anarchist weekly

EVERY NOW and then from the known country to some, the financial disaster, poverty, unemployment and say something about the Stock Exchange being brighter, gilts easing and industrials being firm. There is the hardy perennial of the pound bearing up and the share index rising and falling. In the same way that the sports pages are an un-

audible wallpaper of the radio pages with takeovers and bids are disgrace. But it is still impossible emerges a pattern which seems to just a blur to pass over; it is even to understand why a finance minister rumoured that there is a pink paper and a hefty weekly which deal with nothing else but money-making and

But every now and again the figures become flesh. Those mysterious fractions and numerals spell

in Washington, a ticker-tape in Basle, a chalked board in Tokyo, should pronounce doom in the whim of minister, the variation of a fraction, the raising of a bid. At their signal, blastfurnaces die out, production lines clatter to a halt, hulks rust on their stocks.

Still it is impossible to understand; the desire for goods, the need for food, clothing and shelter does not disappear at a signal from Washington, Basle or Tokyo. The need to go to work to get the money to keep up our strength to go to work does not vanish at the whim of a finance minister, a figure on a tape or the tic-tac of a Stock

Exchange clerk.

Glib spokesmen appear to explain in polysyllabic terms, not what has happened to our security, our jobs, our food, clothing and shelter, but what has happened to sterling, the dollar, or industrials. We are told that there is 'reflation', 'inflation', 'disinflation', 'devaluation', 'revaluation', bringing messages of hope, assurances that all is well, conveyed by the financial benediction, sanctification and ultimate transmogrification and transubstantiation from the sordid material world of jobs and security into the rarefied atmosphere of financial stability, guaranteed investments and a firm pound.

We are just going through such a period and everywhere the cry goes up, 'I don't understand money'. The failure to believe that the disaster. the redundancy, the homelessness and the poverty spring from the mere manipulation of (in them-

AKE RICKY ARTHUR MOYSE Tell us, Skipper Ted, would you buy a secondhand yacht from that man? selves) meaningless symbols is fertile is a good alibi for self-inflicted ground for reactionary politics. Calls wounds. are made to the population for self- Karl Marx once said, 'Antidiscipline and for sacrifices. The semitism is the Socialism of the time has come to quote Richard stupid man,' and the rise of Adolf

Nixon addressing American war veterans last week, 'For some of you, to forgo a pay raise you deserve; for others, to wait a little longer for dividends your invested dollar has earned.' Suspicions are cast abroad. 'Foreign speculators' are denounced

by Nixon and the 'gnomes of Zurich'

were once singled out by-was it

Mr. Wilson? The stab in the back

Hitler from the wreck of the Deutschmark testifies to the power of the lie. Whilst finance is wreathed in the mists of mumbo-jumbo, leaders will maintain themselves and rise to power by picking out scapegoats for the failure of the monetary system, when the fault is in its existence, not in its functioning.

JACK ROBINSON.

Clydeside: Tragi-Comedy

DY ALL ACCOUNTS upwards of 60,000 working men and women stopped work last Wednesday and marched through the city of Glasgow demanding the 'right to work'. This criterion for production. massive demonstration ended up on Glasgow Green where it was addressed by an assortment of Trade Union worthies most of whom have spent the last ten years selling the idea of 'productivity deals' which have played a not inconsiderable part in creating the present unemployment figure of 904,000.

'The message going from this great gathering is not a wail of anguish from supplicants on their bended knees,' said Mr. Feather of the TUC. It is a demand that men and women who are able to work and willing to work shall

have the right to work.'

Now the 'right to work' means, under present conditions, the 'right' to be exploited, to sell one's labour to a capitalist who will live off the fruits of that labour. When these gentlemen can't put men on the dole-which is what they are doing now every day and in every industry. It follows, therefore, that demanding a 'right to work' must either be a demand to end the system whereby labour is exploited for the benefit of the profit-makers or, whatever Mr. Feather says, it is a cry of anguish by wageslaves anxious to maintain their slavery.

In Mr. Feather's case it certainly is a cry of anguish since he never once mentioned the idea that men can work and live happily without employers and exploiters of any kind. Mr. Feather and the TUC believe in capitalism. They are there to uphold it. They would like it to be more 'humane', to pay better wages and, if possible, to keep everybody employed. For them any solution to If it weren't tragic it would be a comedy. inquiry was necessary. the workers' problems that go beyond Lord Robens recently announced that this are 'revolutionary' and not to be tolerated at any price. Workers are workers, in the eyes of the TUC, men and women fit only to toil at the bequest of the captains of industry.

COMMUNIST CAPERS

The immediate cause of the Glasgow demonstration was, of course, the struggle to prevent the closure of Upper Clyde Shipbuilders which employs 7,500 men, a large proportion of whom now face the dole queues. From the outset the slogan and the sooner it is replaced with struggle has been led and directed by the 'right to life' the better. The right the Communist Party and, as we have to a life without exploiters, without greed written in Freedom many times over the as the ideal of society, where mutual past few weeks, this fact could be fatal aid will replace the rat race, where all to a successful outcome of the struggle will have the necessities of life and for a decent life on Clydeside.

declared this Clydeside struggle to be work there can be no life, but where nothing but a fight for the 'right to work will be a pleasure because it will work'. They denounced as 'ultra-lefts' be part of life, where all men will be those of us who suggested that the craftsmen, farmers, artists and musicians closure of UCS and the bold reaction of and where no one shall spend his life the workers was an opportunity to make in senseless toil while a few lead lives an end of the capitalist rat-race in of luxurious boredom. this important industrial area. We suggested that the views of the workers propagate it. be sought for the setting-up of a West

of Scotland Workers' Council to manage the economy of the area without profitmaking, without private greed and with the needs of the people as the sole

The Communists spurned the idea they were 'realists', we were 'dreamers'. Well, having rejected the revolutionary road, they have been driven ever faster down the road of 'anguished supplicants'.

First they sent delegations to the Government asking for nationalisation and on this road they were joined by all the rest of the political tribe, including Harold Wilson and Wedgwood-Benn. When that failed they urged the workers to be 'disciplined' and show 'dignity' while they tried other methods —like the big token stoppage last Wednesday at which Mr. Feather appeared. All to no avail until, at the eleventh hour, salvation appeared in the shape of a Mr. Archibald Kelly who is a Scottish millionaire industrialist.

Mr. James Reid and other Communist see a profit in employing labour, they shop stewards at UCS promptly flew out to Mr. Kelly's holiday island to urge him to buy up the yards and keep the men at work, i.e. exploit them. Mr. Kelly said he was impressed by the 'good intentions' of the delegation and promised that he might, if the terms were right, do the Communists a favour and come to Glasgow to make money out of the labour of the UCS workers.

> So, like Mr. Feather, the rich plutocrat and the Communists agree that the workers' 'right to work' depends on the willingness of an employer to make money out of employing them. In other words, the workers' 'right to work' becomes the employer's 'right to live off the backs of his employees'.

> What a disgusting business it all is. he was no longer a socialist. Most people never knew he had ever been one! When, we must ask, is the Communist Party going to announce that it no longer believes in communism? Such a declaration is now long overdue.

THE RIGHT TO LIFE!

It now looks as though the Clydeside struggle is finished—for the time being. But there will be others.

The 'right to work' is a wretched none will have senseless luxuries, where The Communists, right at the start, men will work only because without

This is the anarchist message—let us

JOHN LAWRENCE.

Man's Job' in Ireland

inquiry into the brutal treatment of detainees by the British Army has been welcomed by liberal opinion in this country. When the allegations started to be made, there was some reluctance to recognise that these had any basis in fact. However, as they mounted and were taken up by 'respectable' sections of the Irish community, it was realised that an

been shocked by the fact that Catholics holding public office in Londonderry have resigned and others have refused to continue to serve in the Ulster Defence Regiment. The arrest of the 'civil rights' MPs has also shaken those who believe that all social injustice can be alleviated by parliamentary reforms. The picture of the British Army shooting a Catholic priest and a deaf-mute has horrified and shocked people and made an inquiry a political necessity.

There seems no doubt that the British troops have committed acts of extreme violence on detainees. They have not just brutally handled people in the heat of the moment, but have deliberately organised this brutality. They have forced detainees to run the gauntlet of batons and chased them over broken glass, tree stumps and rough ground in bare feet', or put them 'into a helicopter as if to be taken up and thrown out'.

TRAINED IN VIOLENCE

Anarchists are not shocked by this treatment for people in the Army are trained to be violent. It is this very training that brutalises their personalities and warps their judgment and, because

THE DECISION to hold an independent of this, it is hardly surprising that they react in a brutal and violent manner. The patriotic way in which people defend the British soldiers is illogical. They say that such acts of brutality are only perpetrated by others, and yet any exsoldier, if he is truthful, will tell you of unnecessary acts of violence in which they were involved.

'Respectable' liberal opinion has also done is to unite Catholics against West- ation of people's labour for profit. All 'Protestant backlash'. There are plenty of armed Protestants ready and it only war nearer.

an equal place in the administration of the North are only patching up the system and substituting a class rule for one of religion. In any state it is the ordinary people who are discriminated against, whether they are Protestants, Catholics or Seventh Day Adventists. It is the working people who suffer poor housing, low wages and unemployment.

British troops are in Northern Ireland because the reforms necessary to give the protects. impression that Catholics are now shar-

ing power with the Protestants are not being seen to work. Mr. Faulkner's Government is being supported by an armed occupation force because, from their point of view, there is no other alternative.

ARMY OR THE DOLE

However the British troops are there Internment has been enacted in order to serve the interests of a minority whose to stamp out the gunmen, but all it has power and privilege rest on the exploitminster and make them look to a national religious groupings uphold this exploitsolution to their problems. If Catholics ation and only seek its alleviation in seek such a solution, the Protestant some fictional hereafter. Teenagers are majority in the North will provoke a led to believe that they are doing a 'man's job' by joining the Army and for many the only other alternative is the needs a spark to make them attack dole. With the ever increasing number of Catholic areas. Craig and Paisley will unemployed, Army Recruitment Centres not hesitate to play on the very real must be busier than they have been for fears that Protestants have of being a very long time. Yet there are reports dominated by the Catholic South. The that, on signing up, some are saying Heath Government's decision on intern- that they do not want to serve in ment has brought the possibility of civil Northern Ireland and others, who have been posted there, have deserted and Situations in which people face dis- are now on the run. The British soldier crimination because of their religious who kills in Northern Ireland is in fact views are intolerable. But those who killing people of his own class, many seek to remedy this by giving Catholics of whom are unemployed as he might have been if he had not signed on.

British troops are upholding the class interests of those who wish to maintain economic power over people. Their loyalties should not be to a uniform and the State, but to people like themselves. The real solution in Ireland, as in every nation state, is for people to rise up against the real oppressors, the State and the economic power which it defends and

P.T.

TRUST THE DEOPLE

IT IS A SAD FACT that many opponents of capitalism are still held back from joining the struggle for referendums by capitalist lies and distortions on the issue. The fight for grass roots democracy has always been an integral part of the struggle for the total liberation of mankind. The argument that the capitalists and their lackeys use is, well if you have referendums the reactionary masses will want to bring back hanging, flogging and Mrs. Whitehouse for Prime Minister.

