

L' the "United" Nations, the question was being asked "Is Korea a new Spain?" It is a question which serves as a focussing point for left-wingers and progressives to discuss their attitude towards the post-war power rivalries. Since left-wingers and progressives are now the most important intellectual current which provides justification for wars, this discussion has an importance far beyond immediate appearances.

Wellses who provided rallying cries sufficiently cogent to bring in those who might otherwise have followed the instinct which intuitively recognizes that wars between major powers have nothing to do with ethical considerations. The post-1918 No-More-Wars feeling was more effectively swept away by the anti-fascists of the Left Book Club, literary figures like Spenser and Auden-and we must not except Orwell and Koestlerthan by frank warmongers. It was these rather than reactionaries who provided the arguments which enabled the anti-fascist left to line up behind (of all people) Chamberlain and Churchill.

In 1914 it was the H. G. the preceding four years. But however much revolutionists may have seen that the post-war world differed but slightly from pre-1914, the League of Nations provided a seeming achievement for the progressives and gave justification of their support for the war. H. G. Wells could not undo his war work. It was, of course, impossible to argue whether the price of so many million dead was "worth" the apparent gains in progress' at that time; it only became a dead loss with the development of the new war. But here the feeling of a new crusade again had the effect of stilling doubts.

From an objective standpoint it seems clear that the world of to-day is more totalitarian than the world of 1939. Yet the fascist régimes of Italy and Germany were terminated, and this seems a sufficient gain to many; especially if they can persuade themselves that the

bad than those overthrown. The point which emerges is that for progressives and left-wingers the last war can still be represented as having been "worth while". Hence they approach the question "Is Korea a new Spain?" without really having learned anything from the past.

APPEASEMENT OR FIRM STAND?

Anarchists have to adjust their minds somewhat in considering the question. For those who ask it, Spain represented principally a trial of strength between the Fascist countries against the democracies. The Spanish people were just one more among those sacrifices to Fascist aggression which began with Abyssinia and ended with Austria and Czechoslovakia and Albania.

Anarchists (and a very few other revolutionary thinkers) see Spain rather differently; the Spanish revolution has a special and enormous significance to them. That George Orwell saw this aspect of Spain is clear from his Homage to Catalonia, and he was by far the most perceptive and sensitive thinker on the pro-war left. But even for Orwell the main significance of Spain lay in the conflict which he imagined existed between the Fascist and the democratic systems. For more humdrum anti-fascists the issue was the simple one of "standing up to aggression", or of failing to do so. The Left have for years blamed the last war on to the policy of appeasement culminating in Munich. They are joined by Churchill, and they say that the 1939 war would not have been "necessary" if the democracies had shown strength instead of weakness. The same argument obtains to-day. "Russia will not dare to go to war if PAGE FOUR

Tito's Phoney Syndicalism

(from our Balkan Correspondent)

STUDENT of Soviet affairs once wrote that the great success of Marxists, in spite of all their boasts about the continuously rising. standard of living and culture in the Socialist fatherlands, lies in the field of mass-propaganda, where they can safely teach a number of tricks to their less imaginative bourgeois rivals (or partners, as the case may be). Thus what is known among the sane as a prison becomes a "camp for reeducation", forced labour turns "voluntary" when working in a "Socialist" State, inhuman productions drives are labelled "Socialist Competitions" when occurring between Trieste and Shanghai, while the most pervasive dictatorship in the world to-day is provided with a constitution proclaiming all sorts of freedoms. To this long and steadily increasing list of 1984 "double-think" words and schemes we can now add that of "workers" control" and "decentralisation" introduced by Marshal Tito, who, in his twenty-years career as a Stalinist, has learned and, to the great sorrow of his former master, perfected the art of Marxist politics to suit his own ends.

At a meeting of his servile Parliament in June, Tito introduced a Bill which, like so many other blueprints all over the world, seemed perfect. Each factory was to elect by secret ballot and for a short period a workers' council with an executive committee which would meet regularly with the director to plan the general activities of the factory. While the director would be ap-

of talk about the dangers of bureaucracy in the U.S.S.R., the eventual "withering away of the State" and why Tito and not Stalin, is the true heir of Marx and Lenin.

In view of the cold and hot war with its need for allies however disreputable they are and the growing disillusionment with the policies of both the Social Democratic and Communist parties in the West, it is not surprising that a steady stream of visitors (mostly guests of various. Titoist authoritarian organisations) returned from Yugoslavia stating that Tito is on the way to solving problems which had baffled social reformers during the past 150 years. None of them, out of gullibility or complacency, bothered to examine the scheme more closely before giving their verdict. It took, for example, Mr. Morgan Phillips, the secretary of the Labour Party, only a few days' stay in Titoland to proclaim that "the people of Yugoslavia are building new forms of democracy", and is would probably take

DO WARS ACHIEVE PROGRESS?

H. G. Wells was personally disillusioned after 1918 about the part he played in the manufacture of propaganda during

pointed by the State, the executive of the workers' council could ask for his removal. This was done in several cases because the régime had to find scapegoats for the worsening labour conditions and the appalling shortage and low quality of consumer goods. At the same time a number of the 114 ministries were closed and their less reliable officials from the Communist point of view, sent to the factories or mines amid a great deal

* PAGE THREE

THE GAS STRIKE

TYPE have pointed out before that when the Labour Government repealed the Trades Disputes Act of 1927, it was a mere shop-window gesture depriving itself of none of the powers that that Act gave to the Tory Government which introduced it.

There still remain Emergency regulations, war-time Orders like the Conditions of Employment and National Arbitration Order, 1940, and, as we were reminded last week, the Conspiracy and Protection of Property Act of 1875.

And the Labour Government has not hesitated to use any of them. A State of Emergency has been declared three times during the last three years to deal with dock strikes, and last week in order to pick out and proseout a representative handful of gasworkers from the 1,430 on strike, the 1875 Act was brought out, dusted and used.

"The intention of the 1875 Act, it must appear, was to treat workers in essential public services on the same sort of basis as soldiers or police, who are not allowed to strike but are given some compensatory benefits, including security of employment and pension rights. This might be a desirable reform, but in spite of the 1873 Act it has not been put into practice, and it would be a step so farreaching that it could scarcely be undertaken without being made an election issue.

"As things are, it seems wrong to keep on the Statute Book this ancient Act, which has never been enforced. It cannot fairly be used to prosecute strikers who are not, in fact, employed on the national service terms which the Act implies." And the Observer goes on to show how unfair and illogical it is for the Government to steadfastly refuse, as they have done, to institute a national wages policy, while taking legal measures against strikers. In effect they are leaving the workers free to do their own bargaining, but punishing them when they use the only weapons they have when their unions do not do the job for them.

Form D.406: Sorry Not Interested

IN the recent issue of Freedom, I wrote availability for recall should the need ever how important he is to a wartime economy. Naturally, the Ministry of Languor and the Snore Office do not realise how unimportant an employer is to any economy.

on "Class Z Impudence". This was arise." In the first World War, Kitchener written purely unprejudiced and un- pointed an accusing finger at the passerbiassed, and without the least knowledge by from every hoarding, and said, "Your that the Ministry of Labour & National King and Country Need YOU!" In the Service and the War Office were going second, there was a slight emphasis on to make a personal matter of it. Shortly trade unions and internationalism wanting after it appeared, however, these worthy a bit of support, but we have slid into the gentlemen were undeterred by their position where it is just a question of the scrutiny of an interesting crime-sheet Ministry of Labour & National Service which it is hoped to include in the wanting you. The sheer impudence of official records of the British Army to the tea-sipping flat-hoggers considering show posterity the sort of thing the com- one's availability for recall is one that is bined wits of the Service chiefs (as pre- purely centred on our time and life. In of it, and sent me a questionnaire wanting thought about who they should grab hold band played, 'Believe it if you like'." had it.

people were sent to the gas-chambers they would have had to fill out forms stating their life history and antecedents, and those with the appropriate answers would have been taken off. As proof of the English love of liberty, nobody would have dreamed of giving incorrect answers and the results would have been exactly the same.

