

# Freedom

## Anarchist Weekly

MAY 20 1967 Vol 28 No 15

### NO PILL FOR SCOTS!

THE RECENT Bill, now in the Committee stage in the House of Commons, to make Family Planning part and parcel of the National Health Service, covers England and Wales but not Scotland.

The reason for this discrimination lies in the 'Catholic vote'. And this bogey is a real one. Areas of Scotland, particularly in the West and around Dundee are haunted by the presence of the 'Catholic vote'. In areas like Coatbridge the populace votes not Labour or Tory—but Catholic and Protestant.

To give some examples of just how bad it is. In Glasgow, where the Labour-controlled council is ridden with Irish-Catholics there is no official municipal Family Planning Centre at all. The sole source of help and information in the city is the offices of the Family Planning Association, a private body. Queues of women have been reported there. In Dundee (with an amazingly large Irish population) a similar situation exists. Edinburgh is little better and only in Aberdeen, where the humanitarian doctor Sir Dugald Baird has been working on the outer fringes of the law for years, is contraceptive information freely available. Freely available, that is, for those who seek it out.

Even in Aberdeen, claimed by some to be more liberal in these matters than Stockholm, the local clinic does not appear in the telephone directory and its services are largely restricted to the middle classes.

A suggestion that posters should be put in mother and baby clinics was briefly mooted by the city health committee and then quietly dropped. That not everyone in the city agrees with the enlightened

attitude of the health authorities can be seen when one Progressive (i.e. Tory) councillor said, 'What do we need it for anyway?'

Scotland is bogged down in sex matters by 19th-century Victorian Presbyterianism on one hand and blatant Roman Catholicism on the other. In one cathedral in a major Scottish city worshippers were given printed forms containing a hysterical tirade against the abortion bill and were told, by the clergy, to send this drivel to their MP. Some weren't so soft and sent these little holy epistles to the press instead, whereupon the hierarchy denied responsibility.

Certain Scottish Tory MPs have pleaded in the Westminster Gasworks that Scotland should be excluded from the abortion bill. What similar underhand skulduggery has gone on in the case of the Family Planning Bill? Or is the failure to give Scotland the benefits therein the only way in which our politically bankrupt Labour rulers can halt the ever decreasing Scottish population? Scotland now has the biggest slum problem in Europe, the lowest wage rates in Britain and mounting unemployment. The Highland Board, just about the only piece of anything like Socialist planning this government has done is impotent against the attacks of the landed gentry. Wilson may well have an Aden problem on his hands, he may worry about the Common Market, he can sweat over the balance of payments. But the Scottish problem, provided North of the border comrades start stirring it up a bit, could give Harold the Good the biggest headache he's ever had!

DONALD SHU.

### 'SUNDAY CITIZEN' COMMITS SUICIDE

FREEDOM regrets to announce the death of another newspaper. The *Sunday Citizen* has five issues to go before its burial. The cause of death, according to the patient, lack of 'advertising'. I beg to differ with the diagnosis, it was more like suicide whilst under strain of economic difficulties of its own making.

Since approximately 1954, when it was the *Reynold's News*, the *Sunday Citizen* has become a 'lousy rag', good feature articles have been the exception rather than the rule. In the majority of cases it has trimmed its sails to accommodate the right-wing Lib-Lab of the Labour Party and consequently found itself in competition with the traditional independent Tory Press. Obviously it was no race—the *Citizen* couldn't even present that point of view attractively. The *Sunday Citizen* was viewed as a tragic giggle, which was bought and read out of loyalty, and even that has grown thin over the past eighteen months or so. Some printing chapels, who made bulk sales of the paper, were unable to give copies away let alone sell them.

The *Sunday Citizen*, if it had had 'spunk', could have captured the imagination of the Joe Soaps. Maybe due to

the boycott of advertisers it would not have made a fortune but it would have held its own.