The argument that the masses cannot be trusted is an old one. It was used to try and defeat the 1832 Reform Bill by people like the Duke of Newcastle who simply asked: 'Why can't I do what I like with my own (i.e., with the workers)?'

It was used by the liberal leader, Robert Lowe, in 1867, when he declared, poly of propaganda and agitation. the main characteristics of the working class'.

It is used by so-called left-wingers now who claim that only through the new leadership can we all be saved. This to my mind is akin to the Catholic church who proclaim that man if left to himself would fall into wickedness and

Historically the revolutionary movement has fought for the increase in direct power from below. Indeed, the communards were returned as a direct result of the municipal vote of March 28,

The demands of the Krondstadt Rebellion of 1921 included the following: (1) Re-election of all soviets by secret ballot and without pressure from above. (2) Freedom of speech and press for all working people and all left-wing socialist parties, including anarchists. (3) An end to Communist Party mono-

'Venality, ignorance and drunkenness are Was the Soviet Union any freer for rejecting these demands? Indeed, the ones who led the assault on Kronstadt

and the suppression of democracy died at Stalin's hands. These included Trotsky and Marshal Tuchachevsky (executed by Stalin 1937).

IN AMERICA

In California in 1911, after years of agitation, the amendment providing for the initiative and referendum became part of that state's constitution. The results of the different referenda taken since are surprising. In a land where we are told the masses are tied hook, line and sinker to the capitalist chariot, they defeated any attempt to prohibit picketing or abolish the closed shop.

As recently as 1942, a referendum to abolish income tax was only defeated by 907,000 to 763,000. Of course there are ups and downs. In 1920, for example, a referendum demanded the tightening of the laws covering aliens' ownership of land. But in 1956, by a two to one majority, a referendum decided to end all such restrictions.

NOT A CURE-ALL

opposition. But we are not living under we unite to smash it? Hitler. We have a people who have dreds of years. We have a labour movement to whom fascism is abhorrent. When we take up the fight for referendums it is in a situation where the balance trists. When people are willing and eager

For example almost forty per cent for ever' (Macaulay). of British people believe there should be a referendum on the Common Market. Can't we fight for this, and at the same the bank until the tide runs out leaving time raise the question of referendums on all major national and local issues? It gives the anarchists an unparalleled

opportunity to raise all of the problems of centralised power. It can be a mass education of people and break forever the false myth of leadership. Because we know that we don't stand simply for the right of people to vote on this or that issue, however important that may be. There is an alternative programme that people can be won for if we can gain their attention. An end to this system of profit and robbery. Its replacement by equality of socially necessary labour. The abolition of the wages system. For rank and file control of the unions. For the old commune slogan, self-governing localities in which the needs of the people come before motorway extensions.

All the political parties are opposed to referendums now. They weren't always so. Various Tories, including Churchill, were in favour of them in the past. They are against them now because, in the words of the Spectator, the people are not united behind government policy. We have the right to pay taxes, to work or be unemployed. To slave Of course, a referendum, or any away, morning, noon and night. To system we care to devise, can be used by be poisoned by pollution, to be shot power centrists against the people. Hitler in stupid wars. But we haven't the did use the plebiscite of 1933 to confirm right to make a single decision that affects his power when he first destroyed all our lives. Is this what we want, or will

'Many politicians of our time are in struggled for democratic rights for hun- the habit of laying it down as a selfevident proposition, that no people ought to be free till they are fit to use their freedom. The maxim is worthy of the fool in the old story who resolved of power is moving away from the cen- not to go into the water until he had learnt how to swim. If men are to wait to have a say in the things that affect for freedom till they become wise and good in slavery, they may indeed wait

> Are we going to in and get our feet wet? Or are we to stand shivering on us stranded like fossils?

> > BRIAN BEHAN.

How Dickie Lost his Marbles

Are you floating comfortably? Then I'll begin. . . .

NCE UPON A DIME there was a boy called Dickie whose Uncle Sam had given him lots and lots of nice things to play with. He could play cops and robbers, or reds under beds (he used to play that a lot) or war games-at which he was not very good but he tried and tried again. In fact he was going to him-and the others might bring things make friends with a boy called Mao to him but he wouldn't do swops quite (isn't that a funny name, let's say it as much as he didn't have many marbles. again-Miaou). Miaou and Dickie had Uncle was getting very cross with him so he thought he ought to do something which would make his Uncle like him.

He had had another friend called Nippon (isn't that a funny name, let's say it again-Nip-pon), and because Dickie was now friends with Miaou, Nippon didn't like it. But Dickie used to swop lots of marbles with Nippon and with Johnny Bull and with another boy called Froggie. They used to give him lots of things for his marbles like catapults, biscuits and frogs and Dickie was very popular because he had lots and lots of marbles and would let other boys have them in exchange for promises of help.

Nippon got too many marbles and

kept asking Dickie for help and kept letting him have things like parts of radio sets and motor bicycles. Dickie thought he had too much stuff but not enough marbles.

He said to Nippon, and to Johnny Bull, and to Froggie and all the others that he wasn't going to play with marbles any more and he was going to work harder and his Uncle would be proud of

Nippon was very cross because he not always been such good friends but liked marbles very much and he had lots Dickie had got tired of war games and and lots of things he thought Dickie he had broken so many toys that his would like. Johnny Bull went off in his boat because he was joining a new Secret Society called the Secret Six and although he didn't know what they'd do for him he knew they had sworn some dreadful oaths against Dickie; Froggie had told Johnny that if he was still friends with Dickie he couldn't come in their den. Johnny had said he wasn't, and now Dickie had seen that Johnny was joining Froggie's den he was looking for other friends. So he told them all to go and float themselves. Wasn't that a rude thing to say?

> . . . Is this getting too hard for you to understand?

Oh dear, they've all gone to sleep! JACQUELINE SPRATT.



Secretary:

Peter Le Mare 5 Hannafore Road, Rotton Park, Birmingham 16

The Contact Column in 'Freedom' is available for urgent information. Please inform AFBIB of new or changed addresses of groups and federations. New enquirers should write direct to the Regional addresses listed below or AFBIB office in Birmingham.

AFB REGIONAL FEDERATIONS AND/OR GROUPS

There are now anarchist groups in almost every part of Britain. To find your nearest group, write N.E. ENGLAND. M. Renick, 122 Mowbray Street, Heaton, Newcastle on Tyne. MANCHESTER ANARCHIST/SYND. c/o D.

Collingwood, 12 Brownedge Road, Holts Estate, CROYDON LIBERTARIANS. 682 Mitcham Road, Croydon, Surrey, CR0 3AB.

ESSEX & EAST HERTS. Peter Newell, 'Aegean', Spring Lane, Eight Ash Green, Colchester, Essex. DORSET. Bob Fry, 30 Douglas Close, Upton, Poole, Dorset. CORNWALL. Arthur Jacobs, 13 Ledrah Road,

St. Austell, Cornwall, or Hazel McGee, Hillcrest Farm, Hicks Mill, Bissoe, Truro, Cornwall. HERTS. Val Funnel, 10 Fry Road, Chells, Stevenage, Herts. DURHAM. Mike Mogie, 6 Nevilles Terrace, Durham City.

NORTHANTS. Terry Phillips, 70 Blenheim Walk, rue Vivegnis, Liège. Corby, Northants. LEICESTERSHIRE. The Black Flag Bookshop, 1 Wilne Street, Leicester.

SOMERSET. Roy Emery, 3 Abbey Street, Bath. KENT. Brian Richardson (phone Knockholt 2716). HANTS. Ken Bowbrick, 26 Hambledon House, Landport, Portsmouth, Hants, BERKSHIRE, c/o New Union Building, White Knights Park, Reading, Berks. SUSSEX. Nick Heath, Flat 3, 26 Clifton Road,

Brighton, Sussex.

'Address all letters to AFBIB at above address. EAST ANGLIA. John Sullivan, Students Union, U. of E.A., Wilberforce Road, Norwich, Norfolk. NOTTINGHAMSHIRE. Jim Hewson, 43 Henry Road, West Bridgeford, Nottingham. CAMBRIDGESHIRE. c/o AFBIB Birmingham.

> chett, Camberley, Surrey. YORKSHIRE. Trevor Bavage, Flat 3, 35 Richmond Road, Leeds 6. SCOTTISH FED. Secretary: Mike Malet, 1 Lynnwood Place, Maryfield, Dundee.

WALES. c/o AFBIB Birmingham.

SURREY. Lib. Grp., 81 Mytchett Road, Myt-

N. IRELAND. c/o Freedom Press. STUDENT FEDERATION, c/o R. Atkins, Vanbrugh College, Heslington, York. LIB. TEACH. ASSN. Peter Ford, 36 Devonshire

Road, N.W.7. EXETER. Nigel Outten, Westeria House, Cullompton Hill, Bradninch, Exeter. OXFORD. Jeremy Brent, la Woodstock Road,

Oxford. SHEFFIELD. Tikka, 4 Havelock Square, Shef-MANCHESTER. Jenny Honeyford, 33 Clyde Road, West Didsbury, Manchester 20. EIRE FEDERATION. c/o 20 College Lane, Dublin, Eire.

ABROAD

AUSTRALIA. Federation of Australian Anarchists, P.O. Box A 389, Sydney South, NSW 2000. BELGIUM. Groupe du journal Le Libertaire, 220 RADICAL LIBERTARIAN ALLIANCE, Box 2104, Grand Central Station, New York, 10017. AMERICAN FEDERATION OF ANARCHISTS. P.O. Box 9885, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440,

Please notify us if entries in these columns need amending.

COME ARE BORN GREAT, some

Conscience doth make cowards of us generation earlier.

Power worshippers point to certain achieve greatness, others have great- leaders in the world, seeking to demonness thrust upon them. As are some born strate that power is not in itself bad, to power, some achieve it, and others and that it can be used for good, and have power thrust upon them. The catch- for the benefit of mankind. These phrases flash easily—no man is fit to be charismatic leaders—what are they? The another man's master, all power cor- dictators whose power is based on the rupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely. worship of the masses, and who guide Anarchists oppose power because the states towards material well-being, often phrases have meaning for them; being towards greater freedom than these strong themselves, they reject leadership peoples have ever known. Probably they of themselves, and are rationally able to are those on whom leadership is thrust feel that, since being led is by nature —for the men who seek power are the barred to them, it must, in fairness, be weak men who invariably wield it for ill. forbidden to the rest of the world. It In times of turmoil and difficulty, men isn't surprising that anarchists can be do turn to the strong individual who disconcerted by the unfortunate fact that knows some of the answers-and it is a great many people in the world not not easy for any person to reject that only desire to lead, but desire to be led. cry for help and guidance, however dis-Acceptance of individual responsibility tasteful the concomitant power may be in the world is never easy: for all too personally. So-the responsibilities for many, the acceptance of delegation and life and death are accepted, and another of being told what to do is a very com- idol is born. For a lifetime a state will fortable escape from the duties which be exempted from the responsibility of are inherent in being born into man- individual thought and action: the benekind, with a mind and a spirit which are volent despot will be the all-wise and capable of original thought—backed by all-powerful protective father-figure. emotional reactions which pressurize From an anarchist view, there is one action after ideas. Because anarchists comfort in charismatic leadership; it can accept these duties, it is fatally easy for only endure for the lifetime of the god, them to find themselves thrust into posi- and a small section of the world's people tions of power. Whenever we influence may be left, after that lifetime, in an offspring! another person, we have power-and the improved material position, freer to London, N.10 spread of anarchist thought must always think, with rather wider knowledge of be hampered by frequent realisation of the world's wisdom than their fathers. the fact that, in seeking to influence But-if the idols had rejected the power others, we are wielding the power we that was thrust on them, freedom and dread in ourselves, resent in others. fullness of life might have arrived a Dear Comrades,

PEGGY KING.

