See idaritu For social revolution

No.5 Late September/October 1978

GENETIC CONTRACEPTIVE

MANIPULATION

0

HAZARDS

4363

15p

SOL

RAF members' re-assessment Italian feminists · Peugeot exposed Red Therapy – can politics be fun?

SOLIDARITY PAGE TWO

WHERE WE STAND

Are you dissatisfied with your life in our society? Certainly important decisions about your life are out of your control and in the hands of managers and officials of one kind or another. It's quite likely that you don't know your neighbours or workmates very well and feel anxious and lonely from time to time. Although we can consume a lot more than our grandparents ever did the process of getting the necessary cash remains a soul destroying struggle.

Of course, socialists and social reformers were offering answers to these problems long before any of us were born; yet despite bloody revolutions, struggles for trade union rights, and the election of reformers to government, there has been no fundamental change; the 'Communist' world is not communist and the 'Free' world is not free.



The real movement for freedom is not to be found in any formal organisation but rather in the responses of ordinary people who feel down trodden and ripped off. Whenever we recognise the needs of another person and that recognition is returned, a link of the chain sneps. Whenever we join hands in an open and equal fashion to shift the deadweight of bureaucracy even fractionally, a shudder passes through the whole structure.

Solidarity

SOLIDARITY for Social Revolution is produced in rotation by geographically separated editorial collectives. Members of local autonomous groups, together with individuals associated with 'Solidarity' are responsible for the contents and appearance of each issue. This one - no.5 was produced by members in SCOT-LAND. The next issue will be produced in mid-November by members in the MANCHESTER area, to which contributions should be sent, sooner rather than later.

The content of the bi-monthly magazine is generally reflective of the shared ideas of the group as a whole, although it is important to bear in mind that signed articles may not exactly correspond to this 'consensus'. We welcome articles/letters/cartoons.

To subscribe, send a £2 cheque to "Solidarity Publications", 23 Solar Ct., Etchingham Park Rd., London N.3.

Genuine freedom involves the end of wage labour and all economic inequality; it involves the liberation of personal and social relations among men and women a transformation of society which would be totally revolutionary in its scope.

But when we come to examine most radical and revolutionary movements we find that in practice they have little to do with freedom. Rigid hierarchical organisation and the worship of dead heroes go hand in hand with a willingness to manipulate and sacrifice campaigns and issues in order to 'build the revolutionary party'. SOLIDARITY is not the 'leadership' of this movement, but merely one small part within it. We attempt to broaden and deepen the growing criticism of modern society in theory and practice. We try to learn from the hidden history of ordinary people that lies beneath the history of their leaders and generals and attempt, within our means, to encourage autonomy, participation and equality in every struggle that we are involved in, whether in the workplace or in the wider community.

If you are interested in contacting 'Solidarity' write to the nearest autonomous group :-ABERDEEN: Box 23,c/o I63 King St. LONDON: c/o I23 Lathom Rd.,E.6. MANCHESTER: c/o I09 Oxford Rd., Manchester I3. OXFORD: c/o EOA Books,34 Cowley Rd.

1

If you haven't come across our pamphlets before, send a SAE for a list or £2 to subscribe to the London autonomous group. You will get mag. copies with this sub unless you specifically opt out. Available pamphlets include:-MODERN CAPITALISM & REVOLUTION; FROM BOLSHEVISM TO THE BUREAUCR-ACY; THE KRONSTADT COMMUNE; THE IRRATIONAL IN POLITICS; THESES ON THE CHINESE REVOLUTION; THE LORDS-TOWN STRUGGLE; URBAN DEVASTATION. Also the 400 page book PORTUGAL: THE IMPOSSIBLE REVOLUTION ?(£2.25).

Solidarity's Summer Holidays

From 21st to 28th July this year 'Solidarity' retreated into the Galloway hills for a conference and a weeks holiday (our first in 18 years). The venue was Laurieston Hall, a vast crumbling holiday. Saturday began with reports which showed we were alive and functioning quite well at ground level; if not so well co-ordinated as we'd like (though quite a few problems were ironed out during the week) followed by a rather confusing argument about the Elections. nology, but discussion was confused by participants more interested in pushing their personal obsessions than the subject at hand.

After an evening of energetic socialising, Sunday brought bleary eyes and the most rewarding session, on the proposed new point about sexual politics for "AS WE SEE IT". Vast numbers of alternatives were proposed, but the main bone of contention was whether the womens' movement (with related phenomena) was revolutionary, not, or even counter-revolutionary. Nobody seemed very continued on page I5, Ist column.

mansion run as an "alternative holiday camp" by a bunch of friendly hippies.

As agreed by the Orgbureau, we set aside the first weekend for a conference, though the feeling afterwards was that this wasn't the best start to a relaxing Afternoon still hadn't brought us a speaker on "NEW TECHNOLOGY AND THE WORK ETHIC", so we improvised. Opinion was generally in favour of labour-saving tech-

SOLIDARITY PAGE THREE

ITALY Feminists in perplexity



photo Red Notes

In one year the Italian womens movement has been transformed. 20,000 in Milan, thousands more in Turin, Genoa, Bologna An extraordinary explosion onto the streets which surprised even the feminists themselves.

The Italian womens movement remains the only social movement to have lost none of its strength, and the least of its initiatives is microscopically examined. It has been much criticised for refusing to condemn the Red Brigades and for its refusal to support the holy alliance between Communists and Christian Democrats "in defence of democracy and the State". Over the past twelve months the feminists have often been called on to declare their opposition to the violence of the "terrorists". Hence their suspicions and their silence, in public at least, and also their refusal to abandon a hard-won self-awareness in pursuit of aims which may not necessarily coincide with their own.

for the 8 March mobilisation were arrested and held in prison for a week. The 8 March demonstration was originally banned, yet that was before Moro was kidnapped."

It's a time for asking questions and moving towards new answers; "What we want," one autonomist woman told us, "is to get to grips with reality and devise new methods of political activity which combine the achievements of feminism with those of the workers' movement. The danger with the feminist movement as far as we are concerned is that it is no more than a current of opinion, easily recuperable by the institutions. What we must do is find some global response to repression, that's the real problem". So Italian feminists do not intend to underwrite any series of emergency measures which would mean abandoning the identity and the political methods they have developed in recent years. They continue to make an impact by the force of their own arguments, quantitatively at least. The battles which the womens movement has had to fight to win elementary civil rights, divorce for example, explain its massive support. The fight for abortion is still to be won. The visible tip of the iceberg is important, despite its uncertainties and hesitations. But no-one today knows how far below the surface the whole iceberg lies.

No more large seething assemblies like Governo Vecchio where the feminists "fused" towards the same objectives. That period has given way to a succession of tensions and conflicts both real and ideological. For the women in the movement there is nothing to choose between the violence of the state and that of the Red Brigades. In an unfavourable situation, they are trying to hold on to the independence they have already won for themselves.

The personal, it has been said time and again, is political. But slowly, softly, another voice is beginning to make itself heard: 'Isn't the political also personal?' Over the last months this question has given rise to much debate amongst Italian feminists. But they do not want to put themselves into a position that many people are trying to force them into.