One thing that can be said without fear of contradiction, the printing workers bent over backwards in their attempts to save the *Citizen*, but all they succeeded in doing was providing a slightly healthier corpse for a later burial.

Will the *Sunday Citizen* be the last newspaper to die? Unfortunately I have my doubts. Some circulations are beginning to drop. The immediate cause put forward is lack of advertising, and up to a point this is correct, but do the British public want newspapers as such? Taking a look at the national press, both daily and Sunday, the four with the highest circulations are the two *Mirrors*, *News of the World* and the *People*, with the emphasis on crumple before news, although to be fair, the last does specialize in anti-working-class muck-raking.

So what is the solution? Are National daily papers a thing of the past? Do we have time to read the papers? Going to work in the mornings, either the journey is too quick or too crowded to read the paper, the same in the evening and by the time you have had your evening meal the one-eyed monster imposes its hypnotic powers.

The papers which do not need reading have the highest circulation, and this, whether we like it or not, is the unpalatable truth. Newspapers as such have either to rekindle social conscientiousness on the injustices of the day, expose the nakedness of the dream world we live in, or become puppets of the brassy advertisers, with news as incidental.

The *Sunday Citizen* was in an ideal position to make the exposures; it was financed by the Co-operative and Trade Union Movements. It didn't need the brassy advertisers it chose to court. As with any suicide, we regret the death of wasted potential.

BILL CHRISTOPHER.

KING CONSTANTINE of Greece recently visited this country in a 'private' capacity and the independent, free press of this country obeyed instructions to keep this fact secret from the public.

King Faisal of Saudi Arabia recently visited this country in a 'public' capacity and the independent, free press of this country obeyed instructions to whip up some interest.

Nevertheless a courageous journalist, Mr. Micheal Davie, the Deputy Editor of the *Observer*, shed an interesting sidelight on King Faisal's visit, which is of importance to us all.

It is a pity that nobody will tell us about King Constantine's visit. We would have liked to know whom the Greek King talked to. Did he visit his relations? In what way did his visit connect up with the recent coup? But on this subject we have to wait until a respectable amount of time has elapsed. Should a courageous journalist wait so long?

But we are grateful to Mr. Davie for having written a very interesting article on at least one aspect of the sponsored welcome given to King Faisal. This 'sponsored welcome' was very noticeable in the pages of *The Times* newspaper, on whose presses the *Observer* is printed. It must have taken quite a bit of courage on the part of Mr. Davie to have publicly criticised his own landlord. But that he has cause for complaint is evident. This briefly has to be explained as dog does not bite landlord very often.

Lord Thomson is the owner of the *Sunday Times* (rival of the *Observer*) and he also owns *The Times* which shares the building and presses with the *Observer*. Therefore the *Sunday Times* knows every move that the *Observer* intends to make. By the time the *Observer* staff worked out a new lay-out or decided on a new series, Lord Thomson's *Sunday Times* has a similar article ready. This battle is not so much for news, but for advertisers.

And where one paper goes the other must follow. Special features which bore the tits off readers are now commonplace. And, as Mr. Davie pointed out, there is a danger of further encroachment. He has decided to make a stand on this issue.

The new twist is this. On Tuesday, May 9, *The Times* made publishing history by becoming the official organ of the Saudi Arabian Government. This is how it happened. They published a special supplement on King Faisal's visit. He was welcomed in an editorial

# Sheikhs & Shekels

and there were 19 separate articles about or related to Saudi Arabia.

Says Davie: 'At the foot of page two there was a note saying: "Publication of this number has been made possible by the payment of a charge by the Government of Saudi Arabia equivalent in amount to the cost of advertising that a special number of this size would normally carry".'

'The novelty of the supplement lay in the total absence of visible advertisements. What had happened was that *The Times* had printed 12 pages, liable to create a favourable impression of Saudi Arabia in the minds of its readers, and the Saudis had paid the bill.'