Point 3 emphasises that the form is a purely routine measure to bring their records up to date. It "does not necessarily mean that you would be recalled in served for history in a match-box) were feudal Europe, the press gang of the the event of an emergency". In the up against. They made a personal matter robber baron certainly sat back and time-honoured Cockney phrase, "The to know my employer and, more or less, of; these armed brigands then went Point 4 merely tells you to fold up round and swooped on the defenceless the form and show the stamped address peasantry, who thought perhaps with of the Records office. To show how quiet consolation how different things generous they are, they put "stamped" would be in 200 years' time say, in a in capitals to show they don't begrudge free country like England. The difference a stamp. Alas, one knows fools enough is that to-day, Mr. Wetnose of the Min. who would even put a stamp on themof Lab. studies his register in one of the selves for fear they might not be called former luxury flats or newly-built office up in order. Give me the good old press office blocks his department has grabbed, gang. They came round in the old days and phones up an officer on the re- grabbing men from the docksides forcing employed list at the War Office to see if them on to the ships, but they had to there's any objection to the defenceless face a fight for their money and might peasant he's picked out. Having con- well have been thrown in the Thames or sidered the availability for recall, you've the Severn. Mr. Wetnose, however, has no dangers. He is surprised when an occasional "trouble-maker" wangles his way through the doors of his comfortable hide-out and finds out the striped-pantsman who is "considering his availability". I recall what he actually said to me on that occasion: "If everybody made as much as you do about doing his duty, where should we be?" The press gang. knew where they would be. What a commentary on our age and civilisation that after ten years of conscription people are not even prepared to throw Army Form D.406 into the river.

Inconvenience

Only a half-hearted attempt was made to smear this strike with the "Communist" slur, for the good reason that it could have stuck even less to the patient gas-workers than to the dockers or busmen. The gas-mens' claims for wage increases were first put forward in 1948, through all the "proper" channels, and even the union leaders did not have the face to deny the ponderous delay in dealing with the claims.

The public, of course, are inconvenienced by a stoppage in a public utility, but it should never be forgotten that it is very inconvenient for workers to be faced over a period of years with rising prices in nearly all necessities with a very inelastic pay packet.

In all the confusion and 'eyewash trotted out in connection with this strike, however, it has been left to the staid Observer to bring a little impartial examination of the legal aspects of the prosecution brought against 10 of the strikers. Pointing out how unsatisfactory it was to pick out ten men only when, as all the strikers agreed, they should all have been prosecuted, if any, the Observer goes on to say:

Government's Way

This is a typical social-democratic government's way of doing things. Trying to make the best of both worlds, free enterprise and planned economy, the best is maintained for employers and office holders, but the workers get the worst of both worlds.

Without a regular wages policy, without any brake upon rising prices, the workers are also, because of union loyalty to the Labour Party, without the protection their own organisations are supposed to give them.

The Attorney-General has called on the trade union movement for "voluntary discipline and loyalty to the elected leaders." To allow discipline to be voluntary, and a little loyalty from the union leaders might not be a bad idea. P.S.

This Army Form D.406 was sent under what was quaintly known as the Armed Forces (Conditions of Service) Act, 1939. Had one known in 1939 that there were actually conditions of service, it is doubtful if so many would have entered into it. It rather sounds as if the conditions were laid down so that we should not be dazzled by the attractions of the Armed Forces.

It made four points. The first said, "You are hereby requested as an Officer on the Unemployed List or as a Reservist of Class "Z" . . . to complete Parts I and II of this form." If I considered myself an unemployed officer or a reluctant reservist I might have done so. As a free and independent human being, I saw no reason.

This, however, they endeavoured to

This clause goes on to remind you to "fill the form in correctly and return it promptly; failure to do so may mean that you will be regarded as available for recall whatever your occupation may be." Such advice would certainly not be lost on anyone who had recently joined the works of a munitions factory, but the majority of people will obviously be available for reexplain in Point 2. "The object of asking call the minute they know what their you to supply this information is to enable occupation now is. One is tempted to the Ministry of Labour and National the reflection that Whitehall would have Service to consider the question of your made Hitler a different man. Before

INTERNATIONALIST.

FREEDOM

AUTUMN * BOOK * REVIEWS

A FLOATING STATE

THE DEATH SHIP by B. Traven. (Pan Books, 2s.)

IN a copy of New Road, Fred Marnau has written, "A man discarding his identity in order to walk across Europe, not heeding teeth, terror, and zones, is a hero. He is a lonely European dream of true liberty. He is a poet." Though the hero of The Death Ship is destined to do this, more from necessity than idealism, one cannot help feeling that the author had in mind a similar purpose.

Psychopaths in Power

EUGEN KOGON, born in Munich in 1903, is an economist and sociologist who served several years' imprisonment in the worst Nazi camps. In this book he gives a documented account of the concentration camp system.

When the initial reports of conditions in these places were published in England, many readers, including this reviewer, were inclined to treat the worst excesses with incredulity. A good many of the reported activities of the SS were of a kind which had already figured largely in belligerent propaganda of both wars. Reported atrocities are commonly recognisable as psychopathic fantasy-our error in doubting them lay chiefly in a failure to recognise how far the Nazi régime was, in fact, a psychopathic manifestation. The psychopath who invents atrocities is undoubtedly liable, in certain circumstances, to enact them, and this, under Nazism, was precisely what happened. Documentation of these horrors had an important function in removing our scepticism about the limits to which group-delinquency could go: the facts being established, the reports and documents have a secondary function in preventive medicine. If I seem to be taking Kogon's testimony calmly (and it is harrowing enough in all conscience) it is because the most important feature of all this beastliness to-day is the need to ensure that it does not recur. Almost everything which took place in the camps, and which reached the limit of human cruelty, is a commonplace in psychiatry as fantasy. In our own society it may break into reality as individual action, but no individual possesses the opportunity to enact it undisguised on a larger scale. The peculiar danger which concerns social medicine (and anarchism) is the tendency of societies to provide such opportunity through the structure of government, at a time when, by their pattern of life, they encourage the growth of large numbers of individuals abnormal enough to avail themselves of it.

THE THEORY AND PRAC-TICE OF HELL by Eugen Kogon. (Secker & Warburg, 18/-)

NAMES OF TAXABLE AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE ADDRESS OF

first large culture to be deranged bodily to the point at which the sadistic pattern is primary and over-rides all practical and political considerations. It has been stated that Soviet Russia has an even larger number of political prisoners than Hitler had, but an examination of their evidence, where it is available, shows definite points of difference between the Nazi and the Communist attitude. In this respect, the Communist treatment of prisoners is an exaggeration of our own, or, more accurately, it represents the type of attitude which is apt to develop in any culture which has a repressive and powercentred form of government unrestrained by public opinion or by any contrary stream of tradition. The mental forces at work may be the same as in Germany, but in no other culture within recent record has the process of coincidence between individual sadistic fantasy and social acceptance gone so far as in Naziism.

evidence that the élite created to man them very soon got wholly out of control. The final picture is a particularly terrifying one, of a repressive and militaristic government relying for the maintenance of its power, not upon a limited number of executioners, as in many previous and present tyrannies, but upon an executioner-élite, serving no function but the refinement of punishment, and numbering over 940,000 men out of a population of about 73,000,000. We can look at it in two ways-on one hand, it may be true that the peculiar set of circumstances which brought this about in Germany are unlikely to recur. On the other, it is unquestionable that the growing reliance of coercive societies on enforcement executives makes for a repetition of the pattern which the SS exemplified.