At the international conference on violence against women held in Rome last Easter, the question of institutional and terrorist violence was never formally raised. If over the past year Italian women have been on a collision course with the political institutions and their methods, they have chosen not to speak about it in public, nor to answer the urgent appeals from the parties lying in wait for them just around the corner. This is not to say that the movement is not fractured by a host of uncertainties. It is looking for a new identity, being the only genuine mass movement in the peninsula in 1978: demonstrations on March 8th attracted 50,000 in Rome,

Many Italian feminists have decided to maintain their separatism as a guarantee, real or imagined, of their own existence. All the more so since everything that declares itself to be "different" runs the risk of being made to suffer the consequences by the holy alliance now in power. Giovanna, a member of one of the most radical collectives, explains:

"At the present time an attempt is being made to isolate and criminalise the autonomists and the extra-parliamentary left. The feminists are beginning to be regarded as a marginalised group and in the present situation it is no longer certain that we would be able to initiate action in the way we have done in the past. In Genoa, women who put up posters

This article is a much shortened and edited version of <u>Carnets</u> romains, a series of interviews with Italian feminists by Martine Storti and Marie-Odile Delacour first publised in <u>Liberation</u>, 10-12 April 1978.



"WE WANT TO LIVE, NOT SURVIVE" reads the banner of a feminist contingent on the Metal Workers demo in Rome last December.

SOLIDARITY PAGE FOUR

At an open meeting of Manchester MEN AGAINST SEXISM in June, two men who had been involved in the RED THERAPY group in London opened up a discussion on the work of their group and the value of "therapy" to radical political practice. The meeting complemented their publication of the same name.

The group first formed as a result of dissatisfaction amongst leftwing activists with the split they experienced between the political and the personal and the difficulty of trying to mend that split within the traditional left structures. Within the group a nucleus was formed from ex-members of East London BIG FLAME which at that time (1974) was still trying to formulate some kind of libertarian theory and practice. For about 2-3 years it seems most members of RED THERAPY withdrew from public political activity, which no doubt appeared to justify the accusations of their critics on the left. This however was an understandably extreme reaction against the equally extreme abstract and guilt-ridden politics of the traditional left. It is interesting to speculate on whether the withdrawl of RED THERAPY and others of a like mind in BIG FLAME had any effect on the drift of that organisation back towards outmoded leninist modes of organisation and flirtation with the trotskyists. Anyway, since then' many of the individuals concerned have re-entered public political activity in ventures which they see as both politically valuable and personally satisfying. Hopefully the influence of this group and others that have emerged within the womens, and to a lesser extent the mens, movement will enable libertarian communists to avoid the divisions and extreme choices which these people were forced to make back in 1974.

SPEAKING PERSONALLY...

RED THERAPY

'cos I can't speak any other way....

I've just left the Troops Out Movement because I became increasingly aware of how dissatisfying and ineffective it had become, at least in my area. My experience in therapy and my political activity before I got involved in TOM made me draw some conclusions - so much political activity on the 'straight' Left is based on guilt and self-denial. People are manically driven into activities by their own unrecognised needs and dare not stop being busy to analyse what it is they are doing for fear it will all turn out to be meaningless.

11

"Local activity" to raise consciousness and organise support for the TOM was only seen by the 'straight' Left as being meetings and rallies where famous people and "good speakers" talked at the "masses" who just passively listened and occasionally asked carefully circumscribed questions.

No room

There was no room for a dialogue, for listening to what people thought — the whole perspective was to tell people what they should **think**.

The pamphlet itself covers the history of the group in relation to the changes that have been taking place in modern capitalism and in psychology, a debate on 'politics versus therapy', various personal views from men and women in the group on sexism, brief descriptions of therapy techniques and general advice.

We are publishing the following extract from the pamphlet, not because we agree with the author's views on imperialism and antiimperialism (I doubt if we would), nor because we think that it's typical of the pamphlet as a whole (it isn't), but because we think that it may well connect with the experience of many of our readers. The pamphlet is well worth buying and provides a good basis for group discussion. COMRADES; IN ORDER FOR THIS CAMPAIGN TO SUCCEED, WE MUST BE PREPARED TO MAKE SACRIFICES; WE MUST DEVOTE OURSELVES TO THE HARD WORK OF ORGANISING MEETINGS, PETITIONS, ETC. THE STRUGGLE CAN ONLY BE WON IF WE STRIVE TO PUT THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF EFFORT INTO THE ESSENTIAL TASKS.

I went to so many ill-tempered organisational meetings where everyone moaned at each other for not doing more and there was masses of resentment all round. Nobody tried to understand each other and there was a lot of putting-down. Over the years the meetings proliferated, and the committees and groups identified by initials grew farcical. At the same time local organising and propaganda work suffered from lack of time. Resentments grew as it became clear that the people who did most of the talking did least of the work and that many of the political 'heavies', who talked about "the working class" all the time, could not in fact relate to another person well enough to talk about the weather, never mind imperialism and oppression.

The meetings were so unenjoyable and uncreative that it was not surprising TOM didn't grow fast. It was clear that most people thought it couldn't be enjoyable if it was to be effective. Occasionally 'socials' were organised to make money. Grim they usually were too — Leftie men huddled in corners drinking pints and arguing about tactics and strategies, and each other. Often outsiders who came for a beer were either harangued or ignored.

Mike Ballard.

«Political»

It was hard for me to talk to people about TOM in the end — the politics had become stale from constant repetition and I felt so

SOLIDARITY PAGE FIVE

- can politics be fun?

unenthusiastic for the organisation what could I offer?

Many of the people in TOM I liked, the basic demands are right and there is a need for an anti-imperialist grouping in Britain, but there needs to be serious thought about the current definition of what is 'political'. We need to be aware of our motivations and our own needs and we need to be able to relate to people in a real way, not hiding behind slogans, leaflets, platforms and 'speakers', megaphones and banners. Once I got caught up in that merry-go-round it was very hard to try and get another form of political activity together.

What do you mean, your baby daughter was ill? That's no excuse for missing a Hospital Cuts NORK HARDER FOR Committee meeting! THE REVOLUTION FUILTY ACTĪVISTS GROUP Also the current accepted definition of who is worth talking to who can wield influence and has power — is so awful: a few Lefties pursuing the same few shop stewards, councillors, MPs, trades council members, and the rest, the lumpen, considered unimportant, or even non-existent, if they aren't in a Union — those are the bosses' definitions of who is valuable (those who are in waged work).

....repressive, resentful, alienated, guilt-ridden....but I know there is another kind of effective political action which is fun, creative, warm — 'cos we experienced it sometimes in the TV group, so it ain't just Utopian.

An effective way of not looking at TOM critically, in response to complaints, was to put down political differences coming from 'libertarians' as 'personal problems' (in other words, out of TOM's sphere) - even if the criticisms came from seven people they were dismissed as personal problems and not seen in a political light at all.



"Red Therapy" is 70p from all good radical bookshops or, post extra, from RED THERAPY c/o 28 Redbourne Av., London N3 2BS.

BIRD WATCHING

I first saw them in a square, at the foot of an escarpment of black rock. We had landed in Hammerfest half an hour earlier and the shrieks of the kittywakes were still ringing in my ears. Sighting conditions were perfect: little vegetation, target stationary and at ground level, sun well up in a cloudless sky, air utterly translucent. It was crisply cold.