'Responsible' newspapers have been careful in the past to maintain a reputation for complete independence. These kind of special reports that are signed by respected and unbiased political commentators are making a mockery of 'independence'.

This is a kind of liberal wish that has no reality. But it has driven Mr. Davie to the point of resistance. One can sympathise with him wanting to hang on to a code by which a semblance of plain dealing can satisfy his conscience.

But for this problem there is going to be no solution. The encroachments of advertisers will continue. The borderline between advertising and editorial copy cannot be maintained under the present publishing arrangements for newspapers. Mr. Davie accepts the fact that advertisements are the main source of revenue for newspapers. But he must know how difficult it is to avoid the printing of concealed advertisements. Most of the travel stories are sponsored by Cook's Tours. One can concede that advertisers do not always want editorial control. This is when they only have goods to sell. But when they wish to create a market, or change governmental policy, they also buy editorial space. The threat of withdrawing advertisements is only put to advertising men, who pass it on to the management. By the time a

request arrives on the editor's desk it has been tactfully worded. But advertising, whether it is concealed or only too blatant, is the mainstay of most newspapers and the more one paper like the *Sunday Times* gobbles up the ads, the less there is for other papers. This is not to say that a successful paper is a healthy one.

The *Sunday Citizen* was killed for lack of advertising. And yet it had an enormous circulation. They sold 250,000 copies a week. There is something wrong when such a figure is too small for a healthy circulation.

Last year the *Sunday Citizen* is supposed to have made a loss of £160,000. In the same year the Co-operative Wholesale Society made a profit of £800,000. Now it would have been within the CWS's reach to keep the *Sunday Citizen* alive. But this would have been no different from the CIA's subsidy to *Encounter* (and I believe to the magazine *Censorship*). The CIA got what it asked for. A softening of intellectual attitudes with regard to American policy, whether at the time of the Rosenberg executions or now with Vietnam (Alex Comfort's letter, the *Guardian*...) was worth the subsidy. Similarly the magazine *Censorship* paid its way by creating a kind of universal guilt of censorship.

We believe that the answer can be found within our own approach and that of a few other papers in this country. We exist solely on sales, subscriptions and a communal subsidy which makes up our deficit. Paradoxically, having no advertising in our paper is a great saving for our readers each week. Every man who pays 'only' 4d. for his *Mirror* is facing financial ruin sooner or later. All advertising whether concealed or blatant exists to tempt you into impulse buying fridges, washing machines or patent medicines. Therefore Mr. Davie's last-ditch defence is a battle on the wrong front. He is a brave man to have made a stand anyway.

JOHN RETY.

### EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY!

IF THE RIGHT wing of the Conservative Party has a function in contemporary British politics, it is to show by supporting them which causes are doomed.

It is ironic that a political grouping, consisting of so many retired majors, should have to endure so many Dunkirks. Having struggled unsuccessfully to preserve such institutions as hanging and the British Empire, the Old Right is now fighting to keep the grammar school.

But the grammar school as we know it will not survive. It offends against the current educational principle, 'equality of opportunity'.

This principle will increasingly dominate the educational theory of any modern class society. An educational system based on it will be the most efficient method of ensuring that the maximum number of able children emerge as potential leaders.

By introducing comprehensive education a government tries to achieve two purposes: to increase the number of well-qualified school leavers and to strike a blow for social justice.

There is no reason to doubt the sincerity of those in the government and elsewhere who favour comprehensive schools. They genuinely want their 'equality of opportunity'. And they want more.

'A comprehensive school aims to establish a community in which pupils from the whole ability range and with differing interests and backgrounds can be encouraged to mix with each other, gaining stimulus from the contacts and learning tolerance and understanding in

the process.' (Ministry of Education circular 10/65, July 12, 1965.)

This paragraph is quoted in an article by a freelance journalist Rosemary Wittman published by the *Sunday Times* on May 7. The article stands out from the load of rubbish recently thrown at us by the bourgeois press on comprehensive schools.