It is precisely upon the individual character-structure of the SS that we need information. Kogon's section on their psychology is unfortunately not helpful about this. Some at least were normal personalities gradually acclimatised to brutality—their delinquent behaviour came from a culturally-determined obedience to orders. Others were drawn from all the known psychopathies. Particularly informative on the mental state of the policy-makers is the section on scientific research in the camps. In hundreds of human experiments, not one single fact worth mentioning was obtained. Huge energy was devoted to the search for a quick means of sterilisation—even a research on exposure to cold, which would have been within the bounds of reasonable utility to men who treated their subjects with far less humanity than experimental animals, ended by assessing the results of sexual intercourse in reviving the frozen.

The obverse and equally important study, that of the psychology of the imprisoned, is matter for a longer review than can be written here. Kogon provides new facts from his own experience. Seen as a whole, the book is an important and probably a reliable contribution to the literature of concentration camps: it lacks the immediacy and economy of Ella Lingens-Reiner's classic,* but it has its own value. If we wonder whether our own society contains some or any of the seeds which germinated in the SS, we may perhaps reflect that this book, like any description of sexually-determined cruelty, will be bound to have a definite audience as pornography. Buchenwald undoubtedly exists, potentially, in the unconscious of a great many individuals who would pass muster as normal. Given certain social conditions, it can re-emerge as cold fact, whether as the open sexual violence of the SS, or as the censored equivalent which produced the atomic bomb and unlimited warfare.

Much of this book concerns a sailor stranded in Europe, without papers or money, and who is ejected from one country to another by frontier police who find nothing more embarrassing than a man without his number. Eventually he finds the escape route of taking a ship which is only too eager to sign on a "man without identity". The second part of the book deals with his adventures on this "death ship" with a crew of social outcasts like himself, and a cargo of contraband.

It is not difficult to believe, and the 'blurb' seems to support this, that much of this book is autobiographical. The author's knowledge of the way in which the police handle men without papers, the brilliant manner in which he conveys his indignation, the debunking of hypocritical consuls . . . one knows it is the truth.

The State comes to life as one of the leading characters in his story, as when, for instance, the consul turns down his request for a duplicate passport. "Perhaps he's right. Perhaps he's not such a brute after all. Why should men be brutes, anyway? The State is the brute, I fancy. The State that takes sons from their mothers and flings them to idols. This man is the servant of the Beast, just as the executioner is the servant of the Beast. Everything the man said was learnt by heart. Hie had to soak it all up, of course, when he took his consul's exam. It just slipped off his tongue. For everything I said he had the right answer to stop my mouth. But when he asked me if I was hungry, if I had had anything to eat, then he suddenly became a man, and was no longer a servant of the Beast . . . The Beast can't use men; they make too much work. It's easier to drill dummy figures and put them into uniform, to make life more comfortable for the servants of the Beast. Yes, sir. Yes, sir." The second part of the book may be seen as a parallel. A floating State with an Authority of its own, in which everything is subordinated to the ship and its contraband cargo. And the men are still slaves, without rights, without voices. The descriptive passages dealing with a trimmer's life are outstanding, and the meaning of "Death Ship" is brought home with intensity. The one freely expendable commodity is man. And if he is without identity papers, and therefore without a right to exist, his disappearance can never be proved. From the hero's experiences, we can learn such valuable lessons as that of never trusting Authority, of never expecting sympathy. If the Law has been broken, the Keepers of Law have only one answer. And it isn't their hearts that speak. To all of us who have the honour of being law-breakers or potential lawbreakers, this novel will convey a wider

What Kogon's testimony does show, to a remarkable degree, is that there is a distinction between most current examples of sadistic behaviour within civilised societies and the sadistic behaviour of Naziism. Brutality in prisons and by executive authorities recurs in all contemporary cultures to a greater or lesser The German camps originally served clear-cut purposes of intimidation and repression, but it is clear from Kogon's

· Prisoners of Fear. ALEX COMFORT.

Creating Delinquents THERE is an old and tried English and, although this dates from the days when the old Bow Street Runners were selected from the ranks of criminals because of their superior knowledge of the

kind of people they would have to hunt, 8/6)it has maintained a deserved longevity, and is hardly less applicable to present Delinquency in the Modern State, Alexconditions. We have all detected in the Comfort has sought to give psychological bullying policeman the same psychological manifestations as those shown by the and sociological support to this point robber with violence, and seen in the of view by demonstrating the motives deceptive politician the larger image of which induce certain types of individuals the confidence trickster. That there is a to accept executive and legislative posiclose connection between the wielding of tions, and by tracing the pattern of antiauthority and what is commonly called social delinquency which often inspires crime is something which has become their behaviour. There has perhaps been evident to the mass of the people from too great a tendency in recent years to the sheer pressure of experience. seek the explanations for conduct in

the criminal an inner morbidity when the explanation of his conduct is more readily to be discovered in a natural and healthy reaction against adverse circumstances. Similarly, while there is nothing natural or healthy about the way in which the policeman or the politician takes advantage of his office, it can also be contended with a great deal of reason that in most cases it is the corrupting nature of power which creates the delinquent attitude. Nevertheless, it is true that among criminals and politicians alike there does exist a higher proportion of psychological abnormality than among the population as a whole, and Dr. Comfort's essay, with its emphasis on the criminal potentialities of the state itself, understanding criminal and anti-social), is a stimulating sketch of a neglected field of sociology; it will be useful to the student and interesting to the general reader. It is, however, hardly more that a sketch, either in proportions or depth. It contains scanty background information, and the author has made little attempt to illustrate his thesis with concrete / examples, though the past quarter of a century has been rich in instances which might very profitably have been used without any need to fear the laws of libel. Nevertheless, even without drawing on any large mass of evidence, Comfort does make a convincing case for his thesis of the mutual independence of criminality and an abnormal, authoritarian society. Equally important is the positive side of his book, in which he rejects those parts of anarchist doctrine which have reference to a past situation, and brings forward a conception of the revolutionary process as "experimental and tentative rather than dogmatic and Messianic". He envisages an attitude which retains all the fundamental aims of classic anarchism, but which rejects the Marxist and Blanquist excrescences of the past century-in other words a revolution which "is not a single act of redress or vengeance followed by a golden age, but a continuous human activity whose objectives recede as it progresses".

degree, but Nazi Germany has been the In his most recent book, Authority and psychological disorders, and to find in

STALIN ON ANARCHISM

DURING the years of 1905-8, the anarchists of Georgia, in Czarist Russia, published a series of articles criticising the theories of the Social Democrats (Marxists) in their papers Nobati (The Call), Musha (The Worker), Khma (The Voice) and other journals. These criticisms provoked a reply in the contemporary Bolshevik papers of that area, which took the form of a counter series of articles written by a little-known political revolutionist known by the name of Koba, better-known to the modern world as Joseph Stalin. The first English translation of this reply has now been published with the title Anarchism or Socialism.