Hammerfest is the world's northernmost township. It boasts wharves, warehouses and a big fleet of trawlers. Its several stores sell polar bear skins, reindeer antlers and knitted Norweigan headgear and sweaters for people going further north still, on mail ships called 'Northern Lights', 'North Pole' or 'Midnight Sun'. They were in their early twenties, in jeans, probably students. He was selling the paper, she dishing out the leaflets. I took one. No Norweigan was necessary to get the message. The vocabulary and style of revolutionary invective



Horn of Africa." I rubbed my eyes. It seemed such an esoteric, such a contrived concern in this frozen context. Housewives, in the market, were asking if the ship had brought fresh fruit. Visitors were searching for a foreign paper any foreign paper less than a week old. Seagulls, grown fat on discarded fish heads, squabbled noisily around. An eider escorted her ducklings amid the wooden piles of the jetty.

I remembered St. Olav who had sought — with scant success to proselytise the Esquimos. Then, by some compulsive association of ideas, my thought switched to parrots, and to the delightful roundfaced puffins we had seen, skimming the water and diving, just before we'd entered port.

There were two of them, of average size, one male and one female, about 30 feet apart. They looked watchful but harmless. Their eyes, like those of the scaup, were pale grey, washed out, almost colourless. Their faces were strangely round. Both were flaxen-headed. are universal, and — sad to relate — universally dull. The article was about ... Eritrea. Yes, Eritrea, where unlike what pertains in Northern Norway, there are not seven words for 'snow'. The text slated "the opportunistic and hegemonistic behaviour of Russian social imperialism in the

My companion prodded me in the ribs. I came down to earth with a bump and made a firm ornithological identification.

I'd just spotted my first brace of *Maoistus polaris* (the arctic maoist).

M.B.

SOLIDARITY PAGE SIX

« BETRAYAL OF

Written by Horst Mahler and Jurgan Backer, published in BERLINER EXTRA-DIENST, 9-12-77

Known as the MAHLER DOCUMENT on BETRAYAL OF OUR IDEALS, the statement on terrorist methods takes on particular significance, the authors being "founder members" of the Red Army Fraction. As L.W., the translator, comments: "Whatever our disagreements with this text ** - and libertarians in particular may have misgivings on several points, such as the nature of the state and perspectives for future action- it is a serious attempt at a critique of terrorism, especially of its results in practice. It is significant that it should have come from a source close to the heart of the terrorist movement itself".

**For reasons of space the document has been extensively edited ...themselves prisoners and parliamentary auxiliaries of the authoritarian "defenders of the Free World".

The overwhelming majority fo the working population in our country identifies itself again, more strongly, with the state and its organs of power. That too is one of the terrorists' "successes".

5

There is at present in West Germany no social power which would be able and prepared to effect a <u>liberating</u> changeover. The rise of terrorism here is also an expression of the crisis of the "Left".

Anything is possible for us today — except the social revolution. Only when political liberty is won back will we be able to free ourselves from the ideological chains of a bankrupt theory of revolution, and find and test out ways of living which will give our ideal of freedom a positive content. assertion that the terrorists are not leftists at all but rather fascists, are wrong-headed. This line will only cloud our consciousness even more.

We will only be finished with individual terror and those who profit from it when we understand that trigger-happy hatred is not only a product of the decomposition of capitalist society, but at the same time an expression of the crisis of the socialist movement as a whole.

Ulrike Meinhof was without doubt a passionately convinced socialist up until her death, and ready for the highest personal sacrifice. Gudrun Ensslin was also driven by the same ideals, and the same can be assumed about most other terrorists. But when the people aggressively obstructed their messianic efforts they witnessed their total impotence in the most agonising form.

by the editorial collective of SOLIDARITY FOR SOCIAL REVOLUTION no.5(Scotland).

The Schleyer kidnapping marks a decisive turning-point in the political development of West Germany. Because we belonged to the original core of the "RAF", we think it is necessary to add our views to the discussion on the causes and results of terrorism. We have set them out in ten theses which do not purport to be the "final truth", but whose definitive formulation should rather stir up a controversial argument.

Anyone with any understanding knows that the most recent instances of terror are acccelerating a development which is leading from the liquidation of the remaining civil liberties, through a degeneration of the state apparatus, to a fascist power machine. Those who belong to the armed commando units practising this terror also know this, therefore they want the racist state.

No one seriously considers that the terrorist groups in this country could ever take over political power or even the state apparatus. The Bavarian C.S.U.* and sections We maintain that the alternative

They are not only powerless against social reality, but also set at odds with their motives; for in them — to express it in Hegel's



Horst Mahler in 1968.

to capitalism is not recognisable today, even in outline and that the reason is precisely that the people, in its overwhelming majority, identifies with the capitalist state despite crisis and corruption and demands that it be armed against everything "Left".

words - the "heart beating for the welfare of mankind" has long ago changed into "the rage of mad selfconceit". Their consciousness in isolation is to them the guage of all politics, of society and the individuals living in it - on that account they have individuals and society against them. Above all it is those dependent on wages, first and foremost the workers organised in trades unions, who identify with the state and its political order in the fight against terrorism and demand harsh measures, up to and including the reintroduction of the death penalty.

of the C.D.U.*...are the main danger in the present crisis <u>inside</u> politics.

The attempt of the S.P.D.* and trade union leadership to take the wind out of the sails of the C.S.U.'s politics by stepping up reaction has completely foundered

3

That the people considers the terrorism of the RAF and its offshoots to be of the "Left" has a historical basis. We forfeit all credibility if we deny that. Ritual distancing, and the unheralded * C.D.U.= Christian Democratic Union C.S.U.= Christian Social Union S.P.D.= Social-Democratic Party

The hostility to the people thus

SOLIDARITY PAGE SEVEN

OUR IDEALS »

arrived at combines with their calculation that a sharpening of oppression leading to fascist terror will finally rouse the people against the state and so bring forward and nourish revolutionary resistance. In this way they turn Mao's saying, "Where there is oppression there is resistance", inside out.

Because of this, neither their attitudes to the people, nor their motivation, nor even what they achieve, have the least to do with revolutionary politics. At the end of the sixties, indignation over the massacre of unarmed civilians perpetrated by the U.S. in Vietnam drove us to rise up against imperialism. With the same indignation, we reject all attempts to justify the murder of hostages - i.e. the killing of unarmed prisoners and massacre of completely uninvolved civilians as permissible and necessary.

8

Neither Marxism nor Leninism, nor the social utopias of the anarchists are the source-ground for terrorism, but the unbearable reality of capital, its past and present outrages against humanity, the spiritual and mental degeneration it engenders.

9

By the internal laws of the capitalist mode of production, the capitalist power-state will go on changing into a powerful capitalstate which directly regulates the social process of production and has the essential means of production at its disposal. We can avoid the ensuing dangers to the life and political freedom of the citizens of this state not by an abstract revolutionary propaganda, inaccessible to the people, which preaches the "smashing-up" of the bourgeois state. On the contrary: this nihilism will intOnly the reality of freedom will overthrow the existence of the capitalist state. That the free society — at least in embryonic form - cannot already be developing in the capitalist state, is in our view one of the numerously defeatist false doctrines to which we have adhered for too long. It will arise in peoples' struggles to carry through their vital interests, to establish an environment favourable to life, against capital's apocalyptic pressure to consumption and growth. Only if people have a concrete idea, based on their own experiences, of the content and form of the new society, will they be ready and willing to strip off the enslaving form of their social existence and break their chains.



Standard equipment on a standard raid.

Actions of that kind are crimes against the revolution.

