no account of Korean civilian victims. Three million South Koreans have been uprooted from their homes. The Christian Children's Fund have stated in America that more than 100 children were killed when an orphanage which it administered in Seoul was bombed-by United States' bombers. (Such results are not therefore the sole prerogative of German, or Japanese, or Russian or Chinese bombers: they are results of war, and those who favour war must take responsibility for them, and take them into account in assessing the "success" of their policy.)

the Manchester Guardian) generally had to pay more in taxes than he had formerly paid in rent. In short, he exchanged the private landlord for the State as landlord.

The South Korean administration could hardly restore the landlord without losing political capital and adopting a hopelessly reactionary position. Actually, its agrarian reform law passed by the South Korean Assembly in 1949, and re-affirmed in Seoul last September, is as far-reaching as the North Korean, with the added attraction for the peasant that it gives him full ownership of the land. No doubt, in a predominantly peasant economy the peasant feels the full weight of the State's exactions, nevertheless.

Political consequences north of the thirty-eighth parallel include the consolidation of the rule of the (Communist) Workers' Party in the "Democratic Front". Dissatisfaction is directed to "reactionaries", "impure and vicious elements"-i.e., the non-Communist participants in the government. Meanwhile, the Communist Party itself is being purged. No doubt, those who question the advantage of their country being used as a stepping-off ground for the great Powers' struggles, those who are not fully committed to faithfulness to Russian policy at whatever cost, are being eliminated, and serve as scapegoats for internal dissatisfaction with the régime. The war - therefore has hastened the stranglehold of the Communist Party and of the Russian dominated elements within it.

Military Gains

Militarism has, however, made many gains. Again to quote editorial comment in the Manchester Guardian: "Independent military observers said to-night that Korea had been and still was the great testing ground for the United Nations and possibly for the Communist armies as well. Many American officers have welcomed the opportunity to bring out new weapons and train soldiers in the battlefield . . . American and British veterans of the Korean war are going home as instructors of the West's growing armies ... the Communists have, in turn, been taught to make maximum use of cover darkness, and dispersal as protection

What has happened in all this to the moral issues? The defence of freedom cuts a sorry figure against the background of grim facts. Yet is was this moral question which swayed support for the United Nations' intervention.

General MasArthur's open preference for carrying the war into China, indicates how insecure is the "peace" for which everyone longs. These are the men and the policies which sway our lives, and our control over them is about nil. The summary of results in Korea which we have given-and it is a fair one-show the kind of satisfaction which thinking people have achieved from following the orthodox policy of support for governments, for United Nations' "action", for government controlled "resistance to aggression". The net result of this policy is to bring us near to the brink of World War III and to formidable destruction in Korea itself.

THE ECONOMIC SITUATION

F war comes, most of us have a fairly good idea of what it will be like. Even the shortest memories do not easily eradicate events burned into them by anxiety and fear. And it is not so long since London, Coventy, Clydeside, Swansea, Merseyside and the other "targets for to-night" were echoing to the crump of high explosives. While on the Continent and in the Far East, unthinkable terror was released in the path, and in the wake, of the contesting armies.

war purposes. This shortage pushes up prices, so import costs will be higher by £850 million.

The very wet winter has reduced the crop acreage on the farms; electrical goods and motor cars will be much scarcer on the home market because of Services' requirements; load-shedding by the power plants will be more frequent. next winter, although the coal target will be 3-6 million tons higher than last year's production.

Political Consequences

The North Korean forces nationalised the land and liquidated private landlordism as part of its propaganda struggle. The peasant was not however given the land: he received occupancy rights and (according to

In the South, we have already drawn attention to the unbridled tyranny of the police. The United Nations' commission confirmed the fact of mass executions, though it was said that there were no children amongst them, and all were claimed to have had some kind of trial. On December 23rd last, Syngman Rhee declared an amnesty for 2,639 persons who were pardoned or set free without trial. But some of these died as a result of maltreatment and torture received in prison.

The U.N. commission has soft-pedalled the police tyranny, to avoid providing the Communists with propaganda ammunition, so that the picture is no doubt as grim as ever. The Manchester Guardian calls attention to the miserable plight of the civilian Chinese residents in Korea. "They have been suspected and persecuted

The last war ended with the atom bomb and the next will probably begin with it. But even if this particular weapon is not used, and the contestants practice "civilised" warfare-i.e., using everything short of the atom bomb and gas-we can be sure that sufficient advance has been made in ordinary high explosive, incendiary and other "restrained" types of bombs to make things very unpleasant indeed.

Permanent Cold War?

But supposing that war does not come. Supposing that our rulers decide it may be more profitable after all to postpone indefinitely the shooting war-could we then relax our austerity and sit back and enjoy the fruits of our labours, practising the arts of peace?

THE DOCKERS ON TRIAL

A T the time of going to Press, the Old Bailey trial against the seven dockers is still in progress. The case is being tried before the Lord Chief Justice, Lord Goddard, and the prosecution is led by the Attorney-General, Sir Hartley Shaweross. It may be said that the State is bringing up its big guns to deal with the dockers. As we write, Shaweross has made his opening speech, and the prosecution are working their way through the 32 witnesses deemed necessary to prove that these seven men dared to incite a strike. The first witness was a Ministry of Labour official, called to show that no notice of a trade dispute had been lodged with the Ministry. Counsel for the defence of the three Merseyside men, Miss Rose Heilbron, K.C., asked this witness, "I suppose if someone gave you a complaint against the weather and told you to register it as a trade dispute, you would do so?" Witness answered, "Yes, if my immediate superior told me to do so." Shaweross' opening speech was full of phrases about "blackmail", "ransom", "strangling the nation" and so forth, calculated to show the dockers as desperate men instead of workers fighting for a decent standard of living against obstruction both from their employers and their "own" unions. "That way," said Shawcross, "lie chaos and anarchy." We shall carry a full report and commentary on this important trial in our next issue.

Not very likely. In the first place, although it is conceivable that the shooting war could be postponed, or at least limited to far-away places with strangesounding names-so that it all seems unreal and unimportant-it is very unlikely that the cold war in general will be called off. It has all the advantages of a hot war and none of the disadvantages.

For this reason, if for no other, it is clear that our immediate future is simply going to be a repetition of the crisis-aftercrisis formula that we know so well. And not only military crises, but the economic crises inseparable from war preparation. In making this statement we do not use our own (usually infallible!) judgment. The Government's Economic Survey for 1951 confirms our gloomy prophesy.

Let us look at what it promises us. It tells us that the cause of all our troubles is rearmament-although we seem to remember certain economic crises in 1947 and 1948 and 1949, before rearmament was thought of-but let that pass. Since we earned a balance of payments surplus of £229 million for 1950 and an increase in our gold and dollar reserves of £290 million it may have been that if it had not been for the beastly Russians we may have been on the road to recovery by now. May have been!

The measures to meet these conditions are impressive. They are:

- (1) Self-Restraint. "There should be no unreasonable increases in wages, salaries or profits."
- (2) More taxation-see last Tuesday's Budget.
- (3) Waste and inefficiency must be eliminated.

So doesn't the future look rosy? "At best," says the Survey, "we face in the immediate future a decline in the rate of increase in the national output, a worsening of the balance of payments, a fall in supplies of some consumer goods, and a continuing rise in prices."

"At best." The Survey does not tell us what the worst will be, it simply says that its "best" may well prove to be optimistic. We can, however, see quite clearly what is really on the way.

Already, the inability to pay inflated prices for wool is forcing smaller manufacturers in Yorkshire to close down. As more shortage in textiles develop, widespread unemployment will ensue. Higher prices for all commodities will bring hardship even to reasonably-paid workers, who will be driven to produce more and more.