In this pamphlet, Stalin set out to:-

"... compare Marxism with Anarchism, and thereby throw light on their respective virtues and defects" and "... explain the dialectical method, the Anarchists' view of this method, and our criticism; the materialist theory, the Anarchists' views and our criticism; the philosophy of the Anarchists' and our criticism; the Socialism of the Anarchists and our criticism; AnarANARCHISM OR SOCIALISM by J. Stalin. (Foreign Languages Publishing House, Moscow, 6d.)

Unfortunately, Stalin only deals with the Georgian Anarchists' criticisms of the Marxian dialectic, historical materialism, and the theory of the dictatorship of the proletariat. The sections which would be of the greatest interest to Anarchists, i.e., those dealing with the socialism, philosophy and tactics of Anarchism having been lost when the Czarist police raided his quarters in 1907. All we are left with is an unoriginal restatement of classical Marxist theories and a somewhat hamhanded "refutation" of a few isolated quotations from the Anarchist journals mentioned above. Not being in a position to obtain or, for that matter, if they were obtainable, to understand the articles in question, the present reviewer must pass over whether or not Stalin's "refutation" possesses any validity-except to remark that Stalin, with that usual myopia

ministers, governors, gendarmes, police and soldiers. The last stage in the existence of the state will be the period of the socialist revolution when the proletariat will capture political power and set up its own government . . . for the final abolition of the bourgeoisie. But when the bourgeoisie is abolished, when classes are abolished, when Socialism becomes firmly established, there will be no need for any political power, and the so-called state will retire into the sphere of history" (p. 89).

"As you see, the above-mentioned 'accusation' of the Anarchists is mere tittle-tattle devoid of all foundation" (p. 89). [My italics.—Reviewer.] Peculiarly enough, Article 4 of the "Constitution of the U.S.S.R." reads:

"The economic foundation of the U.S.S.R. consists of the Socialist ownership of the implements and means of production, firmly established as a result of the liquidation of the capitalist system of economy, the abolition of the private ownership of the instruments and means of production and the abolition of the exploitation of man by

To those who do not accept unreservedly some of Comfort's favourite ideas, such as the beneficial nature of the family the proposals be make may pever.

C.H. Anarchists and our criticism; Anar- chists' tactics and organisation."	ignores the fact that it is impossible to pin Anarchists down to one particular	man." [My italics.—Reviewer.] Yet, in spite of Stalinist Russia ful-	family, the proposals he make may never- theless seem to represent an intelligent and sound summary of what can be done
From our stock George Orwells Shooting an Elephant 10/- B. Traven's The Death Ship 2/- Alex Comfort's Authority and Delinquency in the Modern State 8/6 Theory and Practice of Hell Eugen Kogon 18/- The 25th Hour C. V. Gheorghiu 10/6 K Correction C. V. Gheorghiu 10/6	pin Anarchists down to one particular philosophical or sociological interpretation of events, since very often the sole "com- mon denominator" we possess is our conviction that a really free society can exist in the absence of government of any kind. One interesting feature about this frag- ment, however, is the way in which it illustrates the bankruptcy of the Bolshevik conception of the "dictatorship of the proletariat" as a way of attaining a free and equitable society. In reply to the Georgian Anarchists' criticism that:		 theless seem to represent an intelligent and sound summary of what can be done in present circumstances. Briefly, they are as follows: Measures to increase public aware- ness of the state of society and of the result of research into social psychology. Experiments in communal living and the control of resources, which have a demonstration value. Pressure for decentralisation and workers' control. Propaganda to introduce sociality into the family and the school.
Unpopular Essays Bertrand Russell 8/6 Roads to Freedom Bertrand Russell 7/6 A Field of Broken Stones Lowell Naeve 12/6 1001 Nights ed. Burton 18/- The Air-Conditioned Nightmare Henry Miller 15/- William Godwin George Woodcock 12/6 Defence of Poetry P. B. Shelley Soul of Man Under Socialism Oscar Wilde Slavery of our Time Leo Tolstoi * TREEDOM BOOKSHOP 27 red Lion st, London, W.C.1	state capitalism, is based on the follow- ing principle: each must work as much as he likes, or as much as the state	So much for the "title-tattle" of the Anarchists, which seemed to have some foundation, after all. No doubt other contradictions could be pointed out, but they would serve little purpose, though we must feel flattered by these unexpected (and, I am quite sure, inconscious) compliments to the correct- ess of the Anarchists' prognosis, on the part of the most mighty and vile of con- tibute to the continued existence of the Bolshevik myth among intelligent revolutionaries.	5. Individual psychiatry, in which Comfort includes "the building of a morale based on negative resistance to bad institutions and positive determination to experiment in social living so that they an be superseded"; this in its turn he considers may involve propaganda and positive determination to be superseded"; this in its turn he for social results in the full their social results is realise in the full their social results is no doubt that in this, as in other tespects, his plea is fulfilling a necessary function. GEORGE WOODCOCK.

October 14th, 1950

STUDIES IN REVOLUTION by E. H. Carr. (Macmillan, 9/6)

AS those who read their way with exasperated fascination through his life of Bakunin will admit, there is little that E. H. Carr writes which is uninteresting or completely unrewarding. As a voluminous scholar, as a caustic and provocative writer, he has few rivals among political commentators, and I, for one, find his power of debunking so adroit that I can rarely fail to be amused even when I realise that he is poking fun at thinkers who should be treated with reverence and exaggerating inconsistencies out of all proportion to their real significance.

If Carr were only a gadfly and a cynic, his erudite and persuasive books and essays could be read with profit as well pleasure, for there is no fundamentally sound theory that is not the better off for having its pretences subjected to a certain amount of Voltairian fun. The sinister aspect of Carr lies in the particular brand of Hegelianism which leads him to accept and propagate the view that political power is the essential force in social and international life, and that any creed which does not accept and profit by this fact is merely futile. Considerations of social morality do not impress him, and whether power is desirable or otherwise, whether it corrupts or does not corrupt, does not appear to interest him. Power is there, says Carr; we have to reckon with it, and therefore we should be foolish if we did not make use of it and get on the right side of those who control it most effectively.

in the Socialist movement. On the contrary, he emphasises the position of Godwin as an ancestor of the whole Socialist movement, and points out that up to the advent of Marx, and even after that time, Socialism was predominantly anti-state and libertarian. Nor does he minimise the influence of anarchist and near-anarchist thought in the Russian revolutionary movement before the advent of the Bolsheviks.

Yet in his interpretation of this history, Carr emerges as a worshipper of naked power, and as such he is a fellow traveller whose praise is of an embarrassingly frank kind which the Communists themselves are hardly likely to relish. Virtually, what he says is that power is the basic factor of social life, whether we like it or not, and that these Communists are the boys who know how to wield it. Perhaps their methods have not been any too savoury; still, they are men of destiny in our age, and it behoves us to keep in with them. You will not find this opinion stated in so many words, but it is there nevertheless, as the underlying theme of all these essays.

DOWER politics has its ludicrous moments. The jockeying for a "correct" position over the 38th parallel provided such a one, when American (United Nations) troops paused at the frontier between North and South Korea in order, it was said, to await the directions of the United Nations. With this legalistic blessing they resumed their advance.

One wonders how much the man in the street is impressed by this respect for "law"? If the war in Korea can be made to have any moral justification at all, it can only be that a totalitarian régime (in the North) is overthrown, and the corrupt régime (of the South) replaced by something better. Such an aim is not incompatible with the fundamental power question-that of Korea as an American base on the mainland, which if in Russian hands constituted a grave threat to American Japan. It seems likely that the American administration will have absorbed the lessons of the South Korean collapse and institute some kind of land reform which will enable their kind of régime to hold both the North and the South. The expressed aim of free elections over the whole country may well give them the chance to drop Syngman Rhee and so get clear of the unsavoury aspects of his rule which have been given such prominent publicity.