History can provide other examples of revolutionary socialists turning up on the side of reaction.... We are not claiming that the terrorists of today, changed from social revolutionaries to fascists, as did Mussolini(1), Doriot(2), and the Strassers(3); but we think that their opposition to social reality has driven them into a cynical game with fascism and thereby they have clearly — and certainly not only objectively, but also subjectively - left the camp of progress and degenerated into auxiliaries of capital.

ensify the people's anxiety about social chaos and accelerate the flight to the "strong state".

Unemployment, illness, alcoholism, drug addiction, crime, terrorism and a whole procession of further social problems will steadily increase as the system's crisis comes to a head. Under the pressure of escalating suffering, people will go on appealing to the state as emergency helper and conceding it further-reaching rights of intervention, so long as they are not learning in struggle to regulate their affairs on their own responsibility. This social mechanism has certainly not, up to now, been correctly understood by marxists.

10

For us, the conclusion arising from that is that the struggle to maintain, regain and extend civil liberties is today our main task. Doesn't anyone who says that the capitalist state is too powerful and the struggle for civil liberties hopeless and just a "lever" for revolutionising the "masses" weaken the progressive forces by leading them into ideological error, crippling and splitting them?

It all comes down to staying together and not letting ourselves be intimidated and unnerved by the howling reaction. If reaction today clamours for the liquidation of the remainder of the bourgeois constitutional state, we must as a priority stand up for its unconditional and unlimited realisation. If it is given up in some resects, it will disappear as a whole.

Only our momentary weakness gives reaction today the appearance of strength and invincibility.

Translated by L.W. SOLIDARITY (LONDON)

NOTES IN THE TEXT:

(1)"Benito Mussolini had also been the leader of the revolutionary wing of the Italian Socialists and one of its martyrs".

(2)"Jacques Doriot, founder of a fascist party in France and organiser of a French SS division...a Communist labour leader and member of the Politburo of the French C.P. for ten years."
(3)"Gregor and Otto Strasser pers-

onify the tragic ensnaring of social revolutionaries in a reactionary movement", the Nazis.

SOLIDARITY PAGE EIGHT

With the large amount of publicity given recently in the popular press, as well as the specialist scientific journals, to genetic engineering it might be as well to draw the attention of socialists to consider both the impact and the political and social consequences this technology will have.

The main technique involved is called 'recombination'.

It has been described as "one of the most significant advances of twentieth century biology". (1)

"It could give humans power over nature in a more fundamental way than that of any other technology", was how the specialist journal Science put it. (2)

'sing special enzymes it is possible to cut out some of the genetic material(DNA) of a rat or a frog and slot it into the "circular" DNA of a bacterium. The 're-

SCIENCE

purely technological terms. Political and social questions are reduced to technical problems waiting to be 'solved' by the technological imperative.

Thirdly the hazards have not been properly looked into because of the false urgency which has been generated.

The bureaucratic nature of the 'scientific community' has meant, by and large, the directors of the research have been the sole assessors of the risks and levels of containment necessary. Many of the conferences held during the moratorium of 1974-75(U.S. researchers were urged to quit the most potentially dangerous exporThe dominant way of looking at sc: . was in terms of use and abuse.

Criticism was levelled at the poli for particular ends - but not at

Although still common on 'the left under attack.

Disentchantment with the products ulsion against the technology of 1 land, have contributed to the 'los a way of liberating humanity. This fuelled a growing anti-scientism.

But the debate is far from dead.

In the following articles SOLIDARI of technology and science and the be considered as both an ideology right.

combinant' bacterial cell then

proliferates.

Porton Down, the ex-military research station, is one of the main places in Britain where these experiments go on.

There are three points to make about its development. First of all it has been marked by extremely close (almost unprecedented) liason between academic researchers and industry. This has led to the patenting of techniques and organisms by academic researchers in Britain and the United States. That most further recombinant genetic research is likely to be under the direct control of capital is shown by the huge sum of money (£100m) about to be invested by ICI over the next decade. Shell, Unilever and G.D.Searle have similar plans. In the U.S. seven genetic engineering companies have sprung up. Research is also going on in the USSR. Even China has plans, now that the Gang of Four (with their anti-scientism) are out of the way.

The second point is that the 'benifits' of genetic manipulation are being presented as the solution to every problem from disease and famine to illnesses and deaths resulting from industrial hazards. Although it's true that, for instance, crop plants could be equipped with nitrogen-fixing genes which would obviate the need for nitrogen fertiliser, and specially engineered bacteria could be made to express inserted genes and produce insulin (needed by diabetics) or other useful hormones, it's important to realise the role these arguments play. Society is defined in

Genetic Manipulation

iments for this period) have been marked by the absence of people with the adequate knowledge of infectious diseases and occupational health which is necessary to make a judgement.

Some of the concern arises from the fact the bacterium used inhabits the human gut and is commonly found in sewage, soil and polluted waters. Another source of danger could be from "shot-gun" experiments where all the genetic material is chopped up into random pieces and inserted into the bacterium. Either way it could mean that the recombinants could escape (and they will!) and transfer harmful genes, which produce tumours or confer anti-biotic resistance, to other more 'fit' relatives. Such disease-causing organisms would rip through a whole population.

Because of the hierarchical and undemocratic way in which academic institutes are organised, the people most at risk like laboratory workers,technicians,students and glass ware washers don't have a say in the conditions in which they have to work.

Similarly the public and non-scientists have been effectively kept out of any discussion as to whether the risks outweigh the 'benefits' (like prestige and 'scientific advancement'). doubt provide the tools for human genetic surgery tomorrow. But again its important to look behind the talk of 'curing disease' to the contemporary social situation in which such speculation is made, in order to uncover the ideological content of it.

Only recently the 'distinguished' geneticist Cyril Dean Darlington made some very political proposals (heavily spiced with almost mystical sentiments about us having "lost our respect for nature") for future genetic intervention, like what to do with 'criminals' and "those who won't be bothered to limit themselves to reproduce without control". Sterilisation, he said, was the obvious answer.(3)

It's conceivable that geneticallyinduced sterility might be used in an attempt to 'solve' unemployment.



What about the medical application of genetic manipulation, should it be supported? Todays work with frogs and bacteria and the 'test-tube' baby will no It's not a question of being, in principle, against(or for) genetic manipulation. It's just that the main effect of the arguments at the moment is to focus attention on the genes and a genetic 'solution'/explanation. And the advent of pseudo-sciences like sociobio-Continued on page IO, 3rd column.

SOLIDARITY PAGE NINE

non-medical staff could easily be trained to carry out these tests)

The main side effects of DP are weight gain and menstrual disturbance : either irregular or heavy bleeding or no periods at all. In fact it is expected that 40% of users will have no periods after two years and some two-thirds of women develop bleeding disorders of one kind or another. (2). Not surprisingly this is the main reason for women wanting to stop using DP. When DP is discontinued there is delay in returning to fertility; many women do not conceive until ten months after the last injection, though by eighteen months the cumulative conception rates are normal.

Although DP is only licensed for short term use in Britain and does not have a trials licence for use as a long term contraceptive, there is nothing to stop a doctor prescribing any medicine considered suitable for a patient - however the doctor (not the drug company) would then be responsible if anything went wrong. Dr.Elizabeth

REPORTS

ence, particularly in the past,

tical system which used science the science itself.

t' this view has increasingly come

of capitalism together with revrepression used in Northern Iress of confidence' in science as loss of confidence has also

TY looks at both the 'mis-use' question of whether 'science' can and a political force in its own have been immunised against German Measles, for protection for the active period of the virus. However there is increasing evidence that doctors at Family Planning Clinics use it much more widely than this.