The writer visited one 'outstanding' comprehensive school, Wandsworth in South London, to find out how successful it was in implementing the ideals of

the theorists. She certainly found that more boys were passing examinations:

'Between 1955, the last year of the grammar school, and 1965, after ten years of comprehensive organisation, the number of GCE "O" levels passed went up 700 per cent, and "A" levels went up 300 per cent.'

The figures for university entrance have also gone up—if not so spectacularly. But judged by the standards of the Ministry circular the school appears a total failure.

'Nobody's friends with Tau boys, the bottom ones. Or any of the boys from over Fulham or Battersea,' said an

Continued on page 3

### HUGO BLANCO IN DANGER!

HUGO BLANCO, imprisoned Peruvian peasant leader, has been severely beaten up by prison warders in his Lima gaol.

Blanco was summoned to see the Prison Director. On his way to the Director's office, he crossed a piece of ground which had been declared 'military territory', a designation which the prisoners usually ignored.

There was no sign to indicate that the crossing of this area was forbidden. When a warder called Blanco's attention to the prohibition he said he was ignorant of the ruling and started to discuss the matter. A captain of the *Guardian Republicana* then ordered the warder to beat up Blanco.

A fellow prisoner, Kreuz, tried to help him but other warders came along and, on the captain's orders, set about both men.

Blanco is now in the prison hospital

with 'pulmonary disease' according to the authorities. No one is being allowed to see him and, from all accounts, he is without medical care.

Protests should be sent without delay to: The Peruvian Ambassador, 52 Sloane Street, S.W.1, telephone BELgravia 2545 and to: President Belaunde Terry, Lima, Peru.

Hugo Blanco's appeal against the savage sentence of 25 years is due for a hearing before a Military Court soon. It would be very convenient for the military authorities if he died before the Court met. This is what happened to Maxime Velando, another Peruvian Labour leader. The official version was that he took poison, but in fact he was beaten to death by the police.

Issued by the British Committee for Solidarity with Victims of Repression in Peru, c/o 100 Henderson Road, London, E.7.

### ANARCHY 75

ON SALE NOW DISCUSSES  
IMPROVISED  
DRAMA

ANARCHY is Published by  
FREEDOM PRESS at 2s.  
on first Saturday of every month





# Union Expels Militants

THIS week the Executive of the Amalgamated Society of Woodworkers carried out its threat to discipline members if they continued to picket the Myton building site on the Barbican. The Executive have expelled both Lou Lewis, the Federation steward and Rolph Langden, a shop steward.

These two carpenters were called before the five-man full-time Executive on May 3 for their 'trial'. Mr. Smith, the General Secretary of the

ASW, personally charged them, in that by continuing to picket, they were acting against the interests of the union. The two men did not deny picketing, but said that they were upholding and defending the principles of the union by their actions. About 30 carpenters were willing to act as witnesses to this effect, but the Executive said that they only had room for 12 of these. However, when the two men admitted to picketing, the Executive said it would be 'irrelevant to call witnesses'.

While this 'trial' was taking place, about 200 building workers demonstrated outside. If the police had kept strictly to the letter of the law, many men would have been arrested and the local magistrates' court would have been packed next morning with cases of libel, insulting behaviour and abusive language.

However, the ASW's purge of militants does not end here. In FREEDOM (29.4.67), I wrote that the union had severely reprimanded Jack Rusca, the London District Secretary, for paying out hardship grants at Christmas to members involved in the Myton and Sunley disputes. While both of these disputes were supported at district level, the Executive only gave official support for a few weeks at Sunley's, but never on Myton's. The Executive, under Rule 24, Clause 11, of the Union's constitution, decided to sack Jack Rusca from his elected position. Clause 11, which gives them this power, reads 'The executive council shall have power to terminate forthwith the employment of a district full time official, as an employee of the Society, if, in the opinion of the EC, the official has displayed incompetence or misconduct harmful to the interests of the Society.'