SECRET PRISONS IN BRITISH ZONE

Man Held Two Months without Trial REUTER report from Düsseldorf (April 6th) quotes Sir Owen Corrie, British High Commission judge for North Rhine-Westphalia, as saying that he was dismayed to learn that there were secret prisons on this side of the Iron Curtain. He was hearing a case in which a Ger-

7 PER CENT. OF Z MEN FAIL TO REPLY TO NOTICES

MR. J. Strachey (Secretary for War) stated in the House of Commons last week that some 7.4 per cent of the Class Z reservists warned for recall had not yet acknowledged receipt of the warning notices, although acknowledgements were still being received. In addition, 1,734 warning notices have been returned as untraceable.

man, Albert Löhe, was sent to prison for two years for trying to obtain a British officer's passport.

The prosecutor, Mr. E. Band, said that Löhe had not been brought before a judge until January 15th, although he was arrested by British Intelligence officers on November 3rd last year. Löhe, he alleged, had been held in custody under a secret order issued by the former High Commissioner, General Robertson. The order, he said, stated that persons could be held in custody if the offence with which they were charged was being investigated by British Intelligence.

Mr. Band alleged that "secret" British prisons had existed in West Germany where people arrested by Intelligence officers were frequently held longer than is allowed under normal procedure.

If this kind of thing happens in "peacetime" what can one expect when war comes and both sides join in a real crusade for "freedom"?

But fortunately the Russians are there to be the scapegoats. Somebody will always be found to blame for the unworkability of capitalism. And the Russian Government, after all, is very blameworthy-for many more things than our Government thinks.

We are assured that the housing programme will not be reduced-the target for 1951 remains 200,000 houses. The target can remain-but we all know how easy it is for good solid reasons to be found for not hitting a target. After all, the bill for rearmament is going up and up-from £3,600 million to £4,800 million in the last six months-and something has to be cut to pay for it.

Promises and Warnings

We are assured there will be no direction of labour for rearmamentunless, in Bevan's words, an immediate attack on this country was expected. And who is to tell us when it's expected. Why, Bevan, of course.

Those are about all the promises. The warnings in the Survey make a longer list. Textile and industrial production in general will be slowed down by the shortage of materials due to stock-piling for

To "cure" unemployment and meet the needs of the armament manufacturers (no talk of shortages there) direction of labour will inevitably be introduced, whether attack threatens or not.

Is it Necessary?

So the outlook is pretty grim. Shortages, unemployment, high prices, "restraint" on wages, austerity with a vengeance, and behind it all-the imminence of war.

Is it all necessary? . Of course, it is not. Since 1938 the productive capacity of Britain has increased by 60 per cent. Nobody could convince us that with the technical potential we have in 1951 we could not create abundance-and leisure -for all the peoples of the earth. But we are harnessed to lunacy and destruction, and until we shake off that harness we must expect to take the consequences.

That workers in control of industry could not do worse than the present controllers seems obvious. That workers' control would be saner, more humane, and more satisfactory with regard to our needs. is a fairly safe bet.

"What can we do to Stop Them?" ASKS ALEX COMFORT IN HIS HOLBORN HALL SPEECH

DO not think that I need stress to- and reformers could criticize the selfishnight the possibilities of the situation ness of a ruling class which hoped to we find ourselves in. I believe that your own experience, which may have brought you here to-night, has been the same as mine. Wherever I go, in scores of letters from people I do not know and have never met, in conversation with friends and in talk I overhear as I go about, one question is being asked-what con we do to stop them? Not "what can the government, or UNO, or the Church, or my political party, do?" but what can we do, we individuals?

Our political leaders are perpetually exhorting the public to see the issues more clearly, through their spectacles, to face them more squarely, along the lines which they suggest. I submit to you that it is to the great credit of the ordinary people of this country-and, if we can judge from recent Gallup polls, to the credit of the ordinary people of America-that they do recognise the issues, and recognise them in spite of the two opposing streams of propaganda which depict the West or the Soviet Union as either angel of light or devil incarnate. I submit to you that the public here, and I firmly believe the public in all countries, do increasingly, and in spite of the din of propaganda to which they are being subjected, see the facts as they are. Instead of an opposition of blameless right and unmitigated wrong, of freedom and tyranny, whichever way round you care to put it, they see a couple of groups of frightened politicians, culturally incapable of understanding one another, ready to sacrifice anyone and anything except their own inerrancy, blundering closer and closer to something which will destroy all of us. The public are not Communists, they are not, in its developed sense, pacifists-if they have a conscientious objection it is to laying down their lives fruitlessly at the instance of lunatics.

profit from other people's suffering. Not even that is true to-day. The atomic bomb and the frenzy of contemporary politics do not reflect any such intelligible plan. They are the work not of a cynical policy, but of mental patients.

For this country, war is not an alternative-it is simply the end of our existtence. According to the American civil defence chiefs, we shall soon get used to atomic bombs. Well, it has rightly been said that defeat would mean the end of America, but war would mean the end of Europe. War between America and Russia could not be won by either side. It would involve the overrunning of Europe, and probably Britain, by the Red Army, followed by its systematic devastation by the Americans. It would end in a compromise of exhaustion between two embittered tyrannies. We should see Korea re-enacted in Europe, we should see the retaliatory butchery of the population of Russia. If there were the smallest moral justification for throwing away our lives in this way, if anything whatever, except worse misery, could come from it, many of us would feel that we should sacrifice ourselves to resist tyranny but every normal person will draw the line at sacrificing others, millions of others, by burns, torture, starvation, and radiation-sickness. It is not cowardice, but precisely the moral bankruptcy of the whole so-called anticommunist campaign, which I believe the public recognises, and from which it revolts. The war against Germany showed that in what they believe a good cause the most unlikely people are willing to die for a blunder, a swindle, or a piece of lunacy.

Let there be no mistake about it. The people who are most certain of Russia's warlike intentions are not enemies of tyranny. They did not stop Syngman Rhee's executions, or deplore Chiang's police state. They have not scrupled to collect and uphold a long series of discreditable tyrannies. We should not enter a war against = China, or against Russia, as the allies of the liberation, but as the dupes of bloodyminded old men who hate the achievements of communism far more than its vices, who by their folly have provoked and aggravated its intransigence, and who are now attempting to pre-

serve world peace by the one measure calculated to turn that intransigence into open war, the rearmament of Germany and the release of the Nazi war-leaders. In such a coalition our allies are not the oppressed peoples but Franco, Senator McCarthy, Gen. MacArthur and the strategic bombing experts. And we have no right to pretend we do not know these people for what they are. They entered Korea, and we with them, as champions of independence. UNESCO prepared plans to make the country a model industrial democracy. I wonder to-day who would have the hardihood to suggest that any Korean would prefer such liberation to the worst that a local tyranny could do. They have razed every city and town, they have stood for the massacre of civilians, the execution of women and children, the torture of prisoners, the destruction, much of it spiteful and purposeless, of £400 million

FREEDOM

of property, and the production of about 2 million casualties and 4 million homeless. And it this struggle, a very minor campaign of the world conflict, which is being extended to Europe. We are the next Korea, here, and unless we prevent it, soon.

Nobody, I think, will accuse me of being a Communist. I hate the State as an institution, and I hate the arbitrariness and the narrowness of the Russian State. May I also say that like the vast majority of people in this country I have for the Russian people exactly the same friendship as I have for all peoples, increased rather than diminished by the sufferings they have undergone. I know that they want peace, as all peoples want it.