The reason that the FDA in America and the CSM here have not approved DP fully is because of its side effects. The active compound in DP is a substance called medroxyprogesterone acetate. In I970 the FDA recommended the withdrawal of contraceptive <u>pills</u> containing this substance because it was found to increase the the incidence of breast tumours in beagle bitches, and in I975 the British

DEPO – PROVERA

Wilson, Senior Medical Officer

The ability to control fertility is important to women : without this ability it is difficult for us to participate fully in many areas of life. However no perfect contraceptive has yet been dis-. covered. A lot more research needs to be done on contraceptives for both women and men. It is very easy for the drug companies to promote the contraceptives which make a lot of money for them (such as the pill) and in doing so they often play down the side effects of these chemicals. Many family planning doctors are aware of the social conditions of some of their "patients" and see contraception as solving some of these problems. They are therefore ready to accept contraceptive methods which have not been tested properly, or which, like Depo-provera (DP), have been shown to be dangerous.

DP is an injectable contraceptive usually given every three months. It is manufactured in the USA by the Upjohn Company and is exported on a large scale to more than seventy countries - mostly in the Third World, though it is also used in some European countries and New Zealand. However the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in America has not licensed it for use there. In Britain the Committee on Safety of Medicines (CSM) is considering a manufacturers application for wider distribution, but at the moment it is only licensed for use as a short term contraceptive for two groups of women; those whose sexual partner is undergoing a vasectomy, for protection until the sperm count is zero and for women who

Contraceptive mis-use

CSM withdrew these pills for the same reason. Although a study at the McCormick Christian Clinic in Chieng Mai, Thailand, has indicated that there is no significant increase in the incidence of breast nodules in women, it is doubtful whether this trial was continued for long enough. In fact this clinic have now stopped giving routine cancer screening as they feel it is better to reach a wider number of people than "serving a few while discouraging many" with extensive physical examinations.(I). (Checks for breast lumps and cervical cancer should be carried out when any form of hormone contraceptive is used, and

at the Glasgow family planning centre, has been using DP since February 1973. She makes no secret of the fact that she uses it: there has even been a television programme about the contraceptive trials that she has carried out in the East End of Glasgow. The women in Glasgow who get "the jag" are seen as being "problem" women". The average age of the women is twenty-two and the average number of children four. They come from a deprived area often facing "unemployment, debt, imprisonment, truancy, homelessness, alcoholism, wife and child abuse and degrading poverty". (I). Obviously these Continued on page IO.



SOLIDARITY PAGE TEN

women need help and DP will help them to avoid another pregnancy, but why is DP seen as being the obvious answer? Why aren't the side effects explained to these women? Why are they usually not told that the drug is still being tested? Why aren't other safer contraceptive methods explained to them? If they already have several children why don't the family planning doctors suggest that the man could have a vasectomy? Dr.Wilson got away with using DP in Glasgow, and I then began to hear of it's use in Dundee. One woman I know of, a member of Dundee Womens Liberation Group, was given DP when she was in hospital having an abortion. She lives alone with two children and had recently been re-housed (on one of the worst housing estates in Dundee) after living for a while in the Womens Aid Refuge - so she was seen by the family planning doctors as being a "problem" woman. She says(3) "The nurse came with an injection - not thinking I didn't ask what it was - truthfully I was too frightened!

was hardly ever used. Recently articles have appeared in the Leveller(4) and Spare Rib(5) from women in the East London Health Group who have discovered that DP is being used extensively amongst working class women in the East End. As in Glasgow it seems to mainly be used on women who have several children or who have had abortions. In fact it looks like the use of DP is widesread; Maggie Jones (Research Editor, Family Planning Association (FPA) herself says (2) "There is scarcely a domiciliary service in the country which does not make use of it and it is also given to women at some hospitals following childbirth or termination." This is without it being licensed for use in this country!!!

The use of DP in this way amongst working class women in this country is bad enough but when coupled to its use in under-developed countries one really begins to wonder what the International " Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) and the FPA are up to.

women protects American business interests. A recent report from the US State Department says : "If the population explosion proceeds unchecked, said Dr Ravenholt (director of the US Office of Population), it will cause such terrible economic conditions abroad that revolutions will ensue. And revolutions, he suggested, are scarcely ever beneficial to the interests of the United States." (6)

There is nothing wrong with the idea of injectable contraceptives if the drug used is safe; injectables are convenient for both the doctor#health worker and the woman.

But DP is not safe. The use of DP is increasing not because women like it but because doctors do. Women must have the right to control their own bodies and this means the full choice of all available contraceptives with explanation of how each works and its side effects. If we can't have full control over our bodies we have no hope of being able to control our own lives.

Nina Woodcock.

References I) People. (Journal of the IPPF) Vol.4 No.4 1977 p.25. 2) Fertility and Contraception. (Journal of the FPA) 'Vol.2 No.2 1978 p.26. 3) Tayside Womens Liberation Newsletter No.4 (Oct.1977) 4) The Leveller No.I3 (March 1978) p.6. 5) Spare Rib No.69 (April 1978) p.28. 6) Bread and Roses (July 1978) p.5. Other information on DP can be found in Poverty and Power (War on Want publication)Vol.I No.I p.18 and in articles by Jill Rakusen in Spare Rib Nos. 42 and 47 and also in The New Womens Health Handbook Ed. Nancy MacKeith.



After it was over I was told that would do me for three months - it was the new form of birth control. I felt so angry but to crown it all the family planning lady came to my home with another when my three months were up. By this time I had found out about it all so I told her "No way." You would have thought I had committed a murder and after a heated talk I was told "Don't come crying to us if you get pregnant." " This is not the only case I know of in Dundee - I also know a seventeen year old unmarried mother (again a "problem" woman) who has been offered it.

The McCormick Clinic in Thailand gives IO,000 injections per month (I,000 being new acceptors). The clinic has I,000 women who have been on DP for seven years or more. There are hundreds of thousands of women in other countries from Argentina to Tanzania to Vietnam who receive a shot of DP every three months, and don't forget every shot means more money for Upjohn 1td.. One of the main reasons given for the use of DP in this way is that uneducated women are not capable of taking the pill properly, yet in China DP is not used - instead they rely heavily on the pill. DP is also not used in Russia or Eastern Europe.

Genetic Manipulation contd.

logy, which postulates specific genetic structures for human behaviours like aggression, altruism, genocide and parent-child conflict, gives the theoretical backing for this outlook, as well as legitimising the present order.

The British Society for Social Responsibility in Science have set up their own Genetic Engineering Group.

They want the 'social control' of genetic manipulation, presumably within capitalism. But is this really going far enough?

Interestingly whenever anyone who was well-informed asked about DP on their visit to the family planning clinic they were told it

The use of contraception by, or sterilisation of, Third World

Guy Taylor.

References

(1),(2) Science 190, p.1175 (3) Sunday Times 27th August 1978

See also issue No.39 of Science for People :"The Politics of Genetic Engineering" pp1-4.