## SACKED

When Jack Rusca appeared before the EC, the General Secretary, Mr. Smith, told him that he was dismissed. Asked for the reason, the Chairman replied, 'You know all about that — you were up here recently', meaning the Myton and Sunley issues. The sacked man was told to leave his office keys and car at the union headquarters. The whole business was over in less than a minute.

Jim Hiles, another member of the ASW, has also been expelled. His name appeared on a leaflet issued by the Liaison Committee for the Defence of Trade Unions and this was considered to be against the interests of the union. When this charge was first brought before the London Management Committee, it was rejected by four votes to three. Subsequently, one of the minority voters, Brother (sic) Boulter, appealed to the EC, who reversed the decision of the Management Committee.

Attacks of this nature were to be expected. It was also a dead certainty that the ASW would be the first union to act in this way and it is likely that a second union will do the same. All members are appealing to the union's Executive Committee of lay members, and support is also forthcoming from members of other unions as well as those of the ASW.

There is a lot of support for these militants and it is expected that not only the constitutional channels, such as sending in resolutions from branches, will be used to ensure that Jack Rusca gets his job back and the others are reinstated. Other means will have to be used, for the Executive Council have shown, by their action, that they have no regard for

any form of 'democracy'. It is laid down in the rules of the ASW that a London delegate meeting can be convened if, within one month, 28 branches send in resolutions requesting one. However, I have no doubts that if this rule is used, the Executive will find some way of avoiding the calling of such a meeting.

## COURT OF INQUIRY

Attacks can also be expected from another direction very soon. Mr. Gunter has now named the members of the Court of Inquiry into the two disputes. Lord Cameron heads the inquiry, with Mr. Lowry of the Engineering Employers' Federation and Mr. McGarvey of the Boilermakers' Union. Will this inquiry be a means of attacking militants? I am certain it will, but at the same time it still has to reckon with the fact that two unions, the Amalgamated Union of Building Trades Workers and the Transport and General Workers' Union, are both giving official support to the Sunley dispute.

There is sure to be some mention of the Joint Sites Committee, for both Sunley's and Myton's are certain to blame it for the disputes. The ASW Executive will certainly do their utmost to discredit the Joint Sites when they give their evidence. This union, of which I am a member, will do everything in its power to smash the Joint Sites and the union members who support it.

ASW members have a tremendous job of work to do if we are to get our sacked and expelled militants back. This situation has arisen because of the struggle against the attacks of the employers on site union organisation. This is the same struggle, for not only do employers fear good site organisation, but also the union executives. The latter are blatantly doing the job the employers failed to do. This is no new thing and is yet another illustration of the gulf which exists between the rank and file and their executives.

Because of these expulsions, even heavier demands will be made on the meagre dispute fund. Donations should be sent to: M. Houlihan, 94 Gayton House, Knapp Road, Bow, E.3.

P.T.

# All Out July 3!

JULY 3 sees the implementation of Part 2 of the Prices and Incomes Act and all that it entails, an extension of the wage freeze for another 12 months.

Rumblings can be heard from sections of organised workers as they visualise their standard of living gradually on the decrease. At a meeting at Memorial Hall on May 1 it was agreed by workers present that a One-Day Token Stoppage should be called to celebrate the implementation of Part 2.

The motion passed on May 1 has captured the imagination of the national press and one or two periodicals. Whilst such an action will not ferment revolution it will, if widely supported, give a clear indication of how we feel about the Labour Government's industrial policy. Abstention from the polls is a negative protest, like saying, 'If I don't bat first, you can't use my ball'.

Industrial action is the only action Wilson and his henchmen understand. At long last the Labour Party's most ardent supporters are getting a wee bit niggardly, enough we hope to support the July token stoppage.

'Strikes are not outdated things, but modern, necessary, wholesome. I realised this at an Agricultural Workers' meeting last week. These skilled men of many trades, doing the nation's most important job, get the lowest pay. And why? Simply because, being scattered and isolated, they can't strike.' (Hugh Delargy, *Sunday Citizen*, 14.5.67.)