I could respect, though I could not support, anyone who thought that armed force might be used to-day to liberate someone, either from abuses in the East (Continued on page 4)

Some Press Comments on JOURNEY THROUGH UTOPIA

"... One can do worse these days than to take such a "... the most interesting work on the subject."—*Time* \mathfrak{B} journey as Miss Berneri devises, with herself as guide ... *Tide*. [it] is both diverting and instructive."-New Stateman & "... a masterly account of interest to all sociologists and Nation. very particularly, to Freethinkers who tend naturally to

Because the position is abundantly clear. If anyone was in doubt, the Korean war should have dispelled that doubt. I think that perhaps the British Government is just beginning to realise the effect which that war, its streams of refugees, its massacre of villagers with petrol bombs, its empty pretensions of liberation and its filthy reality, is having on public opinion. It is not a matter of objecting to war as an abstraction in ethics. It is not even a matter of preferring Communism to war. It is simply the perception that whoever else can survive another war, this country cannot. It is an indefensible aircraft carrier allied to a wholly irresponsible Power, a power which is perfectly prepared to re-enact not only the horrors of Korea or the horrors of Nagasaki and Hiroshima, but to expand them to equal the horrors of the German extermination of Jewry, if, in General Eisenhower's very frank words, that will bring them military advantage. War in the past may have been an instrument of policy, under which, although the people invariably lost, the leaders who promoted it stood to win. Revolutionaries

... even our readers, once so familiar with the journalism criticise existing institutions."-F. A. RIDLEY in The of Marie Louise may allow themselves to be a little dazzled Freethinker.

by the brilliance of this posthumous work—it is so calm and "... she has bequeathed us a comprehensive and valuable coherent, so well organised and well written, and so dis- survey, copious in quotation and acute in comment."-Punch. interestedly readable."-HERBERT READ in Freedom.

"Miss Berneri's comments, explicit and implicit, are for the value . . . she writes with scholarship and a deep knowledge most part acutely discerning . . . This fascinating work . . ." of the social background. . . ."-MARK HOLLOWAY in Socialist Times Literary Supplement. Leader.

"Marie Louise Berneri . . . has left us a book of great

MUDDY WAR THE by M. L. B.

On the second anniversary of Marie Louise Berneri's death we are reprinting this article of hers which first appeared in our issue of 21st February, 1948. The "revelations" which have been made since the article was written can only reinforce her contentions. Political leaders rely on an ill-informd public to which they can reveal or conceal facts to suit the requirements of policy.

WHETHER or not it is a cold war, it a hors d'œuvre so to speak, and the rest is certainly a muddy one. Washing- are probably kept in reserve for even more tone State Department has not been able propitious times. to resist the temptation of having a good smack at Russia, and has published a number of German State documents concerning the relations between the Soviet Union and Germany in the period 1939-1941. These documents were seized by the American forces when they marched into Germany and have been kept on ice for nearly three years before being made public. Nor are all the documents being published now; we are given a selection,

The manipulation of opinion by these means is only possible with the assistance of the press and organs of public information which with few exceptions, help to keep memories short and minds confused. Hence the importance of integrity and consistency in journalism, those rare qualities which Marie Louise Berneri brought to all she wrote.

> come to an arrangement with the Soviet Union in the negotiations which began in March 1939 and that their aim was to "get Germany and the Soviet Union to come to grips as soon as possible". Moscow also threatens to publish documents seized in Germany by the Red Army concerning the relations between Hitler Germany and the Governments of Britain, France and the U.S. If we consider the documents published in Washington, as we shall consider those which Moscow intends to publish, it is not to wax indignant at the behaviour of any particular government. None of them has a record to be proud of. If Stalin made a pact with Hitler, Britain helped Nazism to get into power, and neither Russia nor America, neither France nor Britain, was in the least concerned with crushing Nazism but merely played the game they thought more suitable for their imperialist interests. We said all this long before these documents were published, not because we were better informed, but because we had no reasons for concealing facts. Mr. Churchill, who is now so anxious that the documents should receive wide publicity, knew of them when he lavished his praises on Stalin. To describe the records as "an appalling story of cynical diplomatic duplicity such as few people in this country would have deemed possible," as Cummings does in the News Chronicle, is a gross exaggeration. In fact these documents prove little that has not already been made public through other sources. They prove that the Soviet-German pact was an active alliance, i.e., that, while it lasted, these two countries helped one another by exchanging goods and by encouraging their respective territorial aggrandisements. This had already been revealed, in particular by Kravchenko, in his book I Chose Freedom (see Freedom, 15/11/47).



Marie Louise Berneri Committee

THE Committee's special edition of "Journey Through Utopia" Is now sold out. The public edition (Routledge & Kegan Paul, 16/-) is still available, however, from Freedom Bookshop, and postal subscribers to "Freedom" will find enclosed with this issue a descriptive leaflet issued by the publishers.

We will soon be sending out our third circular letter together with a balance sheet. This will be sent only to readers who have written to the Committee. Will others who are interested please send us their addresses?

The volume of articles and essays by Marie Louise Berneri which is being prepared will be called "Neither East Nor West". We are confident that her examination of the social and political questions of the last ten years of her life will not lose its value in the future; in fact, the quickening tempo of events and the conflict of rival ideologies will make many of her topical articles more significant to-day than when they were written.

*

£1,000 as a minimum working capital to enable us to set about our publishing programme on a big enough scale. We have at present received less than half that sum and since the cost of paper, printing and binding are continually rising, we are anxious that as many volumes as possible should be in the press in the near future. For this reason we need to raise the rest of the money urgently. Will those who cherish the memory of Marie Louise Berneri, and those who never knew her but have been influenced by her writings, help us to continue her work?

Contributions, enquiries, and orders for the illustrated brochure "Marie Louise

We would like to avoid noticing this dirty business; we would rather deal with beautiful and inspiring examples of international solidarity but the task of a paper is to look at facts, however unpleasant and however much one despises the way in which they are presented. And indeed it is difficult to imagine anything more revolting than the use governments make of secret documents.

When a private individual holds a document which might compromise a person and he tries to use it for his own advantage, it is called blackmail and it is punishable by law. But when a Government uses documents obtained by their informers, spies, and diplomats or by war and plunder and uses them to blackmail or blacken another government, it is called international politics.

We are not opposed to the publication of these documents, which are of great historical value, but we strongly condemn the use which is made of them. We also condemn all secret treaties, protocols and negotiations. It is ridiculous to talk of a democratic government when that same government, which is supposed to represent the wishes of the people, is able to take momentous steps in its foreign policy with the knowledge of only a selected few. The chief lesson to be learned by the publication of these documents is that nations are committed to alliances defensive or offensive of which they are completely ignorant.

The Soviet Government tried its best to make the pact palatable to the Russian people because, in the words of the German Ambassador in Moscow, in his report of September 6th:

"The sudden alteration in the policy of the Soviet Government, after years of propaganda directed expressly against German aggressors, is still not very well understood by the population. Especially the statements of official agitators to the effect that Germany is no longer an aggressor run up against considerable doubt. The Soviet Government is doing everything to change the attitude of the population here towards Germany. The press is as though it had been transformed . . . In a judgment of conditions here the Soviet Government has always previously been able in a masterly fashion to influence the attitude of the population in the direction which it has desired, and it is not being sparing this time either of the necessary propaganda."

This also is no "revelation". The Russian Press and the testimony of several Russian exiles are there to prove that there was an immediate and thorough

Marie Louise Berneri made a particular study of the Russian and Spanish revolutions and this will be reflected in two of the Committee's forthcoming publications. The first of these will br "Russia's Third Revolution" by Ida Mett. This 40,000 word book tells for the first time the complete story of the 1921 uprising of the Kronstadt sailors against the Bolsheviks-the greatest single act of anti-Communist revolution by the Russian people themselves. Readers who sent orders and subscriptions for this book when it was first projected as a limited subscription edition by Freedom Press, will have their orders passed to the Committee.