SOLIDARITY PAGE ELEVEN

CHRYSLER BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS BOORKERS

trade union and uses hired thugs to "keep the workers in line". The history and structure of Peugeot are described in the latest SOLIDARITY MOTOR BULLETIN, just out. With the regime described above in force, it is not surprising that productivity is 50% greater again than at U.K. Chrysler (12.0 vehicles per worker annually as against 8.2). This is reflected in the profit figures -Peugeot, £169m in 1976 and still increasing; U.K. Chrysler, a £532,000 loss in six months this year. However, the Bulletin does not concentrate on what is usually called "economics", but on the way the Peugeot management ensures minimum disruption to production on the line.

Since 1968, the year of revolt, Peugeot have been hypersensitive to the tendency of workers in advanced technological production to resort to all means of controlling the speed of the line and others ways of contesting the powers of the "order-givers".

In 1968, despite a strong legacy of paternalism and the fact that the company supplies housing and social facilities in one giant industrial prison — 42,000 people are employed in the area of Sochaux in eastern France — Peugeot was occupied.

In this case the French riot police (CRS) won a "victory". They attacked the occupation at three in the morning and the ensuing battle left three workers dead.

Another important strike in 1969 by a group of workers in a strategic position (spray-painters) forced a total shutdown and won



exceptional circumstances they are used to physically intimidate and break up strikes.

This has not worked totally, however, and small-scale strikes still erupt. Also important, these thugs are also partially a law unto themselves and indulge" in criminal activities in the wider community. There have been instances where they have disrupted production themselves, in order to fabricate dissent.

In all this the trade unions are less of a force than is the case in Britain. It could be argued that it is the failure of the unions to occupy a strategic position vis-a-vis bargaining with the employers that necessitates a more overt way of control by management. ideas of the Peugeot management tradition (in common with other French firms) that has helped create a minority role for unions such as the C.G.T. In contrast with the closed shop in Britain and in other countries where car plants operate, the possibility of dissent taking "explosive" forms has persuaded Peugeot to adopt a repressive policy towards anybody, and especially known "activists", who challenge their control over production.

concessions on sickness benefits.

Determined that this was not going to be the pattern of future "industrial relations", the Peugeot firm have installed a system of employing a force of mercenaries (mostly from past Algerian campaigns) whose function is to inform on people not submitting to the dictates of productivity. In

There is a Catch-22 situation in this instance. It is precisely the fact that the "old-fashioned" This article first appeared in GLASGOW PEOPLES PRESS, to whom thanks.

**SOLIDARITY MOTOR BULLETIN no.8 "Chrysler Workers Beware: Peugeot destroys all known activists".

from SOLIDARITY (LONDON)
c/o 123 Lathom Road, E.6.
(Price 10p + post).

SOLIDARITY PAGE TWELVE

Dear comrades,

In general I like the direction the 'Solidarity' movement is heading - away from the intolerance and rigidity of thought in the state capitalist left, from which I have heard that London 'Solidarity' broke away from at the beginning of the '60s.

However, I fail to see the point of publishing such mindless crap as the letter from Sophie Laws in 'S for SR' no.4. I am firmly of the belief that men are also victims of patriarchial culturerelationships, though not to the same extent as women. I contrast Misha Wolf's article on sexuality as something which provokes thought. The experiences and feelings he describes have often resembled my own experiences but it is a pity that he should respond to the whole phenomena which includes - 'Men speaking in a macho manner about any matter related to feelings, sexuality and personal relationships." Advertising using semi nude women and / or men to sell products and songs with lyrics full of sexual games' by becoming celibate. Certainly there is far more to warm human relationships than just sexual intercourse / fucking (delete as appropriate) but to me it seems like retreating from a problem by seeking false solutions, not really grasping the nettle.

etTeRs

Like Misha Wolf (Solidarity 4) I feel a need for human warmth and for close physical contact with other human beings and when I'm in a situation where I'm with a partner of whom I'm enamoured and who is enamoured of me, such contact leads to sexual arousal which demands sexual satisfaction. If such satisfaction is not forthcoming, I experience feelings of frustration, anger and being hurt. Such negative emotions only serve to reinforce the authoritarian character structure which is the product of the repressive conditioning I and millions of others have gone through in the family, at school and at work.

If people wish to opt for celibacy they've an inalienable right to so, but taking such a course does nothing to solve the problem of the many who through fear, poverty or whatever reason live in enforced

pertinence to today's fight for freedom.

TL (London)



Dear 'Solidarity',

The Grunwick strike is now officially over. Looking back at it, and at the discussion of it by 'revolutionary' groups cannot affect the defeat, but it can give us guidance for future struggles.

Though I said I liked the direction' that the 'Solidarity' movement is heading I have noticed a lack of accounts of struggles at workplaces since the merger with 'Social Revolution'. I hope that 'Solidarity' writers are not turning their backs on workplace struggles.

DB (Bristol)



Dear Solidarity

celibacy and who desire loving, fulfilling relationships including full genital satisfaction, but are unable to enter into them. Because the natural sexuality of such people is unable to find an outlet it is channeled in unnatural (sado masochistic) directions which often include support for violent, totalitarian ideologies. Seeing sex, or men, or women as the enemy, advocating withdrawal into the loveless, unsatisfied wilderness of separatism and celibacy will not solve this problem, rather it will serve to worsen it by implying if not actually stating that genital sexuality is 'counter revolutionary'.

What will solve the problem is the creation of the material conditions which will enable people to lead full, free, happy lives (including sex lives). This is what libertarian revolution should be all about it.

I enjoy eating, drinking, smoking (tobacco and dope) and fucking and I'll fight anyone no matter what they call themselves who seek to prohibit my right to do so. If this is chauvinism or counter revolutionary too bad, because for me revolution means

Why was this fight lost ? It was not primarily because of the activities of the NAFF, or that universal excuse, 'betrayal by the leadership'. It was because the workers most directly involved did not support it. There are those who will try to evade this fact by various statistical sophistries, but these only emphasise the basic fact. When the strike started, most of the workers at Grunwick stayed at work.

The division between APEX & UPW is not seriously faced. My information is that there was strong opposition from some of the membership of both unions to support for Grunwicks, as well as equally strong support from others.



The contributors to the ongoing debate on sexuality and sexual politics seem to have missed what for me is a vital and fundamental point which is that sexual satisfaction is as natural and as necessary to the all round physical and mental well being of human beings as eating and drinking.

more freedom not less.

Finally, I'm surprised there has been no discussion of the ideas of Reich which I think are very relevant to this topic. Strange considering 'Solidarity' was one of the few groups which didn't ignore Reich or write him out of history but recognised his

SOLIDARITY PAGE THIRTEEN

I am not saying we should not support minorities. I am saying, firstly, that majority support is a very useful factor. Secondly, that where it does not exist we should say so. And thirdly, that from a socialist, as distinct from purely trade-unionist, viewpoint, it is essential. I do not believe that a socialist society can be brought about without conscious majority support.

In order to build this support, as well as to advance individual struggles, we must seek in each situation to maximise support. The first step in this process is to discover what the situation really is. If we find not many workers supporting a struggle we should be clear about this, and then seek to find out why. This means treating them as real human beings with their own fears and hopes, not as some alien monsters called'scabs '.