Men of Destiny The 38th Parallel After Hitler's rape of Czechoslovakia in the spring of 1939, it was perfectly clear that war was a likely event. Yet trade in war materials between Britain and Germany became increasingly brisk right up to September 3rd itself.

> On September 23rd this year, the B.B.C. Home Service News declared that Russian exports to America had increased by two million dollars' worth in the last six months, and that the principal exports from Russia were furs, chrome and manganese. American exports to Russia had increased by one-and-a-half times.

Churchill has recently been complaining about the sale of "vital war material to Russia", and the government, not without a certain unwillingness, has agreed to hold all material necessary to the defence of Britain even if it means breaking trade agreements.

It appears that the great Czech armaments firm of Skoda have also been exporting arms to South Africa, even though the amounts involved are small.

Such arguments require little comment, and we will confine ourselves to pointing out that the light-hearted irresponsibility which the writer permits to the governments would never be tolerated in an engineer charged with building a bridge, or a physician treating a patient. Governments, it seems are immune from professional responsibility.

A Touchstone

Such arguments may, however, be used as a kind of touchstone. On the one hand are people (regrettably, a minority) who think so simply that they think wars are bad, that one should not even then trade with the enemy; who think that the homeless should be housed, the sick attended to, and the hungry fed. Who think, moreover, that it is not beyond the organizing capacity of man to arrange that where there is plenty, goods should be transported to where there is scarcity or famine even. The more radical among these people think it unnatural that the needs of everyone for love and for sexual happiness should be obstructed.

This attitude runs in an implied and sometimes almost explicit thread throughout his most recent book, Studies in Revolution. This is a collection of essays which appeared originally as anonymous articles in the Times Literary Supplement. They begin with a study of Saint-Simon, continue through such nineteenth century thinkers as Proudhon, Herzen, Lassalle, the Russian nihilists, Plekhanov and Sorel, and end with a number of studies of various aspects of the Communist movement, including one each on Communism is England and Germany, one on Lenin and two on Stalin.

And, indeed, if you take the political attitude towards society, Carr is as difficult to refute as Machiavelli was in his day. It is only if you realise that politics is only a perversion of sociality, and that the basic elements of human life are non-political, even, in their fully realised form, anti-political, that you will see how dependent the politician is on forces which, though he may control them temporarily, will ultimately go beyond his grasp and undermine the edifice of power he has constructed. The ruins of empires which clutter up the history of mankind are a sufficient comment on the ultimate value of power, from any point of view. Nevertheless, the exercise and worship of power are no less harmful because they are impermanent.

Carr, while he does give the anarchists their true importance in socialist history, pokes a great deal of fun at them precisely because they have not been the kind of political realists he admires. Yet what Carr does not say is that the anarchists, from Godwin onwards, have always declared that, in a world where political values are accepted as the determinant factors, the fruits of 'the struggle will always go to those who are most ruthless in the exercise of power. We, as well as Carr, recognise that Stalinism is not a perversion, but the logical conclusion of Marxist ideas. Where we differ is in our recognition that this progression, inevitable while social life is governed by a political attitude, is incapable of producing any constructive result; any result, indeed, other than a steady intensification of the processes of force at the expense of the organic processes of social growth.

None of these aims conflicts with an ideological gloss in the shape of an antitotalitarian crusade. Yet the Anglo-American ruling class seems curiously unwilling to take up such a line. Even during the last war, Anthony Eden saw nothing wrong in his declaration to the effect that we had no quarrel with the German (Nazi) régime inside Germany; it was only when they began to export it that we became interested. For ordinary people who are concerned with right and wrong, and who instinctively react in a hostile manner to tyranny, such a position is hideously immoral. Yet it is the same attitude which informs the legalistic rectitude of the U.S.A. posing as dutiful U.N. member.

We have made our position on Korea plain. We are sickened by these wars between great powers fought on other peoples' territory, and largely with other peoples' lives. Vicarious wars which provide the war offices with "valuable technical data", and the opportunity to experiment with new weapons and young lives. That is the basic aspect of Korea that we can never forget. Nevertheless, granted that Korea has fallen between the jaws of rival powers, we would not be human if we did not wish that some social progress may result, though only as a by-product.

Now. in Freedom, we have consistently pressed the view that war is an economic activity as market contracts and "normal" trade is tied up in various tortuous ways. Trading with the enemy therefore is quite a natural proceeding, as armaments manufacturers have always clearly seen. We, therefore, don't raise our hands in scandalized horror like those who support and glamourize war, but don't want to see its ugly side. We know that trading with the enemy doesn't even stop with the outbreak of war, for it is one of the functions of neutral countries to act as brokers between the contestants, as in the case of the submarine nets sold to Sweden during the last war.

Tortuous Thinking

What interests us now that the matter is being rather more openly ventilated is the extraordinarily tortuous thinking displayed. The main principle is clear enough. It is obviously outrageous to supply your enemy with the means to kill your sons, and perhaps, yourself, also. But the strange thing about our distracted civilization is that one doesn't accept obvious propositions. The manufacture and sale of armanents is obviously a much more immoral and destructive traffic than, say, the traffic in narcotic drugs, or prostitution. Yet the United Nations and the League of Nations busy themselves with these, but not with armaments. Bernard Shaw, who is one of the ablest apologists for a twisted civilization, also defended armaments manufacturers in the character of Undershaft in Major Barbara.

On the other hand are those who, when confronted with simple, self-evident propositions, react by a slight shrinking away, and then by arguments of the "Yes, but . . ." type. These are the practical folk. Their practical hard-headedness acts as a cushion between simple indignation and getting things done; and it preserves the world for us as it is, instead of as it ought to be. Their practical arguments dissipate the power of thought and prevent it being transformed into action. Be on your guard against them.

J.H.

INDIA

THE latest issue of Libertarian Socialist (formerly Indian Sociologist) has been received from Bombay. It is produced by the Libertarian Institute, and contains the reprint of a well-known article on Anarchism by Emma Goldman.

As announced in Freedom (5th August) the project for an English-language Asiatic review in Lucknow is going ahead, and preliminary announcements will soon be ready. Comrades here and abroad are asked to send articles and translations for reproduction and also original material including stories and poems). Please note that the correct address is :--

This book is a curious combination of conscientious scholarship and a totally cynical opportunism in political outlook. Carr's view of socialist history is factually truer than that of the majority of more attached writers. Unlike the orthodox Socialist and 'Communist historians, he does not attempt in any way to suppress the importance of libertarian tendencies

GEORGE WOODCOCK.

The democratic powers utilize the man-in-the-street's desire for freedom, and paint an ideological gloss with it. One would respect them more if they paid as much attention to giving some slight reality to this gloss rather than striking pious attitudes of rectitude at the United Nations.

Trading With The Enemy

In past wars it has always-and not unnaturally-aroused great indignation when it has been found that "our boys" have often been killed with weapons made at home. That such should happen causes no surprise to those who look upon war with an objective eye. But it is very shocking to those bred on the history book tripe about just wars, defending right causes, and the rest.