Communist writer', and that was all. . . .

having only three days in Moscow, to dah, and a beautifully large plaque with get to the Kropotkinskaya, but I set off Kropotkin's picture on and the dates. one morning with a Canadian Marxist on one side and an Italian Maoist on the other. The Kropotkinskaya is only a and then Tolstoy's house a little further would have approved! down.

dotty Russian where Kropotkin's house was turned over to his wife and friends

THE INTOURIST GUIDE was very was. She explained that the Museum is young, and all she could tell me was closed, but the house was in the Kropotthat 'Kropotkin had been a Russian kin Perude, the road intersecting the main one. Eventually, we came upon it It took an awful lot of determination, -a long, one-storey house with a veran-

My Marxist and Maoist friends thought it hugely amusing that it was now a kindergarten, with little swings, slides and quarter of a mile from the Kremlin walls. a sand pit in the garden, but I found this First, we called at the Pushkin Museum rather touching-I am sure Kropotkin

-From a Correspondent. The party was getting very weary by Note by P.E.N.: This house, in Moscow's then, but I 'flogged' them having asked aristocratic quarter, was Kropotkin's the little Tolstoy Museum lady* in rather birthplace. After his death in 1921, it

VOLINE

The Unknown Revolution (Kronstadt 1921 : Ukraine 1918-21)

We have had a great many enquiries for this title since it went out of print. We recently made the find of some of the sheets which we have completed and bound (hardcover, rexine).

A few pages have damp-stained margins.

This makes a very limited edition, and with a view to helping our own finances and making sure that people who have been asking for it get first chance, we are offering it at the price of £2.00 plus postage (inland 10p, abroad 15p), ONLY TO READERS OF TREEDOM OR-DERING DIRECT FROM US, up to October 31, 1971.

All profits from these sales will be put into the Press Fund.



PRESS FUND

August 12-18 inc.

London, W.C.1: L.S. £1; Wembley: P.R. 10p; London, N.W.3: Anon 50p; Anon 5p; Toronto, Canada: C.C.R. 30p; New York, USA: L'Adunata £60; Glasgow: A.J. 12p; Wolverhampton: J.L. 40p; J.K.W. 10p; Illinois, USA: D.P.S. 25p; London, N.10: T.I. £1.

> Total: £63.82 Income Sales and Subs.: £66.22

> > £130.04

Expenditure: £150.00 Deficit bt./fwd.: £588.36

> £738.36 Less Income: £130.04

DEFICIT: £608.32

Common Market

Dear Comrades,

How can Bill Dwyer justify supporting Britain's entry to the Common Market in an anarchist publication? (FREEDOM, 17.7.71.) An anarchist must, by definition, be against any form of authority and should therefore be opposed to any scheme which would assist the centralisation of authority.

The long-term aims of the EEC are not only economic but political, so that although we may benefit economically in the short term, and this is by no means certain, this would be offset in the long term by the horrors of a superefficient United States of Europe. What a legacy to hand down to our prosperous

G. HILL.

Ireland

How different is the English mind from the Irish. Bess Simms castigates me for saying 'How long before Westminster, Leinster House and Stormont get together and talk sense'. I did NOT say TALK. I said talk SENSE.

Any Irish person would at once have tumbled to the innuendo, the double entendre, call it what you like. The sarcastic play on words.

Truly we speak a different language.

Fraternally,

H.

for a Museum. Supervised by Dr. Atabekian, it was maintained by contributions from all over the world. During Stalin's rise to power and subsequent Great Purges, many of the Museum's helpers and supporters disappeared. In 1938, Kropotkin's widow died; and this gave the Stalinist authorities an excuse to close the Museum. Let us hope that the garden retains its swings, slides and sand pit-and that, one day, the house once again becomes the Kropotkin Museum.

*Possibly Tolstoy's granddaughter.

Socialism and the World's Greatest Cause

A SHORT HISTORY OF SOCIALISM, by George Lichtheim (Weidenfeld & Nicolson, £3.25).

SELF MANAGEMENT IN YUGO-SLAVIA, by Roy Moore (Fabian Society, 25p).

EMPLOYERS, WORKERS AND TRADE UNIONS, by D. N. Pritt (Lawrence & Wishart, £2).

GLORIOUS HISTORY OF KOH-I-NOOR, by N. B. Sen (New Book Society of India, 75p).

ICHTHEIM, in the introduction to his book, announces that his purpose is 'to set up a number of signposts for the benefit of readers who may wish at a later stage to inquire into the details of a particular period or set of problems' and to provide 'background for the study of those texts to which undergraduates are exposed by the normal operation of the academic treadmill'. The book is a perfect textbook, despite the reference to the 'academic treadmill', the kind of book which might have been consciously designed to divert the radical impulses of young people into harmless channels, causing them to end up like the author himself: attaching themselves to the sympathetic word 'socialism' (political titles have their own romanticism; the only thing most anarchists have in common is that they like the sound of the word), while enjoying their own soft jobs and sneering at poor people:

'. . . no socialist force worth menracy of labor": just as Syndicalism in doesn't matter at all.

its heyday was the faith of an elite of skilled craftsmen [shades of Hans Sachs], not of a slum proletariat . . the records of classical antiquity show with sufficient clarity that there has never been such a thing as a successful slave revolt.'

And there has been a successful revolution imposed from above, or from any other direction? Lichtheim himself specifically denies it. He is not naive enough to consider nationalization or the welfare state as constituting socialism. Where his anti-revolutionary bias reveals itself is, not in reformist aims, but in a pessimistic and snobbish view of the prospects for socialism.

I'm sure that the book wasn't actually written as part of a plot to turn young radicals into hypocrites; the author just happens to have that kind of mind. He is an academic, capable of speaking of 'the metaphysical splendours of Hegel'. Typically academic is the book's indigestible surfeit of facts-too much of it consists of sentences like:

Three major currents are still dimly visible through the mist of time: Mazzinian nationalism and Freemasonry, Anglo-French positivism, and German socialism, the latter represented at first by Marx alone, although he had some working-class associates (veterans of the German Communist League) whose presence in London enabled him to build up a small "Marxist" faction on the General Council.'

You see in this sentence another, more harmful, academic trait: the notion that tioning has ever emerged from the ideas are important. It's all isms and lower depths of society. In backward famous letters of great men. He duly pre-industrial countries the rural un- discusses, by way of background, Adam employed constitute an important reser- Smith, Locke, Bentham, Mill, Malthus, voir for movements which may as Rousseau, and that lot. At the beginning easily be led in a fascist as in an of his last section, 'Contemporary Probanarchist or communist direction; but lems of Socialism', he thinks fit to disthat is a different issue altogether. cuss the connection between socialist When one speaks of Socialism and/or thinking and religion or humanism, and Communism in the West, one speaks of produces a page and a half of sober movements sustained by the "aristoc- sententiousness about something that

On the mundane level, an example of what he considers to have been a 'crucially important theoretical point' is the following terrible dilemma faced by the early Fabians (who must rank with the early Christians as the most repulsive people since the world began):

'. . . they were bound to surrender the labor theory of value if they could no longer accept its classical Ricardian formulation. On the other hand, they had to hold on to some doctrine of "surplus value" if they were to show that capitalism was a system of exploitation. Hence they could not accept the marginal utility doctrine. . . . For marginal utility implied that under certain assumed conditions, "capital" and "labor" were being remunerated in proportion to the respective contributions they made to the production of wealth. . . .'

Actually it's easy to show that capitalism is a system of exploitation, in either the technical or the popular sense; you just need to turn round in a circle and point. But try telling that to a Fabian, or to some doomed undergraduate whose mind is supposedly being trained by this sort of book.

From out of the barrage of ideas, one of Lichtheim's own stands out. This is that the industrial revolution produced socialism, and that before it there were no classes 'in the Marxian sense'.

'. . . in the Manifesto Marx read a particular notion of class conflict back into earlier situations to which it was not really applicable. He corrected this mistake in his later writings, but his mature analysis was too subtle for his followers [note the view of Marx as holy writ: inconceivable that his followers might have disagreed with him, rather than found him too subtle]

. . . they never quite grasped that the phenomenon of class . . . was closely linked to the market economy and its social counterpart: bourgeois society. The Manifesto made far too sweeping

book reviews

tory of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles"."

Before the industrial revolution, people's way of life was 'based upon the economic independence of small farmers and urban craftsmen'.

According to Pritt, the 'Ordinance of Labourers, 1349' provided:

. . . that wages should be held down to the levels prevailing before the Black Death; that all men and women under sixty "without income from property or merchandise" must work for any master who wanted their services, and that servants leaving their employment before the end of their agreed termnormally one year-without permission or reasonable cause should be punished by imprisonment.'

But never mind: there were no classes in the Marxian sense. In Lichtheim's description of these early days, he comes close to saying that everyone knew his place and was content, but then remembers that he's an intellectual and speaks instead of 'estates' and 'hereditary status'.

At the same time he displays the obligatory contempt for mediaevalism. and dislikes anarchists because they 'reject the modern world'; that is, the industrial revolution, which we're supposed to admire because its evils gave rise to socialism.

Of course, there's no such thing as socialism; anarchy is the only alternative to capitalism. 'Socialist' régimes practise capitalism and 'socialist' theorists preach anarchy-plus-rationalizations.

Regarding the practices of socialist states: even the expression 'state capitalism' should be avoided, because the shadow of the term 'socialism', which it replaces, remains in people's minds and misleads them. Where you have capital accumulation, minority control of the means of production, and wages, you don't have state capitalism, or a mixed economy, you just have capitalism. It doesn't matter how the bosses choose to control over its own economic policies, distribute themselves.

to those of anarchy. But people who cheerfully relegated these aims to the of standing crisis', the Labour party do they remain living ideals. One com- out incurring indebtedness, by reducing a claim when it asserted that "the his- munist said to me, 'The trouble with military expenditure, profits and execuanarchists is that they want to accomplish everything overnight.' By overnight, he meant sometime rather than never. Thus, Lichtheim comfortably concludes his book:

in which the wage relation has been abolished, the producers placed in control of their tools, and the cleavage between physical and mental labor overcome through an all-round development of the human personality, we are still far from the attainment of such goals. . . .