For instance, in Grunwicks was the workforce split along ethnic or caste lines ? Was there intimidation of workers, and how could one counteract it ? Were plumbers and waiters at the Randolph pissed off with the offspring of the bourgeoisie on the picket line?



today would seem to be a society displaying all the complacent prosperity of mid-Victorian England, with a flattened, robotic workforce, and McCarthy-ite campaigns against the dissidents to keep the intellectuals in line Multinational companies and banks certainly agree. Surely, if we're interested in a new form of society, England is a more interesting phenomenon to study. We had our terror anything up to two hundred years. ago, and the work discipline it imposed on the English is palpably breaking down here more than anywhere else in

small adverts

ANTI-ELECTION STICKERS

- 1p each - minimum order 25 assorted equal numbers from the following selection:

- 1) Anarchists warning: governments can seriously damage your health
- 2)Don't vote, it only encourages them
- 3)Whoever you vote for the government always gets in

4)BULLSHIT

- 5)There's no government like no government
- 6)Election Day: Tweedledum or Tweedledee

All black on red with () symbol. FROM: Aberdeen Lib.Soc.Group c/o 163 King Street, Aberdeen.

WORKERS AUTONOMY Bratach Dubh pamphlet on the "selfmanagement of the struggle", including an essay by a "workers nuclei" amongst Turin railway workers. 39p from 83 Langside Tce, Port Glasgow.

This way there is some hope that we can build a wider and stronger movement. Current tactics seem to offer more glorious defeats. P.Mc S.(Oxford).

Dear Solidarity,

First, Russia. Despite Mat Finish's statement of all the pro-Russian propoganda up in London, all we get down here in Somerset is anti-Russian propoganda, day in, day out, from the BBC and the press.

Just as so-called communism keeps going thanks to the capitalist bogey, so so-called capitalism staggers on by the war psychosis whipped up by the communist bogeys. To an extent, to concentrate on Russia for our history lessons plays into the hands of authoritarians of both left, right, and centre, for it enables the left to say 'It would of been socialism except they went wrong by ... ', it enables the right to say 'It was socialism', and it enables the centre, our rulers, to conveniently divert our attention from the most salient point of all; namely, they, our middle-of-theroad liberal manderins are our historical bolsheviks, and their hands are covered with just as much blood as Stalins.

the world.

Which gets me on to my second point. The old 'Solidarity' publications relied very heavily on detailed factual reporting on what people were doing to control their own lives, and left the readers to draw their own conclusions. The first two new Solidarities seemed very weak on concrete example, and much given to rather empty preaching, a vice of most other left papers.

The No 4 was much better. Just as in the 60's 'Solidarity' seemed fixated on the industry of the decade - the car industry - perhaps in the eighties it should start concentrating on electronics. JMQ's article, 'The Miniaturisation of the Proletariat' was a start, but I thought a misplaced one. It relied far too much on the traditional scare stories which have appeared in many other places, and failed to dwell on the really genuine opportunities which silicon microprocessors offer mankind for the evolution of a more libertarian society.

Far from having one big computer boss, IBM is now having - because of customer demand - to design computer systems which rely on lots of localized, decentralized inputs and stores of knowledge, and only exchange information in a limited and controlled manner. As the article points out, modern computing systems in many ways are coming to reflect old-fashioned anarcho-syndicalist social systems. Micro-electronics - the industry of the future :

LIBERTARIAN FESTIVAL '78

DATES: October 14th/15th 1978. PLACE: Manchester University Student Union.

WORKSHOPS: Libertarian Education; Shopfloor Organisation;Eastern Europe since 1968;Nuclear Power; Learning from our history — the last 20 years;Persons Unknown & ABC;Prison System;Personal Politics;Anarcho-feminism; Opposition to the Military Machine;Anarchism and National Liberation;The Libertarian Press;Arms Trade & Nuclear Disarmament;Anarchist Activism; Feminists Against Nuclear Power.

SAT.EVENING: Theatre, disco, and bar.

REGISTRATION: £2 (claimants £1). CRECHE: Available, volunteers needed. CONTACT: M.U. Libertarian Soc.,

c/o 178 Oxford Road, M'cr 13.

SOLIDARITY welcomes letters from readers.

Solidarity no4

It was therefore unfortunate in our opinion that J.P., the typist for the last issue, put in a note recording her disagreement with a reader's letter: this note gave the impression that, in common with many 'left' groups, we invite letters in order to attack the opinions they express and so demonstrate some 'correct line'. This impression is contrary to the one we have of SOLIDARITY's politics.

So why is 'Solidarity' so obsesse ed with Russia - apart from it being a stick to beat the trad. authoritarian left with. Russia

With Bread, Rosie, and Cider, J.F., (Somerset).

SOLIDARITY no.5 editorial group (Scotland).

SOLIDARITY PAGE FOURTEEN

MSprint

MsPrint is a new Scottish feminist journal which women write, but men are allowed to read.

The opening issue is remarkably free from vicious anti-male polemics. In particular, articles on the thorny subjects of rape and violence against women succeed in developing their political dimensions in a way which is accessible to the uncommitted reader. For example, the rape article points out the conflict in calling for a change in the Scots law on corroboration — this would lead to more convictions in rape cases, but at the price of adversely affecting the rights of suspects in general.

MsPrint should not be dismissed as merely "reformist". Certain changes in the law are worth campaigning for now, but we should not fail to understand the need for fundamental changes in the underlying political structure.

If anything is likely to prevent MsPrint from being widely read by ordinary women (and men) in Scotland it is an unfortunate opening article by a 'Marxist' Feminist which provides an object lesson in how to mystify people with "dialectic". Libertarians should be interested in the interview with a woman welder, particularly for its illustration of direct action among female workers.



The Monocled Mutineer

John Cowan.

SUBSCRIPTIONS to MsPrint (£1.50) from Nina Woodcock, 74 Arklay St., Dundee.



by William Allison & John Fairley (Quartet,1978) £4.95.

The Monocled Mutineer tells the story of an extraordinary character, Private Percy Topliss, and of his role in the Etaples mutiny of 1917 to his death in a police ambush in 1920. It is a stirring yarn, packed with hitherto unpublished material about the Etaples mutiny - the bulk of which will remain classified until the year 2017.

In 1917, fifty-four divisions of the French army mutinied, leaving the brunt of the war of attrition to be borne by British troops. The authors tell now news of the French mutiny filtered through informal contacts between French and British troops, and of the significant role played by a small, but growing, international army of deserters. Eventually British troops staged a mass mutiny against the hellish conditions at Etaples camp, near the front line. Though the mutiny was concealed from the wartime Cabinet it lasted for six days, involving over one hundred thousand men — a curious combination of disciplined Scots and anarchic Anzacs.

Whilst the story is primarily ab-

ordered them into the guardhouse and built a bonfire around the hut, giving them an ultimatum to improve conditions or be burned alive. Needless to say, a decision was reached within ten minutes.

During the six days of mutiny, officers were thrown into rivers, some had their throats cut, whilst others were paraded around the camp in wooden cages. Civilian support grew, with local brothels — previously exclusive to officers — reducing their rates for mutineers.

Altogether a stirring tale of adventure, defiance of authority, official brutality and cover-up and an unsolved mystery. Why was Private Topliss — a ringleader in the mutiny — gunned down by the police without being offered the opportunity to surrender? What embarassment could Topliss have caused the authorities had he lived?

The authors offer no political analysis of the events covered in the book. Perhaps this is just as well. The merit of the book lies in a wealth of factual material which speaks for itself.

out Topliss, the book is packed with eye-witness accounts of the barbaric excesses of authority and of resistance to official brutality. It is always encouraging to read about authorities getting their "come-uppance" — like the night when one hundred mutineers crashed into an officers' meeting,

MUTINIES: 1917-1920 by Dave Lamb Solidarity pamphlet. 35p Available from: Publications Secretary, 23 Solar Ct., Etchingham Park Rd., London N.3.