Shaw is to be commended for his honesty. Most "realists" are content to support detestable causes without admitting it, and make use of the most ludicrous circumlocutions to justify themselves. Here is the Times Educational (sic) Supplement for 22/9/50: "the member who said it would be a scandal if a single British soldier were killed by a weapon made out of materials exported by Britain summed-up most people's first reaction. It is, however, a fallacy to suggest that anyone who makes or authorizes the export of potential war materials must be held responsible for the purposes for which they are used. Moral responsibility only enters when the man facturer or the government departme authorizing export could have forese the use to which the material would put. Even then it has to be proved th the disadvantages of introducing politic discrimination into peace-time commer are less than the disadvantages of slight increasing the war potential of a probab enemy. The question is really one expediency, not morals."

D. N. Wanchoo, 21 Radice Road, Lucknow, U.P., India (not 12 as incorrectly stated previously).

SONG-STRUCK

Fifty girl workers in a bakery who went on strike because they were not allowed to sing while at work returned to their jobs yesterday.

A compromise had been reached: They were told that humming was permissible. Singing was, too, provided it was "soft and low".

Daily Mirror, 4/10/50.

C. Berneri: KROPOTKIN—HIS FEDERALIST IDEAS Errico Malatesta: ANARCHY VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	2d 6d 1d. 1/-
C. Berneri: KROPOTKIN—HIS FEDERALIST IDEAS Errico Malatesta: ANARCHY VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	2d 6d 1d. 1/-
KROPOTKIN—HIS FEDERALIST IDEAS Errico Malatesta: ANARCHY VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	6d. Id. I/-
IDEAS Errico Malatesta: ANARCHY VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	6d. Id. I/-
ANARCHY VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	Id. 1/- 1/-
VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	Id. 1/- 1/-
WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	Zd.
F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	Zd.
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURC AND THE MODERN AGE P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	Zd.
P. J. Proudhon: GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	ION
GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUT	
IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5	
Laboration of Laboration	
	1/6
THE LIFE WE LIVE, THE DEATH	
WE DIE	2/6
A HANDBOOK ON HANGING	2/-
Gaston Leval:	
	Id.
THE KRONDSTAT REVOLT	2d.
Icarus:	
	6d.
THE STRUGGLE IN THE FACTORY	3d.
McCartney:	24
	30.
SELECTIONS FROM POLITICAL	
	3d.
*	
27, Red Lion Street,	
London, W.C.I.	
	WE DIE Charles Duff: A HANDBOOK ON HANGING Gaston Leval: COLLECTIVES IN SPAIN A. Ciliga: THE KRONDSTAT REVOLT Icarus: THE KRONDSTAT REVOLT Carus: THE WILHELMSHAVEN REVOLT "Equity": THE WILHELMSHAVEN REVOLT "Equity": THE STRUGGLE IN THE FACTORY McCartney: THE FRENCH COOKS SYNDICATE William Godwin: SELECTIONS FROM POLITICAL JUSTICE ON LAW

LIBERATED SEOUL

This is what happens to humanity when it is liberated twice within three months.

When humanity get given "the works", in mid-twentieth century style, it passes beyond tragedy. Its old ones caper round like crazy goats, its young ones put out more crazy flags in a confused desire to please, its crazy infants surrender in advance, and its spokesmen utter their final crazy verdict; "Sank you!". Which, being interpreted, means that humanity, with the best will in the world, can stand no more liberations like this.

-Caption to Korean photograph in Picture Post, 7/10/50.

LIBERTARIAN ?

Through the Press

Allow me, as a member of the Labour Party, to support your leading article on "Party Manners". A genuine libertarian will avoid like the plague not only the smallest interference with liberty of expression, but anything that might conceivably look like it.

-Letter from Victor Gollancz in News Chronicle, 5/10/50.

Yes, but does the Labour Party consist of "geunine libertarians"?

WASTAGE

A colleague who has been investigating the conscription business says that rather more than half of the 292,000 18-yearolds who are registering this year will not be called up. A tenth of them will be scrubbed-out as medically unfit; of the rest 70,000 will be deferred as apprentices in the engineering, metal and shipbuilding industries. The builders' unions alone account for 23,000. Another 32,000 will claim exemption through agriculture, coal mining or the merchant navy. There are also expected to be about 8,000 students, 3,000 conscientious objectors or hardship cases, and 12,000 more fall into an unfortunate category known as "wastage" which includes, without irony one hopes, the sick, the dead, and the volunteers for regular services.

Public Opinion, 29/9/50. Aren't the other half "wastage", too?

OPTIMISM

In 16 months, Holborn Council have been unable to build a single flat, house or maisonette.

At the present rate, the borough's housing programme would take 300 years to complete.

Star, 22/9/50.

"Workers' Control" in Yugoslavia * Continued from Page One

him just as long to denounce violently such a scheme if it were introduced tomorrow in one of the Cominform countries.

What he and others forget to mention is that during the partisan war, when it was necessary to win the support of the population and of the West, Tito explicitly promised to respect private property. When in power, everything in the towns, including lemonade kiosks, was put under State ownership. Nearly a hundred new ministries were created and run by Tito's "experts": the oil industry by a mathematics mistress, forestry by a University professor of history, trade by a former student of medicine, and housing by an ex-priest! When "decentralisation" was decreed by the Marshal, eager to stress the differences between the structure of the U.S.S.R. and Yugoslavia, many ministries were abolished and replaced by "Economic Councils" with the former ministers (unless purged as Cominform agents) acting usually as chairmen of these

councils and thus remaining in full control.

While after the war factories and mines became State property, the workers were repeatedly encouraged and later forced to speed-up production. The small minority who did so were proclaimed shockworkers, received much higher pay, better food, clothing and shelter, in many cases joined the C.P. and were allowed to share with Marshal Tito all sorts of medals, including that of "Hero of Socialist Work". Their eagerness to act as stooges of the régime to whose secret police they even denounced their mates, made them very unpopular among their fellow-workers who in spite of the long hours of work, paid and "voluntary", found their already low standard of living falling amid challenges for new "Socialist Competitions" and propaganda about "the dignity of labour in a Socialist State."

When, following the steps of Salazar, Franco and Mussolini in Nothern Italy (1943-1945), who all had "syndicalist" legislation inscribed in the statute books

of their dictatorships, Tito announced l latest pet scheme (and it is anybody guess what he will produce next year long as he thinks it will help him), was naturally the shock-workers and th old State directors of factories who, the most reliable elements repeatedly g "elected" to the works councils and in mediately sent telegrams thanking th Marshal for his "brilliant idea" an promising, as in the past, to increase production. The bulk of the workers, in the past, to increase production. Th bulk of the workers, on the other hand continued to use their old weapons absenteeism to an extent unparalleled Western Europe, "go slow", and in mor extreme cases sabotage. They, unlike th foreign visitors and the press liars, se the farce of "workers' control" in society held together by a brutal secre police, C.P. and army, who unfortunately not only show no signs of withering away but sentenced to death only last Augus a worker for stealing in an engineering factory which he, too, was supposed to "control". I.A.

FREEDOM

Up the Garden Path

In the last issue of Freedom, we published a long letter by Gladys Bing entitled "Social Credit Path to Anarchism?" which we promised to answer in this issue. Our reply follows:

TT is a source of some sadness to the producers of Freedom to reflect, as we often do, that quite a considerable minority of our readers are not primarily interested in Anarchism, but read the paper in order to try and find excuses to write to us on the pet subjects in which they are interested.