. . . the preconditions of a socialist order do not at present exist anywhere. . . . Socialists will find plenty to occupy them during the coming decades. . . . But if they are honest they will not pretend that the kind of society they would like to see is in-

scribed in the logic of the immediate future.

Note, he says 'the logic of the immediate future'. Not only is it unlikely that socialism will soon be achieved, but, barring a miracle, it's impossible.

Many excuses are offered by socialists for their 'revolution, but not just yet' attitude. With Lichtheim, the main one is 'the inherent conflict between two quite different and possibly irreconcilable goals: economic growth and social equality'. Why are they irreconcilable? Because, while 'the former may [his emphasis] occur under capitalism or socialism alike . . . a socialized economy devoted to the aim of keeping up with the fastest growth rates achieved in the capitalist world must give preference to economic rationality at the expense of other considerations'. This is the Wickedness-of-Foreign-Bankers excuse, the financial equivalent of 'It's not me that minds, it's the neighbours', which can be offered in support of anything.

It crops up in Moore's economistical, boring pamphlet, where we learn that, on the one hand, international trade considerations stimulated the 1965 economic reforms; while on the other hand, in some enterprises—an enterprise is a Yugoslav economic unit, like a company -there are limitations placed on the degree of self management within the factory by the introduction of foreign capital and management'; 'Yugoslavs [which Yugoslavs?] are prepared to "streamline" their self management structures within enterprises so as not to hamper the development of technological progress or wider trade policies' and finally, 'the question arises again as to the impossibility of reconciling foreign investment and entrepreneurship with self management'.

Pritt, also, says that indebtedness to bankers, British as well as foreign, deprived the late Labour government of and thus led to the Prices and Incomes Regarding the theories: the traditional Act and the White Paper-but this isn't ultimate aims of socialism are similar his own blind spot; for he points out that, having 'inherited a rather strained call themselves socialists have always and fragile capitalist economy, in a state remote future. Only among anarchists could still have saved the situation withtive salaries.

What he doesn't see, being a Marxist, is why the Labour government rejected this alternative. He simply denounces them for it, as if they could have been 'If a socialist society is defined as one expected to do better. The answer is that government ministers are necessarily against the working class, not being of it. Pritt, who is very clearheaded (much more so than Lichtheim), and whose book—the first of a four-volume series called Law, Class and Society-vividly exposes the law as a reflection and perpetuator of class power, describes himself as a Marxist Socialist, not as an anarchist. So he presumably believes that governments must and should be put up with during a transitional period of 'socialism'. The only qualifying re-

quirement would be that the government Continued on page 4

THE EGO AND HIS OWN by Max Stirner. Edited by John Carroll (Cape, £2.95).

THE NIHILISTIC EGOIST: MAX STIRNER by R. W. K. Paterson (Oxford) University Press for the University of Hull, £3.50).

MAX STIRNER—the pseudonym of Johann Caspar Schmidt (1806-1856) -was perhaps the most remarkable figure to emerge from the so-called Young Hegelians in mid-nineteenthcentury Germany. His masterpiece of philosophical egoism, Der Einzige und sein Eigenthum, was published in 1844 (though dated 1845) and it enjoyed a Marxism.

Eventually he was introduced to the English-speakinng world. Steven T. Byington's laboured translation of Der Einzige was first published by Benjamin Tucker in 1907 in the United States, where the most recent edition of it appeared in 1963. About half of this translation has now been republished (with some revisions) in the 'Roots of the Right' series by John Carroll (of Pembroke College, Cambridge), together with a few passages from Stirner's minor writings. As it happens, R. W. K. Paterson (of the University of Hull) has at the same time produced what is, as he says, 'the first full-scale presentation of Stirner's philosophy in English'. So we have the ridiculous spectacle of Carroll saying on one side that 'in spite of the widespread influence Stirner has raised since 1844 there is still nothing that measures up to a definitive interpretation of his philosophy and its significance', and of Paterson saying on in the history of philosophy, and that the other side that 'few English readers only with the rise of existentialist philoscan be expected to have a direct acquaint- ophies in Europe during the last forty Stirnerite groups which have been active ance with the contents of his book'. But years has it been possible to undertake in the anarchist movement of several

out by the work of the other, their contributions are by no means equal.

What Carroll has produced is basically a popular abridgement of Der Einzige, with some footnotes, a useful bibliography, and an elementary introduction. This spends a lot of time trying to justify Stirner's place in a series devoted to such writers as Arthur de Gobineau, Charles Maurras, and Alfred Rosenberg. Carroll admits that 'the case for including brief period of fame, but soon fell Stirner in the "Roots of the Right" is into oblivion. Fifty years later it was not watertight', but he suggests that, in rescued by the germanised Scottish writer, attempting to discard all conventional John Henry Mackay, who hailed it as politics, 'Stirner has by default Rightist the gospel of individualism, and Stirner tendencies', and then points to the unwas introduced into the pantheon of deniable fact that he was admired by anarchism as the classic exponent of Mussolini and also by Dietrich Eckhart, one of its extreme tendencies. Ten Hitler's guru. It is certainly easy to years after that some of the manuscript read fascist ideas into Der Einzige; indeed was published of The German Ideology, it is easier than Carroll seems to realise which Marx and Engels had written in —when he says that 'there is no sug-1845-1846 and which contained an enor- gestion of racism' in it, he forgets the mous attack on Der Einzige, and Stirner extraordinary account of the Negroid, was introduced into the demonology of Mongoloid, and Caucasian phases of human development which is Stirner's version of the dialectic of history. But it is going too far to call Stirner 'an important contributor to the growth of European fascism', and in fact the case for including him in a 'Roots of the Left' series would hold at least as much water. (Here it is worth mentioning that the 1963 edition of Byington's translation of *Der Einzige*, unequivocally presented as a classic text of anarchism by James J. Martin, is complete and is still available through the Freedom tion-or in the reverse position of being Bookshop at £3.50; and that it will soon unaware of or repudiating these and be reissued as a paperback by Dover other anarchist thinkers—have been Publications of New York.)

from neither right nor left, or rather to anarchist thought, and when Paterson avoid approaching him from a political tries to deny this and to show that position at all, and this is what Paterson it is based on a misunderstanding of has done. His main thesis is 'that Stirner he only shows his own misundernearly all of the earlier literature on standing of anarchism—thus his cate-Stirner has been in large measure vitiated by a basic misunderstanding of his place though the statement of each is cancelled an illuminating appraisal of his true Western countries for eighty years. What-

contribution to the development of European thought'. He begins by describing Stirner's career,

emphasising his strange role as a writer who began as one of a group of left-wing Hegelians called die Freien ('the free') by revising Hegel, and ended by rejecting not just Hegel but all his fellow Hegelians as well. If Marx (another left-wing Hegelian) turned Hegel upside down, Stirner may be said to have turned him inside out. Der Einzige amounts to a refutation of Hegel's whole philosophy expressed in a parody of Hegel's style which is so skilfully based on the peculiarities of the German language as to be virtually untranslatable. But Stirner's relationship with Hegelianism is historically less significant than that with Marxism. Paterson argues that Marx's violent refutation of Stirner in The German Ideology represents a stage in the development of Marxism itself-Marx characteristically defined his own position through his dialectical critique of all other Hegelian positions, especially that of the most anti-Hegelian Hegelian of all-and that in the process Marx actually absorbed more of Stirner's ideas than he admitted, or perhaps even realised. Indeed Paterson sees Marx's and perhaps culminating, factor' in this development, and Stirner as a crucial, though unconscious, contributor to the Marxist ideology.

By contrast Paterson deprecates the identification of Stirner as an anarchist writer, but here he soon gets out of his depth. It is quite irrelevant that Stirner was either unknown to or would have been repudiated by such figures as Proudhon, Bakunin, Kropotkin, or Tolstoy; many people in the same posiperfectly genuine anarchists. Stirnerism It is really better to approach Stirner does represent a definite strain in gorical statement that Stirner 'has no philosophical disciples among anarchists' will be rapidly refuted by reviews of his book in the papers of the many

ever logical discrepancies there may be was foreshadowed by Kierkegaard, with

has the slightest acquaintance with add). Kropotkin is well aware that he knew form of anarchism.

nities with Nietzsche (showing that as a beautiful example of what Paterson though there are remarkable similarities calls 'philosophy at play', as a delightful between the two, there is no evidence demolition of all kinds of nonsense from that Nietzsche ever read Stirner, let God and the State down to solemn alone that he was influenced by him) and books by unversity teachers (and solemn with existentialism (suggesting that atheist reviews of them): a useful reminder that existentialism was foreshadowed by in the end the only thing is oneself. Stirner rather as Christian existentialism

between the philosophy of Der Einzige whom there are again remarkable simiand the ideal type of anarchism, there larities as well as obvious dissimilarities). have been plenty of real people who He points out the strange fact that the have been both Stirnerites and anarchists, English translation of L'Homme révolté from Mackay and Benjamin Tucker in omits Camus's important discussion of the 1890's, through Armand and Mar- Stirner-just as the first English edition tucci in the early twentieth century, of The German Ideology omitted the right down to many of our own com- crucial section on Stirner. He concludes rades today; and even non-Stirnerites that Stirner is to be seen above all as reading of Der Einzige as 'a major, find much that is fruitful in Stirner. an existentialist philosopher, anticipating Incidentally, Paterson rashly states that the Heidegger of Being and Time and 'there is no reason to believe that the Sartre of Being and Nothingness but Kropotkin . . . had any close acquaint- going far beyond them-a pioneering ance with Der Einzige and the egoistic explorer of the unmapped path beyond system of its author, or that he would the territory of metaphysical concepts have felt any regard for them if he which leads to total atheism and total had'. On the contrary, anyone who nihilism (and total anarchism, I would

Most of Paterson's discussion will Stirner's work, discussing it respectfully appeal only to professional philosophers, if not very sympathetically in Modern just as most of Carroll's much more Science and Anarchism and in his superficial discussion will appeal only Encyclopaedia Britannica article on to students of political thought. Lay anarchism; these important discussions readers of Stirner-and there are more are also overlooked by Carroll, who than either seems to realise-do not see seems to be ignorant of most anarchist him as a thinker to be placed in either discussion of Stirner. So neither of these philosophical or political terms, let two books will be much use to anarchists alone as a prophet of angst or conwho are interested in this particular centration camps; they see him as a wonderful corrective to the habit of looking Paterson then examines Stirner's affi- at men not only as things but as ideas,

NICOLAS WALTER.

A Text Book of Anarchism

THE POLITICAL THEORY OF AN-ARCHISM by April Carter (Routledge and Kegan Paul, £1.50).

sympathetic, though critical, and does came to Woodcock's it would have the not think that an anarchist society is same effect, although Miss Carter is not She considers anarchist ideas may be introduces her work as follows: partially realised by popular movements, ideas therefore the most apt for general diffusion.