SOLIDARITY PAGE FIFTEEN

LAURIESTON WEEK

continued from page 2. happy with AWSI, but it was agreed to await further debate before surgery: watch out for a discussion bulletin.

The last session (praising. Soly 4 and abusing Soly 3) was overshadowed by one participants statement that he'd found a "denial of emotion" during the conference intolerable. The event was significant: up to now, in our debate on personal politics, over-intellectualism in 'Solidarity' has come under fire. Laurieston introduced a tendency who seemed to err on the side of "over-emotionalism".

Thus at the week's start, we discussed tasks (like the creche, and helping the residents with cooking and clearing up) and random job allocation was suggested so everyone had a share. This was met with objections to having a rota at all, on the grounds that it "wasn't libertarian" and that everyone should do what they feel like.



Some of our readers may occasionally read the left communist journal 'World Revolution'. If they do they may also have seen articles attacking 'Solidarity'. The last such article appeared in WR No 19. We have not

seaside. The income-sharing scheme, where everyone contributed a week's income for the food etc, was a success: indeed there was a surplus to play around with. When it wasn't raining there were the lochs, hills, forests, to explore and in the evening the pub or the fireside with a knees up later for the energetic.

previously entered into written debates with WR since previous experience has taught us that this group has no desire for a genuine dialogue. Furthermore, letters of criticism published by them invariably have replies ten times as long tagged on the end. However, there is one point in the last article which cannot pass without comment.

After the conference, therapy groups were rather more prominent than political discussions, and some definitely seemed to get a surfeit of warmth and togetherness. There's room for different viewpoints, of course, but there was much worry about our political purposes being lost in therapeutic euphoria.

Generally, however, the atmosphere was sufficiently relaxed that people weren't too worried what others were doing: and there was a lot to be done together. Though parents were initially worried about being lumbered with child-care, the voluntary creche rota was well supported, and the older kids were entertained with games, shows and trips to the

Our discussions were tinged with a rare optimism: sure we had differences, but they're inevitable in a living organisation, and controversy keeps us on our toes. In short, it was a success and looks like being the first in a long line of holidays. Keep a week free in summer '79.....

Niall M.

a personal account of what I did in my holidays.



According to WR, the merger of 'Social Revolution' and 'Solidarity' was on the basis of a few homilies" being added to 'As We Don't See It' about "genuine freedom" after the revolution and the purging of sexist language". Since we know WR are not too concerned about sexism we'll let that pass for the moment. WR's main criticism of 'Solidarity' has been that it promotes "self-managed capitalism" rather than communism. Yet they ignore changes in point 6 of 'As We See It' and 'As We Don't See It' which specifically repudiate this accusation, advocating instead the elimination of "wage labour and production for the purposes of sale or exchange on the market", of "The national front ers of armed states" and proposes, their replacement by a "democratic community on a world scale". Together with the other views expressed in 'As We See It' this firmly commits 'Solidarity' to the development of a Libertarian Communist society.

Some of the other criticisms made by WR of particular articles in our paper on the 'Mather Platts' strike and the 'Anti Nazi League' I would personally agree with. The difference is that our paper is more in the nature of a discussion journal, whereas theirs seeks to promote a monolithic approach to world problems.

Mike Ballard

SOLIDARITY PAGE SIXTEEN



HITLER'S CHILDREN by Jillian Becker. (Joseph £6.50; also in Panther)

Jillian Becker was born in South Africa and studied there. She does not speak German. Her decision to write a book on the German R.A.F. (Red Army Fraction, misleadingly called Red Army Faction) with all relevant material, including that available only in German, was therefore a somewhat bold one. It could not have been carried out if she had not found a character whom she describes as "indispensible, tireless, and superbly efficient ... translator- and research assistant-extraordinary Bernhard Adamczewski."

HITLER'S CHILDREN

handled. HITLER'S CHILDREN must be one of the most misleading books recently written.

Evidently, Ms Becker's experience as a writer of three not very distinguished novels encouraged her to supply fiction where her ability to deal with facts left her in the lurch. When, for instance, at Ulrike Meinhof's funeral the preacher, Prof. Dr. Gollwitzer (a close friend of President Heinemann, whose funeral oration was his next one) is said to have called her "the greatest woman in German politics since Rosa Luxembourg", this is pure fiction. He did not say a word of it. Ms Becker also slanders Kurt Groenewold by accusing him of crimes of which not even the most malicious German authorities have ever accused him and these authorities seem to be conducting an annihilation campaign against "leftwing-extremist" lawyers. Ms Becker, whose politics are close to, or identical with, those furthered by the National Association for Freedom, has produced a politically naive book, sensationalist yet rather tedious. This is all the more to be regretted since there is a great need for a book that would really explain how distinguished people like Frau Meinhof or Horst Mahler (who meanwhile has decisively rejected terrorism) came to regard terrorism as a way out and lost all sense of political reality, thus doing a great deal of harm not only to themselves and their few victims but to the entire German Left.

would only quote Melanie Phillips (NEW SOCIETY, August 11, 1977) who writes as follows about Ms Becker's attitude to the notorious Stammheim trial of the R.A.F.: "She doesn't mention that German law was broken time and again by the trial itself; she skims over the exclusion of the defence law-



yers before the trial started and does not mention that one of them, Kurt Groenewold, was charged with criminally conspiring with his c1ients before they had even been convicted. She also dismisses as "press sensationalism" allegations that Meinhof did not commit suicide but was killed in prison. But the autopsy reports, when independently analysed revealed medical evidence that pointed to a killing rather than suicide. How Meinhof died remains a mystery which any reputable account would not so absolutely dismiss. She concludes of the trial: 'The proceedings were scrupulously conducted. It was clear that there was nothing wrong with West German democratic institutions.' Yet the impeccable liberal lawyer who took over the defence, Hans-Heinz Heldmann, has said that the trial was a mockery. There is a book that needs to be written about the Baader-Meinhof story and its frightening implications for Western democracy. This is not it."



I was visited by the couple who then lived in Hemmingford Road, off Caledonian Road. They both seemed less than confidence-inspiring. However, what facts I had I put at their disposal — telling them that facts were public property and that they could have them even if they were from the German or U.S. secret service. Nor did the couple seem more trustworthy when, a few days later, they visited my Hamburg lawyer, Kurt Groenewold, who had defended some of the R.A.F. prisoners. (They claimed to be well-acquainted with me so as to extract information about his clients). In due course Groenewold's name as well as mine and those of many prominent German intellectuals appeared in the "acknowledgements" as having given help. Concerning Kurt Groenewold, myself and those with whom I had the opportunity to discuss the book, there is not one of us who was not aghast at how the facts (not to mention our interpretation of them) had been mis-

HITLER'S CHILDREN — the title is nowhere explained — was published in Britain, the U.S. and Germany. The publication must have been something of a public relations exercise. Review copies of the English edition were distributed throughout Germany with quite unusual generosity. Some intelligent and well-informed British reviewers tore the book to shreds. I

An excellent book (in German) on the subject (though disliked by R.A.F. admirers) is Prof. Bruckner's ULRIKE MARIE MEINHOF UND DIE DEUTSCHEN VERHALTNISSE (Wagenbach, 1977)

Erich Fried.