Now, the purpose of Freedom's existence is to propound Anarchism, both "pure", in theoretical articles on that subject and "applied", in articles on other subjects or comment on topical events from the Anarchist point of view. Our space is limited, and although we are pleased to open our columns to as wide a range of opinion and interest as possible, it is both impossible and undesirable that we publish all the letters we receive on subject like Christian Science, Nature Cure, Nudism, Esperanto, Unitarianism, the Occult, Save the Children Fund, Anti-Vivisection, Hymns for Prisoners, Flying Saucers, Catholicism, Kissing, and Social Credit. The particular bee in Mrs. Bing's bonnet is the last named, and it is painfully obvious that she buys Freedom merely to look for an opportunity to bang us in a letter on Social Credit. She has bought Freedom for some years, apparently, and yet it is clear that she just hasn't the foggiest notion of what Anarchism is all about. This may be our fault, and if all the other readers of as long standing as Mrs. Bing remained as ignorant of the subject as she, we would indeed feel we had fallen down on the job. But they don't, and many new readers, after reading only a few issues, grasp enough of the matter to want to know more, and they invest in some or all of the many pamphlets through which we try to back up the introductory work of Freedom. But not so Mrs. Bing, for her mind is enclosed within the Social Credit Theory to such an extent that she cannot assimilate anything that goes beyond it, but can only retort to the extent of saying that anarchists have little understanding of their own philosophy, let alone anyone else's. But in saying that we proclaim "the beauty and joy of the uttermost brotherly love", we can only assume that Mrs. Bing's sarcasm is running away with her.

brotherly love, for the simple reason we see that "under Social Credit the ink-pot don't feel it. There are a hell of a lot of people we don't love-don't even likebut we have no wish to rule them or be ruled by them and shall be quite content to let them go their way as we go ours. We do propagate solidarity and mutual aid, but for the reason that the only way that an oppressive society can be overthrown is through the solidarity of the oppressed-who are most likely to be the ones wanting to overthrow it-and

CONTROVERSY

that we can all get the best out of life by mutual aid and not by competition. These are practical reasons and nothing to do with brotherly love.

Mrs. Bing refers to "curious experiments in 'workers' control' and isolation in remote villages" being either ridiculous or tragic failures. The tragic failure of the Spanish revolution (as one example) was not due to any lack of understanding of the money machine, as she glibly claims, but to a whole series of complex reasons varying from political intrigue within the anti-Franco forces, to the bombing planes of Hitler and Mussolini and the apathy of the peoples outside Spain.

We do not proclaim the uttermost an ink-pot". We rather gasped then to would be nationalised" And that all we would have to do to build houses, for example, would be to tell our "local banker to phone Cripps and order him to credit [us] with whatever figure is written on a cheque" to supply the money we need to buy the tackle. And the collateral security would be what it now is!

Now, if all this isn't combining the vices of nationalisation and money-createdout-of-an-inkpot, we just can't see from Mrs. Bing's argument what else it is. She accuses us of being vague, but when she tells us that this sort of jiggery-pokery is going to supersede the wage system by incomes earned under workers' own control (when Cripps is sitting on the nationalised inkpot), we can only ask-"Aren't you really a little mixed up?"

Let us state the Anarchist case on money, briefly, as space is running out, and simply, so that Mrs. Bing will not misunderstand.

The money system is no good-and it cannot be made good by pretending that its character can be altered. As long as there is money there must be someone to manipulate it and someone else to do the office work and other useless toil it necessitates. As long as money exists, everyone's needs are measured by it-we are rationed by the pay-packet-or else it becomes meaningless and can be abolished. And that is the Anarchist answerabolish money and let the local banker and Cripps get out on the building site and so some useful work for a change. The Social Creditors know that wealth and money are not the same thing-let the first by balancing them with our

REVIEW FILM MARX TOP

WHEN Marx says, "Will you marry me? How much more in the marry got?-Answer the second question first!" I know I am being presented with some pretty fundamental economic reasoning.

Before our Marxist critics start getting apoplectic, perhaps I should point out that it is Groucho, not Karl, who has the property relationship inherent in bourgeois marriage so nicely weighed up.

In "Duck Soup", the Marx Brothers (Groucho, Chico and Harpo) romp their way through the most hilarious satire on government, militarism, espionage, official pomposity, nationalism, patriotism, war and jobs for the boys, that we are likely to see on the screen for many a long day.

It is not merely, as has been said, a skit on dictatorships, but an attack with the damaging weapons of ridicule upon all the above features of every modern State.

With his job bought as the price of a \$20,000,000 loan by the wealthy Mrs. Teesdale, Groucho, as Rufus T. Firefly, becomes Leader of the State of Freedonia. Chico, spy for a foreign power, masquerading as a peanut seller outside Firefly's palace, is offered the job of Minister of War. Harpo, also a spy, becomes . . . but what's the use of describing it? This is vintage Marx Brothers, and for those of us who have bonds of sympathy for their contempt for respectability and uninhibited attacks on pomposity and coat-tails, this film is one of the classics.

Although "Duck Soup" contains at least two scenes of unsurpassed clowning, it's not just funny stuff and that's all. In all their films, the Marx Brothers portray with complete abandon, the rebellion we all feel against stuffed-shirt law and order. In "Duck Soup" penetrating insight is betrayed also, as in a large-scale musical scene using the idioms of the Hollywood extravaganza and the revivalist meeting to convey an impression of war hysteria.

And during the battle scene, Groucho expresses what is probably in the mind of every war-leader, when, having chosen Harpo to face fire and shot to fetch help, he says, "You go out there and fight for us, and we'll stay here and think what a sucker you are!"

We agree that "workers' control without responsibility to the community is a myth". Anarchists never advocate it, so why write as though we do? We agree with her attitude towards the "State Boards of bankers' stooges," the "totalitarian nightmare" of Fabian socialism, us so organise the production and use of and the various arguments against the "creation by banks of cheque-money out needs, distributing freely to all so that all may give freely to the community of their capabilities, and the social prostitution inseparable from the money and wages systems will be ended for ever.

The Social Creditors, of course, are not against either private property or the profit motive. They obviously have no ethical (or enocomic!) arguments against the worker/boss set-up. What they are probably opposed to most in Anarchism is the fact that Anarchy would do them out of a job. If there is no money-how can they work their theory!

In olden times, only the Court jester could speak plain truths to the King. Today, we have the Marx Brothers.

P.S.

"Duck Soup" is showing with the Italian film "Flesh Will Surrender" at the Curzon Cinema, London, and also in a Festival of Marx Bros. films at Everyman's Cinema, Hampstead, London. There is a re-release of all the old Marx Bros. films in London at the moment (The Academy, Oxford Street, are also showing some), and provincial comrades are advised to make special requests at any local cinemas not bound to the big three circuits to get showings of these films. "Duck Soup" is a must for Anarchists!

NEUROSIS AND SOCIETY

RECENT work in psychiatric theory, especially that of Wilhelm Reich, seems to have raised issues, as regards both the characterisation and treatment of neurosis, the controversial implications of which are not yet fully recognised. The first question raised is as to the degree of objectivity or university which the concept of neurosis shall be held to connote. The relevance to the determination of this question of comparative social study and in particular of ethnographic material is perhaps less apparent to psychologists than to anthropologists, who have done a good service by pointing out (e.g., Ruth Benedict in Patterns of Culture: Routledge, 1935) that behaviour traits, together with affective and conative dispositions, which are commonly called "neurotic" in any given society-pacifist convictions, for instance, or homosexual tendencies-are so not absolutely, but merely in virtue of their incompatibility with accepted social norms or moral and religious principles. The practical implications of the view that the normality of behaviour traits is not definable in naturalistic terms but only by the criterion of social valuation are obvious, and it will follow that a satisfactory definition of neurosis must take into account this relativity. Such a definition may perhaps be arrived at if we say that a neurotic condition is constituted not by the mere occurrence of statistically or culturally abnormal behaviour traits in themselves, but by a certain type of reaction (e.g., "persecution mania") to social disapproval of such traits.

may illustrate this. Whether or not Adler intended his concept to be of universal application, it must appear to a social anthropologist that it cannot be made the basis of a plausible explanation of a neurosis occurring in a society in which the pursuit of power is not valued or made a criterion of "normality". This is not, however, to say that his hypothesis

READERS' OPINION

might have in schemes of political or industrial regimentation, it is fair to add that "integration" describes also the numerous amateur resolutions of conflict achieved by all of us in the interest of social harmony.