We are also planning to publish a volume on the collectivisations by the Spanish workers and peasants in the 1936 revolution. This is certainly the most important and yet the most neglected aspect of the struggle in Spain.

When the Memorial Committee started we estimated that we needed to raise

Berneri, 1918-1949: A Tribute" (5/3d. U.S.A. \$1, post free), should be sent to the Marie Louise Berneri Memorial Committee, c/o Freedom Press, 27 Red Lion Street, W.C.I.

Third List of Contributions

London: Anon 14/-; London: A.W.H. 1/9; Merriott: M.A.W. 9/6; Glasgow: S.M. 3/-; Utah: B.McC. 1/6; Selsdon: J.P.H. 9/6; London: R.W.M. 8/-; Leeds: C.L. 4/6; Bolton: W.H.B. 5/-; Beverley: A.D. 5/-; New York: M.K. 8/9; New York: R.L. 1/9; Long Dene: N.E. 1/6; London: W.G.A. £3/12/6; Liverpool: H.H.J. 5/3; Los Angeles: R.S. 16/3; Vancouver Island: G.W. 10/6; Cape Town: C.D.F. £1/1/0; New York: S.B. £2/11/7; London. V.R. £4/11/5; Oslo: K.P. £1/13/0.

£18 15 3 Total Previously acknowledged £408 16 4

\$427 11 7

Deduct (payment for books. wrongly entered as donastion) 8 15 0

Total to March 31st, 1951 £418 16 7

But this is not the conclusion governments want people to reach by the publication of these secret documents. They are used either to blackmail the governments, in whose interest it is that they should remain secret, or to blacken these same governments and create a feeling of hostility among nations.

It is doubtful whether this is in fact a very effective method because, for each document in the hands of the Americans and detrimental to Russia, Moscow will probably bring out one which will smear either Washington or London. Mr. Bevin's wisdom in not allowing himself to be rushed into the publication of documents is probably dictated more by self-interest than by any feeling of discretion or magnanimity.

Already Moscow has hit back by stating that Britain and France did not wish to

They show that Soviet and German leaders and diplomats exchanged congratulations, compliments and toasts. But didn't we have photographs of them shaking hands and smiling at one another? One could readily imagine that the usual compliments had been exchanged.

They show further that Litvinov was sacked and replaced by Molotov because the former was in favour of an antifascist alliance and a Jew, not a very suitable envoy to send to Germany. This also had been pointed out long ago.

change of line.

The documents also give some information which is not of the kind to hit the headlines but which is perhaps more important than the more publicised one. In December, 1939, for example, when Hitler gave the order to expel thousands of Jews from German-occupied Poland into the Soviet sphere, the Russians sent the Jews back to German-held territory. This will seem an incredible story to those who see in Stalin the defender of the persecuted Jewish people but some of these Jews have survived and they could have told their tragic experience if anybody had been ready to listen to them. But nowadays human suffering does not count unless it is related in secret documents. The victims of Russia's senseless act whose sufferings make such good propaganda material for the U.S. are now in D.P. camps in Germany. They can die of starvation and despair; the world does not care, but they have achieved immortality in the published records and, supreme irony, they are used as fodder in the propaganda for another war.

Conscription, Inflation & Communism BACKGROUND TO AUSTRALIAN ELECTIONS fresh breathing space to liberty of speech and expression and that is all to the good

Melbourne, March 29th.

CINCE I last wrote, there have been major developments in the political arena. They are, in order, the acceptance by the Federal Labour Conference of the National Service Bill conscripting 18-yearold youths, and its subsequent passage; the decision by the High Court that the Anti-Communist Act is invalid; the introduction by the Menzies-Fadden Government of legislation giving coercive power to the Arbitration Court over the workers, and for control of Trade Union Ballots; the shelving by the Labourcontrolled Senate of a Bill to reconstitute a Commonwealth Bank Board; and the double dissolution of the Federal Parliament in consequence of that action.

The election, however, is not being fought on that issue, which was only its pretext; the issues are the matters which I have mentioned before as being the dominant ones in Australia to-day— Inflation and Communism. when at the referendum of 1949 the great majority of the Australian wage-slaves voted in favour of a system of pensions, allowances, bonuses, medicines and benefits to be bestowed on them by a paternal government. The fact that all this brought them more under the control of the Government worried them not at all. Self-reliance of any kind is not a characteristic of Australia to-day.

I have mentioned the passing of the National Service Act, but as I will be dealing with this in a forthcoming issue, I shall not dwell on it at any length except to say that it would not have been carried by the Labour Conference except for the Communist scare (especially in connection with the Korean and Malayan wars), and many elements in the Labour movement dislike it very much. But so strong is Party discipline to-day that even the most Left-wing and antimilitarist sections dare do nothing against what is a binding party decision. Expulsion would be the result of insubordination and expulsion means loss of the parliamentary seat. The Australian Labour movement to-day, unlike that of 1916 and 1917 prefers expediency to principle, and will fight no longer than it sees reason. The men of 1916 risked everything to defeat militarism in Australia; the men of 1951 (and some of them are the same men) are not even prepared to risk a Parliamentary seat. The times have changed, and the men have changed with them.

fresh breathing space to liberty of speech and expression and that is all to the good -but a very important point to note is that all the judges ruled that they rejected the Act because it claimed to exercise its coercive and arbitrary powers in peacetime. They made it perfectly clear that had it been wartime the position would have been quite different and so would their decision. Thus it is obvious that the nearer we get to war, the greater the danger to liberty grows, and if war actually occurs it is goodbye to any freedom of expression in this country. Thus the fight against war and the fight for freedom are bound together as never before.

It cannot be said, however, that the fight against war is being waged very strongly in Australia to-day-except by the Communists whose fight is, of course, not against war as such but against any war that threatens the interests of Soviet Russia and her satellites, European or Asiatic. To-day in Melbourne I see and hear the same Communists who eight years ago, because Russia was in the war were howling for conscription and denouncing anti-conscriptionists as Fascists, coming forward and parading as the enemies of conscription. But the public memory is short, and many who should know better fall for it. Among the people generally, however, the old antimilitarist and anti-conscriptionist fervour is dead, and the menace of Asiatic Communism is considered to make defence preparations necessary. It is not that there is any enthusiasm for war; it would be reported as an unpleasant necessity; but White Australia is still a sacrosanct principle and is believed to be in danger.

Russia's Military Budget

Russia's budget for 1951 as presented to the Supreme Soviet earlier this month in the Kremlin provided for military appropriations of 96,400 million roubles, an increase of 17,000 million on last year's figures. This represents 21.3% of total expenditures in the budget. But as one commentator has pointed out, to compare percentages so far as different countries are concerned is misleading since the economy of Russian is completely nationalised, so that the budget has to cover a mass of expenditure which in nonnationalised countries, would not be included as it is dealt with by private enterprise.

More significant is a comparison between the above figures and military expenditure in 1940 when Russia was actually "actively and openly preparing for a defensive war". In that year the comparable percentage was 32.5% of the budget.

• WEST CAN MATCH

armament programme we could undertake we could reach the size of the Russian Army. We could never attempt to raise four million men in peace-time for the armed forces, but it was a mistake to think that we and our North Atlantic allies could not raise forces at least as large as the Russians.

"The North Atlantic Pact nations had altogether a population of 350 millions, and there was no doubt that if we liked to make a military effort comparable with that of Russia we could, if forced to do so, raise forces much larger than theirs and as large as those of the Russians and their satellites."

• U.S. DOUBLES ITS ARMIES

A few days after Mr. Strachey, President Truman disclosed that the United States had doubled its armed forces since the commencement of hostilities in Korea. American uniformed forces now stand at more than 2,900,000 declared General Marshall. The target for June 30th of this year is 3½ million men.