The Political Theory of Anarchism, Anarchism and the State, Anarchism and Society, and Anarchism and the Indihinkers, and from those who are close course.' anarchism in their attitudes. The tend to jump about in time, from Proudto Herbert Read, to Colin Ward, to William Godwin, but I don't think this is a matter for criticism. Rather it stresses something which I have believed for a long time, that anarchism is a timeless creed. If it only appears in a recognisable modern form with William Godwin it certainly would have been equally true in the ancient world, and is likely to be true also in the interplanetary world of the future.

meaning of the word this book is cer- comparable as social theorists with, for

tainly as good as the old George Woodcock introduction to anarchism Anarchy or Chaos, now out of print in all probability forever. The Woodcock book THIS IS AN EXCELLENT textbook made me an anarchist, and I think that on anarchist theory. The author is if I came to April Carter's book as I likely to appear in the foreseeable future. an anarchist, and Woodcock was. She

This book was conceived and written and this is their value. The anarchist as a brief study in political theory, primovement is the least doctrinaire of all marily for students of politics. Its main political and social movements, and its aim is to explore anarchist ideas in relation to a number of important themes in political thought. The book assumes She divides the book into four sections: no prior knowledge of anarchist history and philosophy, and will therefore cover ground familiar to those already versed in the literature on anarchism. On the vidual. These sections are in their turn other hand it does assume some knowlsubdivided: State and Government, The edge of general political theory, although Social Contract, The Law, War and the the specific connexions between anarchist as a doctrine is neglected. If an anarchist State, Class Rule and Elites, and so on and other theorists are spelt out as society existed in some country, even and so on. By this means she covers all clearly as possible. It also explores the the aspects of anarchist thought, with relevance of anarchist ideas to conrequent quotations from anarchist temporary politics and political dis-

Anarchism in the 1950s still meant effect of this system, however, is that we conspirators with cloaks and tall hats and bombs, in the sixties it came to mean hon to Paul Goodman, to Max Stirner, youths with long hair, guitars and blanket rolls, in the seventies it is being discussed as an idea of serious relevance to modern politics. Maybe in the eighties it will begin to be practised.

Miss Carter is careful to give everyone a fair hearing, and scarcely draws any conclusions of her own, so that one can hardly disagree with her on any point. I wonder though whether it is true to say that the anarchists 'lack any outstanding theoretical exponent of anar-As an introduction to anarchism for chism. There are important, interesting someone who knows nothing of the and attractive anarchist writers, but none

example, Marx.' Not Godwin, Stirner, Kropotkin? I would have thought that those writers deemed 'outstanding' by society are judged to be so because their ideas, however revolutionary, are still within terms of reference that society can easily understand. People can grasp what Marx was on about, even though few read him, while they are baffled by, say, Stirner. Anarchist writers are dismissed as 'impractical', while Marx is accepted by all, even those who hate him, as realistic, and vast tyrannical empires have been established in his name. Whether this has benefited anyone or not does not matter. Success in getting something concrete established is the only criterion.

In fact Miss Carter does make the point that the anarchists have failed to establish an anarchist society anywhere, and this is another reason why anarchism though it had completely declined from its anarchist ideals and was really only a state under another name, nevertheless the mere fact of its existence, and its power (even though it was no more powerful than Cuba or Israel), would be sufficient, and anarchist theorists, now regarded as attractive second-raters, would suddenly move to the top of the charts, and political textbooks would discuss Kropotkin, whose theories would be taken as seriously as those of more orthodox thinkers.

The above is my opinion, I hasten to add, not that of the author. I am cynical about mankind and the reverence it pays to some and not to others.

However that is not important. This is a very good book, and I hope it will be popular and widely read.

A.W.U.

book reviews

Socialism

Continued from page 3 and its laws be operated by the right people. But that's impossible.

To a person with a transitional view, the long-range class struggle justifies callousness towards individuals here and now. Thus, when discussing cases where unions were fighting individual members, and judgments were given against the unions, Pritt regards the judgments as anti-working-class and regrettable. Most people would be upset by the spectacle of a union pressuring an employer into firing an individual worker. To a Marxist it's quite in order. True, the judges very likely decided the cases out of their own class bias, not out of sympathy for the individual workers; true also that the judgments set precedents which could be used against unions in other cases than and is seeking additional labour.' were good.

To return to the foreign-capital argument against equality: if people can produce as efficiently without as with bosses, they are obviously in as strong so.) a position as before regarding real trade: the exchange of goods between places with different natural resources; while the paper tigers of balance of payments and exchange rates are best dealt with by an international approach to revolution.

But that's a big 'if' to a socialist like Lichtheim, who assumes that, even within the domestic economy, prosperity and equality don't go together:

'Genuine equality—and distribution of the "national dividend" according to criteria of need alone—cannot be attempted if the result is likely to be a significant decline in economic efficiency . . .'

. progress in the direction of socialism has been slower than was originally expected [because of] continuing economic scarcity, with the resulting pressure to place rational economic calculations [my emphasis] first; and the reluctance of the electorate (including a majority of the industrial working class) to press on more rapidly toward genuine social equality.

(The reason the electorate does not favour socialism is, according to him, that they quite sensibly want to maintain their living standards—no ascetic visionaries they, he tells us in tones of

hearty democracy.) No reason is given for the assumption that equality makes prosperity impossible. It's simply presented as a fact of life. '. . . industrialization by itself tends to bring about new social alignments, notably the emergence of a technical intelligentsia which . . . will rebel against enforced equality and the imposition of standards proper to a primitive peasantry'. 'Tends to bring about' is an evasion, doubtless unconscious. It's like saying that the nearness of a pickpocket to an open wallet tends to bring about theft. Together with the self-contradicting notion of 'enforced equality', the phrase reveals a personality to whom equality is too dirty a word, too disturbing a prospect, to be given careful thought.

Even some anarchists will ruefully confess their belief that, 'in an anarchist society', well worth it though it will be, the roof will always be leaking, one coat sleeve will be longer than the other, and it will take three weeks to get from London to Manchester. They may even suspect, way deep down, that in an enough to eat. This merely reflects lack of confidence and the power of brainwashing.

The fact is that however much efficiency you have, if the system, whatever it's called, remains capitalist, the majority won't be better off. Theoretically, in 'socialist' countries like Yugoslavia, production is supposed to create a surplus, leading to increased prosperity, leisure, and distribution on the basis of need. But in practice, when a surplus is achieved, it's merely used to feed the production system. Once more, we are told to wait. We must all work hard now, but some day it will pay off.

Technological development is considered all-important in Yugoslavia. They recognize technocracy as a problem. Peasants are regarded as obstructionist boneheads because they don't want to fit into an industrial system, however progressive. (What kind of revolution is it that dismisses the wishes of so many people?) But sometimes technology leads to redundancy or the threat of it. What do they do in Yugoslavia, when this happens? Rather than sustain the catastrophe of increased leisure for everyone, or a less 'rational' but

pleasanter organization of work, they create more jobs having the same type of management-labour setup as before. (Needless to say, self management within enterprises exists in only the most primitive, parliamentarian sense, although an encouraging feature is the fact that workers are aware of this and are constantly pressing for greater equality.)

In one case, for example, 'All employees adversely affected [made redundant] were absorbed into a new working unit called "Usluga" which provides delivery, retailing, road maintenance and contract labour services, and also runs market gardening, horticultural and carpentry activities. Latest reports show that Uslaga is currently experiencing a shortage of manpower

those affecting individual members. But Jobs produce jobs produce more jobs. in their immediate effects, the judgments The author of the pamphlet considers it wonderful. (He is unhappy with the persistence of the price mechanism in Yugoslavia: that is capitalistic. But the other problems he mentions are equally

> In the same way, Lichtheim notes with approval that

'When accused of wanting to set the unemployed to work digging holes and filling them up again, he [Keynes] pointed out correctly that even if they were paid for doing nothing else, they would spend their wages on food and other necessities, thereby increasing the sum of real incomes.'

Neither Keynes nor the Yugoslav leaders can bear to see the obvious: that the same result would be achieved by paying people and not asking them to dig holes and fill them up again. Plainly they believe that work is the highest form of human creativity.

Welfare in Yugoslavia has been sacrificed to economic considerations: 'an industrial rather than a community based allocation of funds [is seen] as the most likely provider of growth and technological development'.

'The Yugoslavs are thus intent on inserting market forces into health, social insurance, and education, and the process had already been taken far enough in 1967 for educationalists to speak of "the price of a pupil" and for doctors to refer to "the cost of a patient" in describing productivity yardsticks in their respective spheres.' You cannot ever have distribution on the basis of need in a society where not merely efficiency, but constantly-increasing efficiency, to the maximum benefit of those running the system, is all. Such a society may dish out charity somewhat more charitably than their predecessors, to show how nice they are, but they can have no real use, or love, for the 'ugly ones with nothing to sell'.

A fantasy which sometimes afflicts me is that of taking some extremely trivial grievance, such as the unnecessary use of commas in writing addresses, and blowing it up into the World's Greatest Cause, by means of whatever sophistry and rhetoric I can muster. Now here's this book about the Koh-i-Noor diamond. It was dug up in India countless ages ago, and was grabbed by the British in 1849. Mr. Sen is concerned to point out that Gandhi and Nehru, in their otherwise admirable campaigns for Indian independence, neglected to include the diamond's retrieval on their agendas. Not only was it not at the top of the agenda; it wasn't even mentioned. There's a picture of it; it's ever such a great big anarchist society they might not get quite shiny thing. The Queen really ought to give it back, if only because the Indian government might be able to sell it to some damn fool for enough money to feed the population for a couple of days, or weeks. Would anyone like to help organize a march on the subject, from you-know-where to you-know-where?

K.S.

Just off the Press!

Anarchist Classics Series

ABC OF ANARCHISM —Alexander Berkman $20p\ (2\frac{1}{2}p)$

Reprint of Freedom Press Edition with a new Introduction by Peter E. Newell. Biographical Notes and Historical Background

For the Good of the Cause

STORIES AND PROSE POEMS, by He puts his spare time to use reading decided to give it to a scientific re-Alexander Solzhenitsyn (Bodley Head, Das Kapital, which he finds obscure and search institute. A delegation to see if 1971, £1.75).

THIS IS A COLLECTION of six short stories and nearly 20 prose poems. Some of the stories appear in other translations (available at present) and some like 'Matryona's House' and 'For the Good of the Cause' are well known. In this edition only one of the pieces is dated and most therefore cannot be placed in relation to 'One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich' published in 1963. Nor is any clue given as to the publishing history of these stories, though the dust jacket says that two of the stories have been published in Russia.

To take the prose poems first. These are not, as translated anyway, prose poems. They are ruminations, jottings, fireside pieces to be read aloud at night on subjects like having the freedom to breathe the open air, not to be able to wander freely in a forest protected by barbed wire and uses of the peasants' rucksack.

Like extracts from letters to a friend they say a great deal about the writer but they are in themselves without form and seem unsuited to print. They seem more like a fill-up here. The subjects are simple and down to earth and only in one piece does the dehumanized man of the 20th century creep in. In 'At the Start of the Day' the writer watches comments on the ritual. . . . In this age no one is suprised if people cherish their bodies patiently and attentively every day of their lives. But they would be jeered at if they paid the same attention to their souls'.