There is no need to be isolated, particularly on July 3. Thousands of lower-

# Freedom For Workers' Control

MAY 20 1967 Vol 28 No 15

## Northern Letter

# STRIKE TO OPEN PAY TALKS

NEARLY A HUNDRED maintenance fitters and toolroom workers at the Bradbury Steelworks of James Mills Ltd., came out on strike last Friday in protest against the management's refusal to open negotiations over a £3 pay rise for all AEU members at the firm. When I spoke to one of the AEU stewards he said, that in spite of the fact that the management could and did call meetings with the men at a quarter of an hour's notice, the stewards had been trying, without success, to get a meeting on this issue since before the freeze.

So at a dinner time meeting on Friday it was decided, on the basis of a 46-23 vote, to come out on strike. Later in the afternoon, maintenance fitters at the Ashton Road Branch who had voted to stay in at their own meeting, were informed of the 'top shop' vote and came out in support. There is a great deal of sympathy for the maintenance men amongst the process workers, and if the strike continues it is to be hoped that this sympathy will be enlisted, rather than just waiting for us to be laid off. However the other maintenance sections, electricians and carpenters, show no signs of wanting to support the strikers, though in the event of talks being started they'll immediately bang in their own pay claims. Yet, sectional selfishness has never served workers' interests, we can only win whilst we are united.

## DIRECT ACTION GETS RESULTS

WOMEN WORKERS at the Stockport factory of M. Beale, shirt and pyjama manufacturers, staged a lightning walk-out on Tuesday because they claimed the factory was 'like an icebox'. About 50 women left their sewing machines at 11 a.m., marched through the town and up to the office of the Factory Inspector. A deputation of five put their case to him. The Inspector listened to their complaints and advised

them to go back to work. He said he would visit the factory the next day. One of the women said, 'We told the managers to do something. She phoned Mr. Beale, the owner, but he told her that we should either carry on working or go home if we didn't like it, so we walked out.'

The owner has now promised to see that the heating is improved and the question of lighting is also being looked into. It just shows you what a bit of direct action can do.

## STILL OUT AT ROBERTS ARUNDEL

THE ROBERTS ARUNDEL strike, now in its 23rd week, was in the news this week when Mark Carlisle, Tory MP for Runcorn, on the instigation of Clive Howson, the prospective Tory candidate for Stockport South, called for an inquiry to be set up into the dispute. He also asked the Home Secretary if he would call for a report from the Chief Constable on how many of the people involved in the serious disturbance outside the Roberts Arundel Factory on February 22 did not live in the Stockport area and had no direct connection with the firm; and, in asking the Minister of Labour if he would set up a court of inquiry, Mr. Carlisle wanted to know what were the causes of the dispute and who were the people involved.

Mr. Howson is quoted in the local papers as saying, 'This is grand news. Following on the recent rebuke of Mr. Ray Gunter to the Stockport AEU for the bitter opposition to modern industrial training, these Parliamentary questions could well blow the lid off this extreme left wing organisation.'

'In my view Roberts Arundel may not be a true industrial dispute at all. The firm may well have been a pawn in the Socialist and Communist power-game under a trade-union cover.'

Ever since Wilson made the 'red' smear technique respectable again last year, everyone's getting in on the act. However there are even left-wingers who have stooped to this level and to those people who have suggested that Mr. Howson has shares in Roberts Arundel, I can only say shame on you for harbouring such thoughts.

Last week the firm's American chairman, Mr. E. Pomeranz, had talks with Ray Gunter about the dispute and the thought of these two getting together makes me weep in sympathy with the Arundel's lads. All I can say is, 'God help you!'

NORTHERN WORKER.

## LETTER

### Explain Please

Dear Comrades,

With all due respect to my fellow comrades who went on the March of Shame, many of us cannot see how the jeering and shouting breakaway group which left the march opposite Downing Street hoped to contribute to ending the war in Vietnam. Perhaps one of them would like to explain?