It is very difficult at this moment to say how the election will go, it all depends as I remarked once before on whether the Great Australian Public is more worried about rising prices or more scared about Communism. Labour concentrates on the first issue, and the "Liberals" and Country Party on the second.

Mr. Chifley's policy speech, delivered last night, improves Labour's chances as he has promised to tackle both high prices and the Communists—the latter to be dealt with if found engaging in subversive activities and, if necessary, the law to be strengthened. But Mr. Menzies' latest legislation will not be implemented by Labour. It was regarded with great hostility by the Trade Unions and for this reason no Labour leader would dare to enforce it.

Both sides are talking of referenda for increasing Federal powers—Labour to control prices and the present Government to control Communists. Either proposal of course, if carried, would strengthen the coercive power of the central government. For that reason the League for Freedom, incorporating the No-Conscription Campaign, has opposed all referenda of the last seven years, as we see in increased Federal power an approach to the Totalitarian State. The Social Service or Welfare State already exists here. It was officially launched The High Court's rejection of the Anti-Communist Act as invalid has given a

K. J. KENAFICK.

RUSSIA'S 4,000,000 ARMY

There seems to be a move in certain quarters to put Russia's military power into a new perspective. Perhaps the psychology of fear can go too far when one reaches the point of assuming one's potential enemy is invincible and of taking the line that it is pointless to resist. Mr. Strachey, Secretary for War, at a meeting in Dundee (March 18th) said that "The armed forces of Soviet Russia numbered altogether some 4,000,000 men. Although that was certainly a very large number to keep under arms at any one time, it was not altogether out of proportion to the total Russian population of 200,000,000.

"He was not suggesting that in any

MORE MONEY FOR SECRET POLICE & SPIES

Secret Service estimates in this country for 1951-1952 total £4 million, which is £1 million more than for the current year.

When one reads all the sanctimonious tripe uttered by attorney-generals and judges to spies who have been caught, one cannot but ask the question (and answer it): "When is a spy not a spy?"_____ Answer: "When he is spying on your side."

GUNS BEFORE BUTTER IN PAKISTAN

No-one will deny that Pakistan's teeming millions could do with better living conditions. But politicians are not interested as much in these human problems as in the paper problems of balancing the budget. And Pakistan's Finance Minister has jubilantly declared that his country has a surplus of £34 million this year and hopes for a £30 million surplus next year. With so much money to burn his government has decided to spend half next year's revenue on defense—that is £90 millions and £10 millions for increased social services!

MAKING THE DEFEATED PAY

Some grumblers in this country seem to think that the British taxpayer is paying to keep the German people. How far from the truth this is was shown this month when the West German Government introduced two Bills in Parliament to increase taxes by some £680 million a year to meet additional expenditure "for defence, social welfare and economic reforms"; of this amount £135 million will be used to meet additional costs of the occupation army and internal security measures. It should be noted that West Germans are already paying £400 million for Occupation costs in 1951.

A FOREIGN COMMENTARY ON EASTER CONFERENCES Protect us from the "Do-Gooders"!

THE number of organisations in the world to-day which exist either for the purpose of ensuring peace among the nations or for defending some cause or other, is so staggering that one might almost be tempted to ask how it comes about that with so many organised "dogooders" about, the world is in its present mess.

We think perhaps the answer to this

equality or whatever their particular interest is deemed possible of realisation within the framework of government. Though the Communists are opposed to the American Government, they look to another Government for a solution, and the federalists—whether the European or World varieties seek solutions in government albeit supra-national.

Furthermore, with the exception of a handful of absolutist pacifists all these peace lovers have limits to their patience! Thus they will go so far but at no time are they prepared to denounce the slogan "my country right or wrong". And just as they consider individual violence as wrong and punishable and violence in war as right because it is sanctioned by higher authority so we find them the ardent supporters of larger organisations of nations. For then the sanction for the use of violence comes from an even higher authority: the United Nations (West bloc or East bloc), and their sense of selfrighteousness grows with the size of the organisation. All this muddled thinking can, to our mind, be summed-up by a recent report that UNESCO is prepared to conduct a \$100,000 "educational and rehabilitation programme in Korea immediately after the fighting ends". Now, believe it or not, the programme of "education and rehabilitation" is not intended for the barbarians who have ravished Korea; the generals who openly admit that Korea has been most useful as a testing-out ground for new military weapons; the airmen who have "Coventried" dozens of towns and villages from one extremity of the country to the other; and the politicians who talk while Korea burns . . . Oh, no, these 100,000 dollars are to be spent on the Koreans, to teach them our way of life and to appreciate our culture! That there are men and women without shame, to undertake this task of "educating" the Koreans, is surely

the sub-committee on planning and freedom was interesting in that they accepted that freedom must be the end and planning the means; that if planning threatened liberty it was the content of the planning, not the nature of liberty which must be changed. They showed themselves genuinely anxious that a plan should always represent the will of the people, even if people were short-sighted or wrong, and that no concern for the success or permanence of the plan should tempt planners into repressing opposition, interfering with the freedom of the press, or suspending elections; for, they argued, it was through these means that the electorate could best ensure that its planners planned for the public's ends and not those of the planners. As Socialists they still believe in government and therefore their fine words do not persuade us that in power they would be any better than their colleagues in other countries. As to the purpose served by the congress, this is how the N. York Herald Tribune's correspondent in Bombay summed it up: "In general, the congress . . . is considered to have been a success, although some delegates were disappointed that it focused attention particularly on the Soviet system and failed to speak out specifically against evils also existing in Western nations. "However, it is generally thought that the congress at least helped to clarify confusion in some Indian minds between relative evils in East and West. As such it is considered a good beginning to a new understanding in India of the Western position." And it should be added, a good time was had by that young and hard-worked profession: congressional globe trotters, whose names appear with monotonous regularity at these gatherings. The only real purpose they serve is to allow the

apparent paradox is to be found in the limitations common to all these organisations: that peace, justice or racial

Allies Oppose W. German

IN building up the cold war as an ideological struggle, the ruling classes of the West have to a certain extent succeeded in creating the impression among many progressively-minded people that capitalism has lost most of its ruthlessness, and that ethics and human wellbeing and happiness come before business. This is, of course, quite untrue as we have shown on numerous occasions. One of the most striking examples of the fact that capitalism is never other than a wolf in sheep's clothing is that of the proposed reform of industry in the Ruhr. A Bill to give workers an equal share in Ruhr coal and steel management received a successful second reading in the West German Parliament last week in spite of strong foreign opposition, which may yet succeed in strangling this proposed democratic measure.

The opposition comes from many countries. France was the first to object



Reforms

on the grounds that the proposed measure might alter the industrial structure of Western Germany just before the Schuman Plan was ready for signature and would adversely affect coalmines in which the majority of capital is held by French investors.

"Benelux" countries followed The France's lead with similar objections. Belgium, Holland and Luxembourg have large investments in the Ruhr and in the Aachen coalmining area. Indeed, according to one German newspaper the protests are supported by individual firms such as the Luxembourg steel combine of Arbed "which has especially intricate connections with the German steel firms in the Cologne area". The Americans too are concerned by such revolutionary proposals and their trade delegation which recently arrived in Bonn to study the problem of workers' participation in industrial management, argue that such a reform will "hinder investment of foreign

Strike Ends in West Indies

R.

A CCORDING to reports from the island of Grenada in the West Indies, where a strike of agricultural workers took place last month, workers on the sugar estates have now returned to work following a 21-day general strike.