Solzhenitsyn is moved by what he thinks destroys the man in man. He thinks it is not socialism, but socialist man. Is socialist man Shostokovitch then, tortured, sensitive, almost religious? Is he a Hero of the Soviet Union? Or an ordinary man and woman who just happens to have been lucky enough to survive the most violent century ever? No. no, socialist man is the man of

duty. In the story 'An Accident at Kretechovka Station', Lieutenant Zotov is a railway despatcher who against his will works in a marshalling yard miles behind the front lines. The progress of the war worries him, everything seems so uncertain and he constantly repeats the words of a soldier-poet to himself: 'If Lenin's great cause should now be lost What is there left for us to live for?' If he is not allowed to fight at least he on the national effort.

difficult. He gets through it by reading it twice and making notes only after the second reading.

But all the time, he is distracted by the provocatively heaving bosom of a female lodger. Had he noticed that she never locked her bedroom door, she asks? When she finally takes another lover, he is still interrupted by their sighs and groans, as he sits in the next room.

He now lives in another house, very uncomfortable, but quite safe from seduction. But a girl at work is asking him to live with her. He feels bound to his wife and to a platonic friend in the village. But how he wishes she would persuade him a little harder! Then he'd give in!

In this state of personal confusion he is glad to meet a man with whom he feels able to talk freely. A soldier, an actor frem Moscow, turns up with the yarn that ms unit has left him behind and he is trying to catch them up. There is no possibility it seems of checking his story, these are confused times and soldiers in transit are going without food for days. So Zotov while helping the man get a train, makes the best of his company. He tells the older man how he wanted to fight in Spain and how frustrated he feels stuck here while the war is raging. The older man says he is an actor who an open air gymnastic exercise and volunteered. He seems to have a breadth of experience rarely found and Zotov allows himself to be charmed. But when the man does not know where Stalingrad is—Was it possible? A Soviet citizen and didn't know Stalingrad?'-Zotov takes the man to the food store and there has him arrested. Funny thing, for months after he rings up the NKVD to find out what happened and all they will say is 'Don't worry comrade, case 1234 is being dealt with'.

Other stories show Solzhenitsyn has rather negative feelings about young people. They think they are the future, but really they know nothing. They throw stones at God ('The Easter Procession') but strangely, it is him they want to follow as the procession of a handful of Christians leave the church.

How hopeful youth is! They are persuaded (in 'For the Good of the Cause') by their elders to build with their own hands a much-needed extension to their technical college. It is built and the students arrive for the new term expecting to move in. But they are told that more needs to be done to the building before they can take it must concentrate all his mental energy over. In fact, they do not own it and an important committee has already

this is right descends on the college. All are party people responsible for education in the region and they troop around picking meat out of their gums and asking what is the use of that.

The college principal finds out their decision almost in passing and before he knows what has happened to him they have gone. He fights as well as he can but he does not know clearly where this decision was made. A survivor of the war, a man who can only write his signature by holding one hand with the other, he enlists the help of a friend-also a survivor. They go and see the local party boss. In a terrifying interview justice meets immovable power and power gives a little. A compromise is reached. But as the principal gets back to the college he sees the villain of the piece strutting about putting stakes in college land for his institute. Even a compromise for the good of the cause is a personal defeat.

All the stories are heavy with the grip of suffering and for that reason they will last. Thanks to a Soviet cancer clinic a man has come out 'on the other side' and not in a bemused state either. Solzhenitsyn's triumph is to have lived and survived. How many writers like him would exist now but for the war and the camps? Secondly, he has survived in a condition able to write. And although his spirit is religious, he is a fighter. He is not remembering a terrible past-not just recent Russian history—like a war-weary hero. Not at all. He is a writer. He wanted to be a writer. Against death and the censorship he struggled and he has had a lot of success. But he is not 'a successful writer'. He is still a writer, living in the middle of his times and forgetting nothing. He owes nothing to art. And everything to Russia.

Another 4-page leaflet. Two articles from Free-DOM: The relevance of Anarchism today and Anarchism and Nationalism. Available from Freedom Bookshop for 30p a hundred including postage.

Tenants take Control

A LL OVER THE country tenants of Local Authority and New Town Development Corporation houses face massive rent increases as a result of the Government's so-called 'fair rents' scheme.

In a rotten society where the basic human need for shelter-like all other needs—is subordinated to the profit motive, this comes as no surprise. This is why luxury flats stand empty whilst thousands are homeless; why those who are not prepared to see their families homeless and, thus, turn to squatting, face the viciousness of the Law-the protector of the rich and powerful. Whether we rent our homes or mortgage our souls for 25 years or so, we are subject to the same exploitation: someone somewhere is making a fat profit out of our needs. An economic and political system which denies a man a home in which to raise his family in security and freedom has no right to movement based on voluntary co-

Of course, the political power-seekers like the Labour Party and Communist Party will raise their voices in righteous indignation at the rent increases: they see our struggle as potential votes or more power for them. The anarchists say 'Do it for yourselves!' We must fight for ourselves instead of trusting the politicians to do it for us. We must fight the rent increases now with the tactics of direct action: demonstrations, sit-ins, rent strikes, occupations of Council offices, etc. The important thing is that we should decide for ourselves what action to take and we should put it into effect for ourselves.

But we must do more than this: we must go on to create a movement for tenants' control which will take control of our housing estates out of the hands of capitalists, politicians and bureaucrats.

Similarly, at work we must build a

operation and mutual aid to seize the means of production and create a society where work is not geared to the production of articles for profit (however useless or socially harmful those products may be), but rather to the satisfaction of real human needs and the individual's need for creative work.

We will take control of our own environment and keep it healthy and free from the deadly pollution of those industrial processes which are slowly killing our planet but which have been accepted in the interest of profit. We

will work together on the anarchist principles of voluntary co-operation and mutual aid to build homes for the homeless, repair our homes and roads, keep tidy our estates, etc.

We will all be involved in the decisionmaking. We will not have politicians 'representing' us and indulging their lust for power. We will not have their Councils dictating our lives. Wherever possible decisions will be made at community meetings, but where this is not practical then we will have to elect delegates from our streets, estates, etc., to represent us. However, if they misrepresent us they will be subject to instant recall: they will not be allowed to form a bureaucracy with power over us. Someday we will. Why not today?

TERRY PHILLIPS.

GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG

Subscriptions invited for this magazine

(Quarterly) £2.10 p.a. to Freedom Press.

Subscriptions invited for this magazine

Bakunin E. P. Maximoff £1.50 (10p)

India) Geoffrey Ostergaard £5.50 (15p)

Alexander Berkman £0.20 (2½p)

Alexander Berkman £1.50 (10p)

Giovanni Baldelli £2.50 (7½p)

Guy Debord £0.42½ (5p)

Hugh Thomas £1.00 (10p)

£0.50 (2½p)

£0.42½ (5p)

£0.15 $(2\frac{1}{2}p)$

Any book not in stock, but in

Book Tokens accepted.

Libertarian Analyses

ABC of anarchism

Social anarchism

Political philosophy of

The Paris Commune and

the Idea of the State

The Gentle Anarchists (The

Sarvodaya Movement for

non-violent revolution in

(Published CIRA)

Society of the Spectacle

The Spanish Civil War

publish

FREEDOM weekly

Entrance Angel Alley,

ANARCHY monthly

84b Whitechapel High Street

Whitechapel Art Gallery exit,

SPECIMEN COPIES ON

Aldgate East Underground Stn.

01-247 9249

close at 8.30 p.m.

and distribute

London E1

REQUEST

Bookshop

Open Afternoons

Open Evenings

Thursday

Fuesday to Friday

2 p.m. to 6 p.m.

(Back issues available)

Radical America Magazine

(Back issues available)

£2.85 p.a. to Freedom Press.

Prison memoirs of an anarchist

order helps.

print can be promptly supplied.

Please add postage & cash with

Sex & Capitalism

DERHAPS IT MAY seem absurd that we are about to give a discourse on Sex, at a moment when we are faced with pressing problems deriving from the progressive degradation of the working class in capitalist society.

We propose to examine whether there is a sexual exploitation as well as the economic one, whether it is true that privilege has also a sexual nature.

a fragmented system of exploitation, but involves the whole man with all his faculties. It is based on the sick sexuality of the privileged and the lack of sexual him towards the artificial stimuli of satisfaction of the working masses, and the exploitation and repression of wo- worker is rendered incapable of natural man's sexuality.

In this bosses' society, woman is the chief target and victim. She is reduced bosses. to an object and to private property. This dehumanisation is effected by those institutions, which according to bourgeois hypocrisy should be governed by 'love'; the father's suppression of a daughter in the name of 'honour' and 'maturity',

pression of man and woman appears to be part of the mechanism of exploitation.

male and submissive female corresponds directors and subordinates. With the suppressed masses the employers can do as they like because the state of sex frustration generates the masses' apathy It seems clear that capitalism is not and submission. The obstacles opposed to sexual rapports produce a continual dissatisfaction, and this lack of joy in the individual enables the bosses to direct the consumer society. The more the enjoyment, the more he is made into a bourgeois and seeks the interests of the

The more the worker is incapable of experiencing joy with his whole body, the more he submits to the slavery of the production line. This is how the fight for the reduction of working hours and against the bestial speed of prothe husband's privileges in the name of duction, against profit and capital, is not just an economic problem, but is tied to the possibility of sexual enjoywoman has always been accompanied by ment of our bodies and our sexual faculty.

> tr. S.M. from 'Linee per una Rivoluzione Libertaria' Forli.

male rights.

Sexual and economic submission of the sexual frustration of the man. The woman compelled by clerico-bourgeois education to consider sex as something foul may certainly be an unsatisfying

sexual comrade. All this sexual re-

The sexual division between privileged to the division in the factories between

Gruppo 'Volin' Bologna.

News from Germany

THEY SAY we Germans are very violent people. Well, having a look around I must state that the employment of violence against the fellow man is not limited to the Germans only. But, I agree, we have a strange relation to violence.

A couple of days ago a girl aged about 22 was shot by a police officer in Hamburg. She allegedly used her gun She is said to have been a member of the so-called Baader-Meinhoff gang, a group of 'terrorists', 'criminals' and, above all, anarchists, if you believe our papers. Indeed, another fine opportunity for the ruling class to connect anarchism with violence, although no member of the said group has ever declared himself to be an anarchist. Some of them have been instructed by the Fehdajin in Palestine for guerillawarfare.

This man called Baader, a member of the above group, had tried to set a store on fire (at night, when nobody was endangered). He was caught and his friends successfully tried to set him free from prison in Berlin. His intention was to protest against the war in Vietnam by his 'propaganda by action'. Well, I can understand him so far. can even understand his friends getting him out of prison. What I really cannot support is that they (his friends) nearly killed a subordinated, unarmed civil servant when they freed Baader. I don't go in for violence at all.

There are certainly situations when the employment of violence is the only possible solution for the exploited people to change their condition of life (Brazil, Spain, Greece and so on). But in such industrialised countries as Germany, Britain and Sweden, with a more or less highly educated population, violence against other people doesn't help much and there is a wide range of other possibilities for us to change society. Fair enough, I think having made it clear to you what my ideas about violence are.