Incidentally, and for the record, this group and the distraction which they caused, made the march around the queen wagon into a shambles and prevented a number of us, whose main purpose was to prevent, non-violently of course, any fascist attack on the float, from seeing the attacker when he tried to wreck the wagon. If the march had kept in line this incident could have been prevented.

One of the more constructive things was the persistent activity of Gerry Bree who constantly boarded buses and explained to passengers just what the march was about, so acting as a narrator to the March of Shame's theatre.

BILL CHRISTOPHER.

Birmingham

PETER NEVILLE.

## Contact Column

This column exists for mutual aid. Donations towards cost of typesetting will be welcome.

**Unfurnished Accommodation Wanted.** Responsible gentleman, thirties, exemplary tenant, requires spacious self-contained flat/house, minimum three bedrooms, central London, quiet surroundings. Maximum seven guineas inclusive. No premium. Could decorate. Reciprocal references. Box 52.

**Former Junkie.** Wants job and accommodation in London. Box 54.

**Meeting: Free Hugo Blanco; Protest Against Peruvian Repression.** Friday, May 26, Caxton Hall, London, S.W.1, 8 p.m. Speakers: Bill Molloy, MP, Robin Blackburn, Faris Glubb. Chairman: Roger Protz. British Committee for Solidarity with Victims of Repression in Peru.

**Leaflet. Lewisham Group.** Basic Anarchist Leaflet now available. 2/6 per 100 (postage extra 2/6 per 100). Orders to Lewisham Group address.

**Work Wanted.** Fairly intelligent and responsible anarchist (18) needs congenial job. Anywhere, anything considered. Box 55.

**Needed.** Literary (philosophical or practical) and financial contributions for 'STONEBREAKER'S YARD'. Journal of the Anarchist Movement in Northeastern Minnesota. James W. Cain, editor, Stonebreaker's Yard, P.O. Box 26, Duluth, Minnesota, USA.

**Chorley Meeting.** To discuss proposed Vietnam demonstration. May 24, 8 p.m., at 16 Devonshire Road, Chorley.

**Accommodation Wanted—London.** Two secretaries. Peace-loving, thoughtful, require bright flatlet; good cooking facilities essential. No petty restrictions. Wanted end of May. With easy access to town. Approx. £5 to £5.5s. p.w. Box 56.

**'Resistance': for Peace Action.** Published by West Midlands Committee of 100: 1/- plus postage. Subscription 6/- for six issues. From Birmingham Peace Action Centre (formerly CND office), Factory Road, Birmingham, 19.

**Anyone interested in reconstituting a group of people, 'The Diggers', who could be called the first practising English Anarchists, please contact P.D., c/o Lewisham Anarchist Group address.**

**Floor space/Accommodation — London.** Wanted July 15-30, for participant in Dialectics of Liberation Congress. Write Gordon Caser, Childs Hall, Upper Redlands Road, Reading.

**Accommodation Wanted.** Camden Town or Kentish Town area. Young couple, expecting first baby, urgently need 2-roomed flat. C/o J. Thurston, 103a Camden Road, London, N.W.1.

**Accommodation Wanted—London.** Accommodation wanted in London area for Finnish student for three weeks, end May—mid-June. Can afford £3 a week. C/o Lewisham Group address.

**Accommodation Wanted.** Anarcho-Syndicalist seeks flat in Hackney/Islington area. Box 58.

**Spain! Travelling-companion (either sex)** folk-singer under 21 preferred, for travel in Spain. Aug./Sept. Box 57.

**USA — Seminar.** Practical Paths to Peace'. Heathcote Centre, Rte. 1, Freeland, Maryland, USA. May 26-28. Herber, Krimerman, Roseman etc. \$12. Registration to Heathcote School of Living Centre by May 15.

If you wish to make contact let us know.