The Agricultural Association and the Agricultural Employers' Society agreed with the Manual and Mental Workers' Union to raise men's wages from the equivalent of 3s. 91d. to 5s. a day and women's wages from 3s. 2d. to 4s. 2d. a day. They will work an eight-hour day and their tasks will be as before. The increases will be retrospective from January 1st and will cover all days on which work has been done since that date. The Caribbean Labour Congress emphasises that the dispute was "primarily and intentionally an economic issue". In support of this contention it has issued the following examples, provided by its correspondent on the spot, of wages and prices in the island: Average wage of domestic servant (a week of seven days) 7s.; Labourer (a day)

Inumery Through 114	onia	
ourney Through Ut Marie	Louise Berneri	16/-
he Case of Comra	de Tulayev Victor Serge	12/6
ivilization and its	Discontents Sigmund Freud	8/6
atholic Church Ac Century	ainst 20th wro Manhattan	5/-
eedom and Catho	Paul Blanshard	16/-
on Quixote	Cervantes	5/-
yond the Mounta (4 Plays) K		7/6
uman Needs Mich	hael Graham	15/-
ndon's Underworl	d Henry Mayhew	18/-
uthority and Delin	T. E. Ryves quency	10/6
	Alex Comfort	8/6
an, No. 8		2/6
Please add	for postage	
Obtained	le from	

... Obtainable from 27 red lion st, london, W.C.1 capital in Western Germany in the near future".

Britain, strangely enough, has not joined in the chorus. But knowing that even Labour politicians can be relied on to defend capitalist interests to the last ditch, one does not attribute their attitude to a newly-found altruism. Rather will the answer perhaps be found in the fact that Britain had also refused to join the Schuman Plan chorus for coal and steel production in Western Europe.

In summing-up the chances of foreign pressure influencing the West German parliament in this social reform, the *Manchester Guardian*'s Bonn correspondent writes:

"It would be unfair to overstate the ingers of a big business alliance which tends to prevent the German trade nions from achieving a reform on which ey set their hearts long ago and which a practical alternative to the nationalation of Germany's heavy industries. It however, quite clear that indirect essure is being brought to bear on a erman Government whose sovreignty is nited by Allied ordinance and which is, therefore, less able to stand up to it. It might be that-if the High Commission is to intervene at all-it should do so to ensure that German social reforms are not prevented by outside interference." R.

a proof of how profoundly the disease of "doublethink" has ravaged the Western hemisphere.

THE extent of the "do-good" organisation mania in the world can be gauged by the number of alleged "Communist-fronts" listed by the Un-American Activities Committee in its recently published report. They and their sponsors run to sixty-seven pages! And, judging by the number of assorted bulletins one receives from all parts of the world, and the fanciful titles of new organisations which spring up like mushrooms (and disappear as quickly), it is not surprising that some people must, like the artist Rockwell Kent who it is stated by the American Committee has been connected with 85 such organisations, belong to a number or there would be a shortage of sponsors to go round!

*

IN India, too, the intellectuals have been holding a conference under the auspices of the Indian Congress for Cultural Freedom, the pro-American rival of the pro-Russian peace front, and again a high-sounding title simply hides what is an anti-Russian propaganda machine. There were a few delegates unrealistic enough to attempt a little clear thinking unhampered by political opportunism. And the Indian socialists' attitude in reader to identify at a glance whether the congress is a pro-American or a pro-Russian outfit.

WE have only space to mention the Annual congress of World Federalists which has just been held in Rome. Delegates were welcomed by European Federalist Count Sforza. At the same time, also in Rome, the Communists staged their first Party Congress since 1948 and Togliatti harangued them for three hours on the usual theme of good Stalin—bad Truman. And to complete this babel of voices in Rome, the Saragat and Romita factions of the Italian Socialist Party were thrashing out the problems of unity!

And, back in London, silently but not less effectively, a sweating vegetarian cyclist in the Conway Hall was furiously peddling a bicycle which, being fitted on rollers, got him nowhere, in order to show the audience what one could do without meat in one's diet (as if we didn't know). And once the world is convinced and gives up meat-eating, then we shall lose our "aggressive instincts" and, hey presto! wars will be relegated to the history books.

Ah, well, they say that Spring gets everybody in different ways. For some it is the silent vegetarian cyclist propagandist, for others three hours of Togliatti. LIBERTARIAN.

Price of:	(in Grenada)	(in England)
Flour	 61d. (lb.)	31d. (lb.)
Sugar	 61d. (lb.)	5d. (lb.)
Soap	 2s. 6d. (bar)	2s. (bar)
Oil	 2s. 1d. (bot)	

"In other words," says the London branch of the Caribbean Labour Congress, "an average working labourer (for approximately 50 per cent are unemployed without dole or other relief) must work six hours of a nine-hour day in order to purchase a bar of soap for a week's use; and a domestic servant must do half a day's work to buy I lb. of sugar."

If the British Government were as quick in carrying out social reforms on the island as they were in flying out police reinforcements and diverting warships to the island to quell the "riots" as they refer to the protests of starving people, conditions might possibly be improved.

FREEDUM

PRACTICAL ACTION AGAINST WAR BEFORE TOO LATE REPORT OF HOLBORN HALL MEETING

A PUBLIC MEETING, organised by the London Anarchist Group, was held at the Large Holborn Hall on Wednesday, 28th March. The chairman, Philip Sansom, opened the meeting by explaining its purpose. He emphasised that the anarchists were quite clear in their attitude to war: they recognised that it was an inherent symptom of Capitalism, and would not be finally eradicated until we have abolished Capitalism itself. The anarchist approach is a realistic one; a terrible war threatened, and we are concerned to take what immediate steps we can to stem the tide which most people are accepting so helplessly.

He introduced the first speaker, F. A. Ridley as one who although he did not declare himself to be an anarchist, had co-operated wholeheartedly with the anarchists in the last war, and was a most valued ally.

F. A Ridley expressed himself to be very happy once again to co-operate with the anarchists who, he said, were belying their fictional tradition by being about the only people who were not prepared to make bombs nowadays. He dealt in detail with an analysis of the international rivalries of power-groups, and explained that if there were to be another major war, it would not be the third world war, but the first world war, for the whole planet would be involved, and the conqueror, if any, would dominate the whole world. Such a war would have to be fought, in every sense, with totalitarian weapons, and this being so, any idea of fighting it in defence of ideas of democracy and freedom is plainly ludicrous. He painted a grim picture of the regime that would be the outcome of such a war. "Britain," said Ridley, "was providentially designed for atom-bombing." He pointed out how this island, with its concentration of population and industry in certain restricted areas, would be quite helpless in the event of an atomic war. In such a war there might be some doubt as to whether Britain would be bombed by Russia or by America-but unquestionably it would be bombed. Ridley reiterated the chairman's remarks about the revolutionary solution being the only final solution to the problem of war, but he pointed out how the tide of history was not flowing in our direction and that it was therefore incumbent on us to oppose this ugly symptom of a sick society itself-war-and that meetings such as this were a necessary

surviving population, and it is towards this disaster that the government's policy is heading us.

Tony Gibson cautioned people not to misunderstand the purpose of the meeting. "People sometimes come to public meetings to get comfort and solace from the speakers on the platform, he said, "so that they can go home with a nice warm feeling inside them-a feeling of vicarious satisfaction that someone is doing .something about something. You will get no solace from this anarchist platform; we must point out in the plainest terms that if anything is to be done to avert the threatening catastrophe it is you who must set about doing it."