But coming back to the so-called Baader-Meinhoff gang. What has happened? This group was declared to be 'Public Enemy No. 1' by our liberal (?) Minister for the Interior, Mr. Genscher. Nearly every day our capitalist press has published 'crimes' committed by this group without having any proof. The readers were urged to keep their eyes open and to assist the police. In some towns police raids were carried out by officers armed to the teeth, as if a revolution would be just around the corner. In this atmosphere it was only a question of time. This gunfire in Hamburg must happen. As you may guess, the police officer concerned got his clearance from the attorney-general immediately. It's always the same: The representatives of the state have investigated their own attitude. Why has this killing in Hamburg not been researched by independent organs? This girl is dead now, who of this group will be the next?

INCREASED FARES

And more violence. Our local authorities in Hamburg have decided to increase the fares for the buses and the

underground. Several demonstrations, attended by some thousand people, took place, supported by the communists, the 'Jusos' (youth organisation of our ruling party, the 'Sozialdemokraten'), student organisations, some shop stewards. Anarchists were not involved in this

affair. I do not consider those youngsters waying red and black banners and shouting silly slogans to be anarchists. When will these 'comrades' learn that showing our flags on the streets is nothing without the work on the basis, i.e. in the factories, offices, schools, on the shop-floor, everywhere where people are coming together. It takes much more courage to agitate within a factory than to wave black banners in a demonstration protected by the police. But may I come back to the question of violence. The day after the fares increase came into force several attempts were made to derail our underground. In spite of our capitalist press I sincerely hope that no anarchists were involved. Anyway, I simply cannot understand how society can be changed by endangering the lives of workers, housewives, children, pupils and so on.

MUNICH BANK RAID

And more violence. During a bank raid in Munich a girl was shot. She was used as a hostage by the two raiders. Although the police had promised to do nothing in order to protect the lives of this girl and other hostages they opened fire when one of the gangsters tried to leave the bank together with the girl. Up to now it is not quite clear who killed her. Of course an investigation took place, carried out by the police. It is a funny thing: The investigation was carried out by the very same people who could perhaps be accused of having killed the girl. They all conspire together—the government, the police and the attorney-general of Munich, who ordered the officers to shoot. Well, this is the nature of the ruling class. But what is the opinion of the people? 'Hail to the police, at last they have acted decidedly.' Sometimes it is better for you to keep your mouth shut if you don't want to get into trouble. Is there any spot on earth where I can say what I think and what I want?

Continued on page 6

R.G.

LINE TUBES—next National Day of at the main gate, Fine Tubes, Estover, national monetary crisis encourage in-Plymouth. Will all comrades come down vestment in new productive capacity. and support us. Barclay must keep the factory going, we must stop him. Go forced to launch an emergency proto your factories, bring your workmates gramme of public works, which is very we have to do is overthrow it! with you. This struggle is for survival.

URING THE International Congress the FAI (Italian Anarchist Federation) the situation in Italy.

Two years ago a plot was hatched to prepare Italy for a 'Greek-style' CIA-Ordine Nuovo (neo - fascists) - Military coup.

Bombs were planted in public places which killed dozens and wounded hundreds of people. They were the excuse for the arrest of many anarchist and libertarian socialist militants. During these 'investigations' Giuseppe Pinelli etc. 'fell' from the 4th floor of the Milanese Questura.

Today, two years later, many of those arrested are still in prison, as yet without trial.

The fascist nature of the plot has been accumulated. However, the comrades of only the prosecution.)

in Paris at the beginning of August, are not content to rely upon the 'justice' one evening's discussion was devoted to of the Italian bourgeoisie. An international campaign is being organised to publicise the facts, and, in particular, to focus support on the forthcoming trial of Valpreda-expected in October.

It was agreed in Paris to try and coordinate the publicity material and the dates of demonstrations.

- firstly, money for the defence of Valpreda and other comrades; - secondly, money for leaflets, posters,

(Please mark donations clearly for one or the other.)

And, your involvement is needed to make the largest possible impact in Britain. Please get in touch with the ORA, c/o 138 Pennymead, Harlow, Essex.

(N.B.—The FAI emphasise that acts confirmed by the mass of evidence against Italian State property will aid

Saturday 10 a.m. to 4 p.m Help is needed:

SUBSCRIPTION RATES, 1971

INLAND

12 months £2.50 Freedom 6 months £1.25 12 issues £2.25 Anarchy £1.13 6 issues

ABROAD (in \$ U.S.)

Freedom 12 months \$6.40 6 months \$3.20 Anarchy 12 issues \$6.00 \$3.00 6 issues

AIRMAIL (USA & Canada)

Freedom 12 months \$11.00 6 months \$5.50 12 issues \$11.00 Anarchy \$6.00 6 issues

ANARCHY 4 POLISH FOOD RIOTS now on sale

from Freedom Press

with inflation.

create sufficient demand to place more Picketing Friday, September 17, 1971, people in jobs, nor will the present interin war. necessary, especially in housing.

Industrial countries are caught up in

THE CONTINUING RISE in the a vicious circle. Most of them are number of people who are unem- suffering from high unemployment with ployed is baffling the economic pundits. inflation. Previously, capitalism was Usually with unemployment of such high prepared to solve its problems by creatproportions prices become stable, but we ing mass unemployment, but this now now have a situation where, by the seems to be self-defeating. At the other winter, there is likely to be a million end of the scale there are also problems unemployed, including many of this apparent in an over-productive capacity, year's summer school leavers, coupled for this does not bring in high profits. Increased growth is fast using up the Recent credit relaxations will not raw materials of this planet and it would be suicidal to seek an economic solution

The contradictions within capitalism abound. It stumbles from one crisis to Mr. Heath's Government may shortly be another, creating misery and hardship for those who are exploited by it. All

THE ARREST of Stuart Christie illustrates the desperation of the police to get somebody in their witchhunt of the Angry Brigade. I have met Stuart fairly regularly over the course of the last few months and I am confident in asserting that it is most unlikely that he has, as he insists himself, anything to do with the charges being preferred against him. In fact, Stuart's interest has been centred on the Anarchist Black Cross and its publication the Black Flag.

Anarchists with their absence of dogma naturally pursue their objectives-ultimately the establishment of a free society but, here and now, the achievement of as much freedom in their everyday lives as is possible—in a variety of ways which may appear conflicting. In some matters many of us have had our differences with Stuart. But now that he is in need it is our duty to assist him in every possible way. The march on Brixton Prison, assembly point being Clapham Common tube at 12 noon on Saturday, September 4, affords an opportunity not only to demonstrate our solidarity but should also be the occasion of discussion and planning ways and means in which the accused may be best assisted. In the meantime I should be happy to assist in co-ordinating such efforts. Letters from those interested will be replied to promptly and a meeting of all those interested will be held as soon as possible.

Anarchists

Meet every Sunday in London in the Marquis of Granby pub, Cambridge Circus from 7 p.m. Quite apart from the fact that this is one of the few opportunities to meet your fellow anarchists regularly the present pressure from the authorities suggests that we should have urgent talks on how to combat them. All petty rivalries-which have unfortunately plagued our movement-should now be set aside and in a spirit of traditional anarchist generosity and mutual aid we must hasten to the assistance of our comrades in distress. I have no doubt that our cause will flourish and grow from our present trials providing we stand together.

Oz counterattack

THIS WORLD

the main streets of London last week cul- by Alexander Solzhenitsyn and the works minating in a sit-down in the main of Pasternak, for example, document thoroughfare. The continual police the case. Now the eminent writer and harassment has taught the most peaceful historian Andrei Amalrik has been sent of people—as surely the head community to a labour camp near the Arctic Circle in Britain is—the need of self-defence in North-east Siberia. The charge against and organisation. Large demonstrations him-spreading 'anti-Soviet fabrications', greatly assist the oppressed in rallying their spirits and gaining mutual confidence. From an anarchist point of view there is much to rejoice at in this for it becomes increasingly evident how much we have in common with them, ranging from a rejection of authority to the development of co-operatives and a recognition of the importance and autonomy of the individual, and there is a readiness on their part to join with us if only we do not isolate ourselves in aloofness and elitism.

One of our brothers who is taking a leading part in unifying the two movements is Paul Paulowski who openly defied the police in Hyde Park last Sunday rallying a huge crowd around him in dispensing a large cake containing, amongst other wonders, a quantity of cannabis sativa. The combination of humour and earnestness which some of our sourer comrades find unsettling is six. I told a 'Provo' when they were violence is the disarming of our foes.

Socialist Fascists

After the last world war a book by Margaret Buber-Neumann, the wife of one of Germany's leading Communists (who suffered persecution at Stalin's hands while in exile and death later when that good revolutionary returned them to Germany after doing a deal with Hitler), entitled 'Under Two Dic-

or in plain language criticising the state and the government.

Racist Britain

Anarchists and genuine liberals have with good reason been alarmed at the growth of totalitarianism in Britain. The enactment of anti-trade union legislation, the harassment of heads, the expulsion of Rudi Dutschke, embodying the rever-

sal of a principle of tolerance which had become almost typically British, are all marks of such development. The more laws that are enacted to curtail the liberties of people, the greater the powers of the police and the more intrusion they are enabled to make in our lives. We are then sanctimoniously informed by the authorities that crime is on the increase. Seen in this light crime becomes a highly commendable virtue. One such 'crime' is the illegal entry into Britain of coloured people. While none of us would applaud the profiteering that motivates some of those engaged in the business of transporting such people here, the police persecution and hunting down of those who are entirely guiltless and whose crime is the colour of their skins can only appal us. The government can rely on the silence, if not the active support, of the great majority of people in Britain. A racist situation indeed!

BILL DWYER.

MADNESS AND ONLY MADNESS they are in hovels. Everywhere these everywhere. Torturing of innocent Tories go on smashing down sound people in the six counties. Repression accommodation for the office of the bashed through the Seanad in the twenty- foreign speculator. of your reader Bess Simms. Soon every be interned. The Forcible Entry Bill is only internment under another name.

One tiny glimmer of hope. Directly left anywhere in the world? it is signed and becomes law I know for a fact a number of gardai are going to resign. We need money desperately, not tatorships' gave first-hand evidence of only in the six counties but in the twentyhow little difference there is between six to fight these evils. International authoritarian socialism (or communism) lawyers and courts are going to cost a on the one hand and fascism or nazism lot. Defence for illegally detained pris-Squatters are in mortal terror though sneaky man.