He mentioned the activities of the Peace with China Committee, and condemned this movement as a political racket as it served to canalise the very real anti-war feeling prevalent in the country into ineffectual channels. The thousands of post cards which they caused to be sent urging Mr. Attlee to do his very best for Peace, are useless, as Mr. Attlee is already doing his best-but according to the distorted view of a power politician. Peace efforts through the machinery of the State have proved to lead to nothing but bigger and bloodier wars. We can hope for nothing through political channels; what we can do is to render the government incapable of declaring war by making it plain to them now that we are not standing for their war-policy, and do this by our actions. Tony Gibson urged that every individual should realise that no-one can make effective his war-resistance but himself, and that it is unrealistic to lay down any general policy of action which all could subscribe to. Each man knows in what particular sphere he is most powerful, and it is up to him to use his own power, and not to wait for a leader to harness it for him. He dealt with the question of martyrdom: "Let me make myself plain; I am not calling for martyrs. I declare before you all that I myself am not willing to suffer for my principles; any time I have to suffer it is unwillingly and in spite of my principles. There is too much suffering in the world to-day, and most of it is carried on in the name of sacred principles." He pointed out that the anti-war movement had hitherto been ineffective precisely because ordinary people are repelled by high-falutin' principles, and they had been misled to suppose that war-resistance implies martyrdom for the individual-but it is the State that demands martyrdom, and the act of warresistance is a rejection of martyrdom. He again stressed the power of individual action, as opposed to political endeavours, and reminded the audience that their power lay in their own hands.

"In other words," said Jimmy Raeside, "those workers used the only argument Governments understand-power! If the workers of Britain did the same and compelled Attlee to go to Truman and say that the British would not stand any reduction of living standards for war preparations-then war would be averted." If that is not forthcoming, every individual must take such action as he thinks best to avoid annihilation.

The last part of the meeting was devoted to questions and discussion. Although a certain amount of this time was wasted by the set speeches of those who had come only to grind their political axes, many valuable ideas were put forward from the floor, and the meeting was lively enough to show that practical action against war can be undertaken in many different ways.

All those interested in future meetings in this campaign should watch for further announcements in Freedom.

ALEX COMFORT'S SPEECH

or from abuses in the West. That is not

so to-day. War will liberate nobody from

anything. If we co-operate with it in

any shape or form, we shall place our-

selves in the hands of men as devoted,

through their own fears, to the com-

mission of unlimited and purposeless

crime as were the Nazis-more unlimited

who are on this platform have, from our

various points of view, very often criti-

cized parliamentary government. We are

united in claiming that it affords no real

voice to the individual and no real safe-

guard against psychopaths in office. Now

whatever you may feel about this theory,

it must be plain to you that you may be

able to change the policy of the govern-

ment, but that you cannot do it by voting.

Unless we are prepared to vote for the

Communist Party, or for minority move-

ments which have no hope of making their

voice heard, we have no choice even be-

tween statements of policy, still less be-

What then can we do? Those of us

and more purposeless.

(Continued from page 2)

question "What can we do?" will realise: that, we can prevent war.

Believing that we can answer their question. And this is my answer to itstrengthen your resolution, determinewhere you stand. By all means make: your voice heard. Call your own neighbours together and discuss the thing with them. Join your voices, singly or in groups, to any of the organisations which. are rendering anti-war feeling vocal and with which you feel you can agree. But having done all this, remember that at the last resort you have both the duty and the right to refuse, as an individual, to participate in war or the preparation of war.

You have no right to claim that you do not know what such a war would involve -over and above the mockery of innumerable conventions and pacts against genocide and massacre, from Geneva to-Nuremburg, by which those who call you to fight still claim to be bound. You have every right to safeguard your own life and the lives of your innocent fellow men by the same refusal which we hoped for, in vain, from those Germans who supported Hitler. Sir Stafford Cripps said, in 1938, "the workers must make it clear beyond all doubt that they will not support the government in the policy it is now pursuing." Before those speeches are withdrawn as seditious I urge you to read them again. Let me conclude with this. I believe that if every one of us resolves here and now, first, that our protest shall be heard, that he will not keep silence, and second that he will under no circumstances willingly take part in war or the preparation of war, I believe that we can succeed, and that in that undertaking we will be acting together with, and in the interests of, the people of all countries. Let every one of us use the voice he has, and the conscience he has, and I believe we can succeed.

FREEDOM PRESS
M. Bakunin: MARXISM, FREEDOM & THE STATE paper 2/6, cloth 5/-
Herbert Read: EXISTENTIALISM, MARXISM & ANARCHISM 3/6 POETRY AND ANARCHISM Cloth 5/-
THE PHILOSOPHY OF ANARCHISM Boards 2/6, Paper 1/- THE EDUCATION OF FREE MEN 1/-
Alexander Berkman: A.B.C. OF ANARCHISM I/-
John Hewetson: ILL-HEALTH, POVERTY AND THE STATE Cloth 2/6, Paper 1/-
Peter Kropotkin: THE STATE: ITS HISTORIC ROLE I/- THE WAGE SYSTEM 3d. REVOLUTIONARY GOVERNMENT 3d. ORGANISED VENGEANCE CALLED JUSTICE 2d.
M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA 1/-
F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH AND THE MODERN AGE 2d.
Marie Louise Berneri Memorial Com- mitte publications: MARIE LOUISE BERNERI 1918-1949- A Tribute cloth 5/- JOURNEY THROUGH UTOPIA

tween the reality. If anyone is going to act to-day it must be ourselves, as individuals, not through any of the vehicles of political office but through our own exertions. The only barrier between this country and war is public opinion, public resistance, the certainty in the minds of those who wish to make war that they cannot make it with us. And above all things, I ask you to realise that they cannot go to war without us. We are not impotent. If those who to-day ask the

THE ANARCHITECTS

A SKED on the 12th March if his attention had been called to the article entitled "Military Service" on page 32 of issue No. 8 of the Architectural Students' Association Journal Plan (see article in Freedom for 20/1/51), advising young men to refuse to carry out their national service training; and whether he has considered' taking action on it under the Incitement to Disaffection Act, 1934, the Attorney-General replied: "I have considered the article to which the Hon. Member's Question refers. I do not think it would be appropriate to take action under the Incitement to Disaffection Act, 1934, in regard to it."

DOWN IN THE GLEN

A secret list of volunteers willing to "shelter Scots boys from conscription" is to be drawn up by the Scottish National Congress. 200 cheering delegates decided yesterday at a special Glasgow meeting. A resolution read: "That we are resolved by every means in our power to protect Scots youth from being conscripted, and also to open our homes to them."

ALEI COMFORT.

THE PRICE OF FREEDOM

How essential it is for us to have the unremitting support of all those comradesand friends who support our efforts topublish FREEDOM weekly, is shown by the almost monthly increases in prices, which affect the production costs of all papers, and are threatening many longestablished journals.

Last month it was an increase of nearly 20% in the price of newsprint.

This month it has been announced that

printed matter postal rates will be increased.

by 50% (from Id. to 1td. on every copy of

FREEDOM sent through the post). This will

add about £200 a year to our budget.

Already, in establishing the subscription

rate for the weekly FREEDOM at 15/- we

part of the struggle.

The next speaker was Tony Gibson. He began by pointing out that although the Government of Britain could declare a major war, such a declaration could not be implemented by the country, for, as Ridley had pointed out, atom-bombing would soon smash Britain's industrial war-making capacity. This island's rôle of unsinkable aircraft carrier for American or Russian bombers, would involve



eld in future at 7.30 p.m., at THE PORCUPINE PUBLIC HOUSE, Jack Rubin YOUTH AND REVOLUTION Albert Meltzer WHY NO UNITY ON THE LEFT ? Mat Kavanagh APRIL 29th THE BATTLE OF SYDNEY STREET

After all, he pointed out, it is not in-Let's talk of more lively things. Any are several sorts. One sort which Tony little typist, or other office girl thinks it Discussion Meetings fertnightly, 7.30 p.m. compatible for our Government to send Total ... Gibson mentioned are the Herbert Morher first anarchist duty to improve my troops to Korea and at the same time sell. Enquiries c/o Freedom Press. risons and the Will Lawthers. I don't manners, my behaviour. They want me rubber-a vital war material-to Com-A Debate APRIL 17th bother much about them, they leave us munist China. While under a trade to be a lady-it doesn't come into their "CONSCIOUS EGOISM-FOR AND very quickly (and the sooner the better), agreement Russia is still selling manminds that I don't want to be a lady. AGAINST" because their ambitions cannot be fulfilled ganese to America for war production. I know they mean it well, bless their Proposer: Ted Mann in our movement, they have to look for souls . . . but it just wouldn't fit in to "They will undoubtedly," said Raeside, Opposer: Edgar Priddy it somewhere else. But the other power me. It doesn't fit into them either, but GIFTS OF BOOKS. "get it back." Len Watson MAY 1st seekers, though not careerists, neverthethey cannot see it . . . Referring to his imprisonment as a Bombay: R.B.; London: C.F. "CHRISTIANITY AND CRISES" less do a lot of "damage inside the move-LEAH FELDMAN. C.O. during the last war, Jimmy Raeside ment". Some of them think if they have said that although it didn't seem so good GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP more education, they should be superior. at the time, looking back, and seeing some Mock Tribunals for C.Os. INDOOR MEETINGS They shouldn't forget that it is only of the men who came back, he thought a thanks to the toiling masses, which let EVERY SUNDAY AT 7 p.m. FREEDOM few months in jail infinitely preferable PROPOSE the organisation of Mock themselves be enslaved for centuries at the to a few years in the army. "But what I Tribunals for C.O.s This is a most that their enslavers can buy educa-CENTRAL HALLS, 25 Bath Street. am looking for now," he continued, "is effective way of enabling people (G and tion for their sons and daughters. And Price 3d. with a sign of-if you like-some animal-like Z men, and young men not previously the children from the working class, some Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw. desire for self-preservation, some popular registered) to understand just how valid-**Postal Subscription Rates** of them very gifted, are forced to go into J. Raeside action for survival instead of going like in the eyes of the Tribunals-is their 6 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$1). filthy factories and mines or as farm OUTDOOR MEETINGS cattle to the slaughter." personal conscientious objection to war. 12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2). labourers, to help their parents make both Raeside described to the audience the Also, the running of these Mock At MAXWELL STREET ends meet. And then what is education recent action of the workers of Lyons Tribunals will be a means of focusing 6 months 7/6 (\$1.50). without common sense and intelligencefrom April 29th who, on strike for higher wages, had each attention on this particular aspect of 12 months 15/- (\$3). those precious things we cannot buy with personally received a note from the war-resistance which gets comparatively money . . . FRENCH CORRESPONDENT French Government ordering them back little publicity. Now comes a third kind of power-I shall be glad to hear from everyone to work, and refusing their demands. Not WANTED FREEDOM PRESS seekers. Years ago we had a large moveone worker went back. Instead they all interested-both C.O.s with their personal IF any French reader would care to cor-27 Red Lion Street gathered in the streets and made a public ment and really great intellectuals, and problems, and people who can render respond (in French and/or English) with people from all classes and many, many bonfire of the Government orders. The effective assistance in this matter. Please London, W.C.I England an English comrade, will he please write write c/o Freedom Press. next day the Government announced pay working people, and we all felt equal. Tel.: Chancery 8364. to Freedom Press, 27 Red Lion Street, Yours fraternally, rises for the strikers of from 3/- to 5/-If some liked to exercise their power, or London, W.C.I, England. in the pound! snobbery, we didn't give them any chance TONY GIBSON.

Alex Comfort now spoke. He read a paper, the text of which we are able to print in full on page 2.

Jimmy Raeside, our comrade from ject of this meeting here in London the

Jimmy Raeside reminded the audience be he used the wrong term? I would like tried to use-slander and violence. What St., next Leicester Square Underground Sta. an ugly spectacle it was. They didn't that we had been told by an American to believe that he meant to say that if know beforehand how much harm they some comrades have got more knowledge, APRIL 15th civil defence expect that Britain could "take" atomic bombing-we should soon they should share it with the others. do to themselves. And just the same, they get used to it! But I wonder," he went did not cure the cancer which is deep This means teaching, guiding, advising, APRIL 22nd in every movement to-day . . . I'm positive on, "whether the Government intend getbut not leading in the sense of power. ting used to it? Or whether the two I hope, therefore, that comrade Edgar that if they would use honest tactics, it would produce good results. It would Brabazon air liners will be used when the Priddy will answer and explain what he bring us to understanding instead of to a Cabinet decide it's getting too hot to really meant. split. stay!" NORTH-EAST LONDON GROUP Now, about the power seekers. They

cloth 10/6 (U.S.A. \$1.75) 27, Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS ANARCHISTS AND POWER

TT seems to me, that the London Anarto do so. So they had to change their unimaginable chaos and misery for the chist Group's Sunday night lecture on attitude and become good comrades again. Glasgow, was the next speaker. He told annum. March 4th (Edgar Priddy on "The In-This shows itself, that there are plenty of the audience how the support for the Anarchist meetings in Glasgow was such dividual and the Group") was a little people in our own ranks who possess How hard is the road along which the meetings and announcements confused. There is a saying, the more (maybe unconsciously) a habit to dictate, that nowadays the doors had to be closed you live, the more you learn. So I did to give orders, but never will they listen and latecomers turned away. But "I am last Sunday. For the first time since I to others. They hate everyone who is not surprised," said Raeside, "that on the sublearned the meaning of anarchism, I heard like them. They do not understand that if everybody would be the same, it would hall is not packed to the doors." the speaker, as an anarchist, saying when LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP be very dull and colourless indeed. It is Apathy, however, seems to be fairly he answered a question, that some power up to us not to obey them, not to give CENTRAL LONDON general. While it is clear that the popuis necessary in a movement. But when I said, "Yes, I know it exists, unfortunthem a chance to exercise power over lation are not falling for the Govern-Regular Sunday evening meetings will be others. We should stand up for our rights ment's propaganda, still they seem to ately, but it shouldn't be in an anarchist think they have to live up to the British movement," he then said he did not see to know and to have a say in everything Special Appeal power, at least not in his group. So I in the movement. But for goodness' sake people's reputation for remaining cheerful March 22nd to April 6th : don't let us use the bad tactics that others don't know what he meant before. Mayunder all circumstances. corner Charing Cross Rd. and Gt. Newport

Sunday Pictorial, 18/3/51.

have only allowed 2/- for postage, which is less than a half of the actual cost. If therefore the proposed new rates are imposed, we shall probably be obliged to increase our subscription rates by 2/- per

really Free Press must travel! And one feels inclined to add, having glanced at the foot of this column: how few people there are in the world to give it a helping hand !

Freedom – Weekly Edinburgh: T.O'M.* 5/-; Preston: W.A.L. 16/-; Dovercourt: L.C.W. 5/-; Gt. Bookham: J.L.R. 5/8; Edinburgh: G.B.* 10/-; York: H.A.A. 10/-; London: F.W.F. 1/-; London: M.C.* 2/6; Madras: K.S.R. 2/-; Glasgow: A.McD.* 4/-: Cambridge: C.L.D.* 5/-: Llanelly: L.W. 3/-; London: W.E.D.* 6/-; London: E.E. 6d.; Denver: R.B. £1/5/0; London: L.G.W.* 5/-; Gosport: F.G.* 5/-; London: F.E.D.* 5/-: Vancouver: M.P. £1. £6 15 8 Previously acknowledged ... £109 19 4 1951 TOTAL TO DATE ... £116 15 0 * Indicates regular contributions to the fund. Anarchist Fortnightly Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers. Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1, Published by Freedom Press, 27 Red Lien Street, London, W C ...