The horror of the EEC hangs over us nonetheless the key to communication holding a meeting on O'Connell Street which will mean even more of our with a great mass of people whom we that the only difference between them children are born with 'For export only' cannot afford to ignore. It should also and UVR was that they were Catholic stamped on their backs as they go to be noted that the police have been re- Fascists and the latter Protestant Fascists. provide cheap labour in Germany and peatedly bewildered by such tactics and The gun and the bomb will NEVER Brussels (like the tatie pickers in Scotfar better, surely, than any amount of solve anything whichever side uses it, land), only these will not come back. We wherever they use it, and now it is too shall be the launching pad for the third late for talking SENSE. Not talking, but great Capitalist Power and her filthy talking SENSE, quite different in spite weapons because of our geographical position, and the rise in the cost of living decent person in all the 32 counties will will kill our old and very young. It killed quite a number of cold and starvation last winter. Is there NO HUMANITY

Faulkner's visit is over and even more British troop violence is likely. No sense has emerged. Lynch's telegram sounds bland, but since he and his Minister for Justice are bulldozing through the Bill that is even worse than the six counties' Special Powers Act, and is only intern-Our friends in the Underground are on the other. The 'socialist' countries oners and even running costs of the ment under another name. We who really also learning the value of solidarity. have ceaselessly continued to supply evi- Committee to Oppose Repressive Legis- care for Freedom are 100% sceptical. Defying police intimidation and infiltra- dence in support. The classic 'One lation has already, before we are really He is just a political opportunist and tion, a demonstration was held through Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich' off the ground, meant spending £500. nothing else. No brains at all, a little

ontact **Contact Column is** for making contact! Use is free, but donations towards typesetting costs are welcome

Help Fold and Despatch 'Freedom', Thursdays from 2 p.m.

ORA Newsletter. Contents include 'Reminiscences and Revision'; 'Communes -revolutionary or escapist'; 'Aims and Principles'; reports on Paris, Solidarity Conference, Socialist Current debate, etc. ORA, c/o 138 Pennymead, Harlow, Essex.

AGITPROP, 248 Bethnal Green Road, London, E.2. 739 1704. The BOMB SQUAD has been to see us three times—they need a warrant, you don't, so come and see our selection of books, papers, pamphlets, badges, posters and leaflets, or send an sae for list.

Nurses, Social Workers and Medical Students in London area wishing to form discussion and action group write: Thomas Layden, 37 Grand Avenue, Muswell Hill, London, N.10.

Libertarian Teacher No. 7 out now. 10p from 36 Devonshire Road, Mill Hill, N.W.7.

Three places open for proposed small group to learn Spanish by direct teaching method. Contact Freedom

New Address-Plymouth Group: John Northfleet, 16 Adelaide Street, Stonehouse, Plymouth. (Greg is moving.)

Black & Red Outlook No. 3. Paper of the Anarchist Syndicalist Alliance. 5p plus postage. Subscription £1 per 10 issues. In bulk multiples of 10 at 40p a time. All cash to treasurer (cheques and POs made out to Black & Red Outlook, c/o T. Anthony, 29 Eskrigge Street, Salford, 7).

Proposed Group — Edinburgh. Tony Hughes, 55 South Clerk Street, Edinburgh. 031-667 3534.

Radical Alternatives To Prison: Women in Prison-An Exhibition. Films, Photos, Poem, etc. Part of a campaign against rebuilding Holloway Prison. Roundhouse, N.W.1, September 8 to 14, 12 noon-11 p.m. except Sundays. Help and money to 104 Newgate Street, London, E.C.1. Phone 01-606 6123.

'South West Conference.' Will any group or individual in the SW who would like to attend, please contact Brian Shuttleworth, 51 Barnardo Road, Exeter, Devon.

Proposed Group: Celia & Laurens Otter, 13 Albert Road, Wellington Telford, Salop.

Anarchist couple, moving to London September 1, seek two-roomed flat or similar in Whitechapel area. Richard and Teresia, 19 Charlotte Road, Birmingham, 15. 021-440 4530.

Bangla Desh-Operation Omega. Contributions needed in cash, help or kind. We need nurses, doctors, mechanics, office workers, sponsors (individuals or groups), medicines, vaccine guns, high-protein foods, inflatable boats, generators, outboard motors, spare parts. Send details of help you can give, or goods you can offer, or cash to Operation Omega, 3 Caledonian Road, London, N.1 or phone London 837 3860 or 485 1103 or Manchester 881 1788.

Bakunin: 'The Paris Commune and the Idea of the State'; post free ordersingle copies 15p, 10 copies £1.00, from CIRA, 134 Northumberland Road, North Harrow, Middx. HA27 7RG.

Commune in Ramsgate, Kent, starting Sept. '71 needs members. Crafts/educational bias. Write: 36 Devonshire Road, Mill Hill, N.W.7.

The Match!—a monthly Anarchist journal. Send to Box 3488, Tucson, Arizona. USA. Year's sub. \$3.00.

Axis Bookshop, 6a Hunters Lane, off Yorkshire Street, Rochdale, Call if in town.

Proposed Group-Exeter Area. John and Jill Driver, 21 Dukes Orchard, Bradninch, Exeter, EX5 4RA.

Anyone interested in forming a Cambridge Anarchist Group contact John Jenkins, 75 York Street, Cambridge.

CENSORSHIP

If you believe our present rulers, the Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands. we enjoy living in a 'socialist constitutional state'. Can perhaps somebody explain to me why letters sent to me by comrades do not arrive? Why my letters do not arrive? Why the telephone of a comrade, well known to me, had been controlled? But I am not afraid as in our Grundgesetz (basic law) is stated: 'Censorship does not take place'. Various 'leftist' groups are controlled by the agents of the state. We all have learned from the Soviet Union what it means when the ruling class uses the word 'socialism'.

Our well-distinguished president, Mr. Heinemann, will not fail to congratulate the Shah of Persia personally. In October the Persian ruler celebrates the 2,500th anniversary of the state of Persia. Our president will be in good company together with other 'socialist' leaders such as Mr. Podgorny, Mr. Tito and other well-known heads of state. We also industrial waste containing arsenic had know that the Chinese are co-operating been unloaded on various city dumps with Persia. May we also see Chairman open to the public. Certainly investiga-Mao himself near our borders in Oc- tions are taking place but as I know tober? As far as business interests are our law affairs the drivers of the lorries concerned (for the big bosses and not will be accused and not the company for the working-class) we see our leaders in question. When will the workers all over the world united, whether they are communists, socialists, capitalists or bloody dictators. When the Shah-inShah himself honoured our country with his visit a couple of years ago the Persian emigrants and students were ordered to see our local police stations. Some of them had to leave the towns which were visited by the Shah. Still today this is the way our 'socialist' government treats emigrants. Members of the CISNU (organisation of Persian students) are controlled by our political police. The special department of the police works hand in hand together with the SAVAK (secret service of Persia). In October a big demonstration will be staged in Cologne where the Persian ruler has his embassy in Germany. I do hope that British people will also show what they think of this country. Comrades willing to co-operate may contact me c/o Freedom Press. Persia is a good example to show the people the very nature of state and government.

DEPORTED

Our federal republic seems to be a

a Spanish worker had tried to cross our border into the German democratic (?) republic. Our political police did not fail to arrest him and sent him back to Spain. As he had left his country of origin for political reasons this deportation means imprisonment lasting for years, perhaps even tortures. Friends of mine, working with Amnesty International, informed our local papers, but as you may guess, nothing has been printed. Our trade unions had been informed well in advance but the file of this pitiable Spaniard disappeared in their cabinets. What right do our rulers and the press have to accuse the communist government in East Berlin of having ordered their soldiers to shoot those people trying to escape into the Federal Republic? I am afraid the difference between the two German countries (and their governments) is not as big as they want to make us believe.

Continued from page 5

POLLUTION

Our rivers, our air and our towns are polluted more and more. Recently learn to refuse the orders of their masters, especially those which endanger the whole population.

ANARCHISTS UNITE!

What I cannot understand is the conflict between the anarchist sections in Germany. The younger comrades are often advocating violence at any price and those of us having fought against the NAZIS resigned and their activity is limited to publications of the past. I do understand both sides: those who take drugs and those who hang on to the past. But don't we have the same problems? Don't we have the same enemy? The ruling class is united and we as anarchists should be united too without giving up our individual ideas. The rulers and the industry are cooperating all over the world and cooperation should also be the aim of those people having recognized the nature of state and government. Anarchists all over the world, unite!

At this time of the year most of our politicians are abroad for holidays. If they only would stay where they are, bad place for emigrants. Just recently we can well do without them.

THE SIGHT of our great British press non-conformists and radicals. making a complete ass of itself is a recurring source of amusement in a its own version, a menacing verbal world so sadly lacking in humour.

(or alleged activities) of the Angry Brigade has been no exception. The and are directed by intellectuals. They subtleties of political action have never been the strong point of hack journalists. Who will ever forget the reports last year that confidently assured us that a bomb attack on the offices of the Spanish airline, Iberian Airways, in London was the work of the IRA (sic)! Of course, then the headline-catcher was the IRA, today it's the Angry Brigade.

Every schoolboy hoaxer who sends a letter purporting to be from the Angry arrest them. This proof will be sought headlines. In recent weeks we've had the the Home Secretary, to smash the Angry threat of assassination of the Queen in Brigade-by a team of 20 hand-picked even spell 'angry' correctly! But surely Special Branch, working with army the prize must go to the report that bomb-disposal experts and Home Office an 'Angry Brigade' had threatened the scientists.' You bet that 'proof' will be life of radio disc-jockey Tony Black- sought! burn if he appeared in Peterborough to open a shop. (In case you are on: 'The squad is taking a tough line. turn up and wasn't blown up.)

stereotype was of course 'anarchist'. Unfortunately for the press hounds, the numerous people proud to proclaim that they are anarchists and tell every snooping hack precisely what they believe in would not fit in with the great conspiracy story. The fascination of the Angry Brigade is its clandestine nature: the police and press can make all kinds of statements without producing a scrap of evidence and without the fear of contradiction. This is a subtle technique of preparing the ground for repression. 'Descriptions' of the Angry Brigade are used to fan popular prejudices against

The Sunday Telegraph on June 27 had 'identi-kit' picture: 'They are well-The press coverage of the activities organised, include as many women as men, are believed to live in communes are anti-Parliament and seek direct worker control, but many are not workers themselves.'

We've had repeated assurances that PC Plodd is hot on the trail and that the 'guilty' will soon be brought to 'justice'. Some justice! The Sunday Telegraph report went on to reveal that 'Scotland Yard has the names of 12 top suspects but lacks the proof to Brigade to his local paper is sure of —following an order by Mr. Maudling, York by an 'Angry Brigade' who couldn't detectives from the Flying Squad and

The Sunday Telegraph report goes worried I can reveal that Tony didn't It will raid hippy communes, question avowed members of the "underground" The 'in depth' journalists have had a and build up a complete file on the field day speculating about the nature sub-culture that challenges the present of the Angry Brigade. The obvious social order.' In other words they intend to use their well-known techniques of 'interrogation' in order to secure 'evidence' against the chosen victims. Hell! Aren't they satisfied with Jack Prescott and Ian Purdie?

The 'underground's' incoherence in defining its 'alternative society' and the way its life-style alienates it from working-class militants makes it a perfect candidate for the first victim of the growing repression: today the hippies, tomorrow the union militants.

Solidarity with the 'underground'!

TERRY PHILLIPS.

Printed by Eapress Printes. London, El Published by Freedom Press, London, B.I.