The distinction between aim and method in treatment is indicated by the criticism which is frequently made by anarchists and others of Freud, that he neutralised the benefit conferred by him on mankind by his pioneer work in the method of analysis in advocating some sort of voluntary, conscious "re-inhibition" of the drives unleashed by analysis, out of deference to bourgeois standards of morality. It appears, therefore, that the value of a psychotherapeutic technique, although in a sense determined by purely scientific criteria, will in fact be judged by its efficacy in producing what the practitioner considers desirable by ethical or political criteria. This is the point at which speaking of "psychiatric methods of healing" and, in fact, the whole medical analogy, may become very misleading. For the physician, although some organic diseases, such as epilepsy, leprosy, silicosis or syphilis, have a peculiar social significance, the aim of treatment is fairly well defined in naturalistic terms. But the psychiatrist has in many cases to be moralist as well as technician: he has to weigh the claims of an individual against those of society. It is not entirely surprising, therefore, if he concludes, as Reich and others have done, that a case of neurosis implies a defect in society rather than in the individual, in the "healthy" rather than in the "sick". Analysis, on this view, is a process analogous less to healing than to conversion: or it may be said that the relationship of doctor and patient is replaced by that of fellow-revolutionaries.

In reply to this charge, however, the "liberation" school might claim that it does seek to apply "socio-therapy", only not to individuals but to society, since, so long as the "objective" conflicts mentioned by Karen Horney continue to exist, radical elimination of at least one class of neurosis will be impossible. Given, however, that this theory of neurosis lends strong support to the demand for a transformation of social structure and "mores", what sort of society is envisaged as resulting from this change? The "liberation" school, applying logically Freud's theory while lacking his social preferences, seems to make sexual freedom the prime postulate of its new order and looks to support from the anthropological field in particular for the assertion that with the reality of sexual freedom are correlated not only absence of neurosis but also the positive constituents of a more rational type of society than that to which we are accustomed. I conclude by suggesting (I lack the detailed knowledge requisite to do more than suggest) that, insofar as savage peoples are less liable to neurosis than "civilised" folk, it may be due less to their freedom from sexual inhibition than to the greater degree of conformity to social norms displayed by them, and that it remains either to disprove Freud's assertion about the correlation of civilisation and neurosis, or, conceding that, to persuade us that the former is not worth the latter. MARCUS WHEELER.



LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP Lecture-Discussion Meetings are held Every Sunday at 7.30 at THE TRADE UNION CLUB. Great Newport Street W.C., I (near Leicester Square Station) Speaker: Philip Sansom October 15th "CONSTRUCTIVE ANARCHY" Speaker: Dingaan October 22nd

"SOUTH AFRICA-AN EXAMPLE OF THE POLITICAL RACKET" Speaker: Jack Reubens October 29th "TOTALITARIAN TRENDS IN SOCIETY TO-DAY"

ALL WELCOME ADMISSION FREE FULL DISCUSSION

OPEN-AIR MEETINGS

Every Sunday at 3.30 at Regent's Park (near Zoo South Entrance)

Speakers:

Albert Meltzer, S. E. Parker, Jack Rubin, Philip Sansom

GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP

THE WILL TO POWER

Acceptance of some such formulation as this is not, however, a matter of academic interest only. It may entail important modifications in theory as to the origin of or necessary conditions for neurosis, and thus in turn have repercussions on the methods and aims of treatment. The basic tenet of the Adlerian school and its point of departure from Freud, that neurosis is set up by frustration not of

may not be all the more successful when applied to a society, like our own, in which competition or "emulation" is normal. A similar but more flexible theory is that of Karen Horney, who, in The Neurotic Personality of our Time, maintains that in many cases neurosis may be precipitated as a reaction to an "objective" conflict: "objective", that is, within the bounds of the contemporary stage of the culture to which the sufferer belongs.

"LIBERATION" OR "INTEGRATION"

In the light of this examination of the content of neurosis it may now be possible to see what, if I do not oversimplify it, is the impending issue with regard to the aims and methods of treatment of psychological disorders. There is, I believe, a sharp opposition between two sorts of aim, which I would call respectively "liberation" and "integration". Liberation of the impulses, and in particular of the sexual drive, as the aim of psychoanalytic treatment seems especially to be the doctrine of Reich, though having plainly Freudian antecendents. I do not associate the ideal of integration or "rational sublimation" with any one name, though it is not difficult to see that the method it pre-supposes, what might almost be called "socio-therapy", is consonant with Marxian social theory, as well as being hallowed by boy-scout tradition. But, while

IS "CIVILISATION" WORTH ITS RESULTS?

"Liberation", as thus outlined, is, as I suggested, plainly the very reverse of "integration" and is distasteful to many

PRESS FUND

Sept. 23rd to Oct. 6th: Newark, N.J .: per O.M. £16/16/0 (P. Nyman 7/-, A. Mezzo Uno £3/10/0, Los Angeles Picnic £7, A. Carbone 14/-, P. Bonfante £5/5/0); Stratford-on-Avon: C.C. 1/6; Stirling: R.A.B.* 10/-; Anon 2/6; London: M.C.* 2/6; London: J.B.P.* 2/3; Cambridge: C.L.D.* 10/-: Glasgow: A. McD.* 4/-; London: L.G.W.* 5/-; Bolton: R.T.S. 2/-; York: H.A.A.* 9/6; Edinburgh: B.G.* 5/-: London: F.E.D.* 5/-; London: Anon 2/3. £19 17 6 Total £193 9 5 Previously acknowledged ... £213 6 11 1950 TOTAL TO DATE

INDOOR MEETINGS EVERY SUNDAY AT 7 p.m. at the CENTRAL HALLS, 25 Bath Street. with Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw. J. Raeside

COLNE & NELSON DISTRICT

Discussion Group held fortnightly. October 15th, at 2.30 p.m. " PROPAGANDA "

OCT. 29th "DELINQUENCY"

Twisters and Drawers Club, Cambridge Street, Colne (Lancs.)

NORTH-EAST LONDON GROUP

Discussion Meetings Fortnightly 7.30 p.m. Enquiries c/o Freedom Press

Ted Mann OCT. 17th "ANARCHISM AND EDUCATION" Irene Priddy OCT. 31st "THE INSUFFICIENCY OF PACIFISM"



FROM PAGE ONE

Is Korea a New Spain?

we show that we are not afraid to stand up to her." The success of the United Nations in Korea is hailed with great enthusiasm by those who take this view, not unnaturally.

In Freedom we have consistently advanced the view that wars are not caused by ideological rivalries (as Churchill and the lefties claim) but are necessary to the continuance of the system of market economy called capitalism. Unhappily, time will almost certainly show that this view is the more correct one, even if the democracies "stand up" to Russia with the same determination elsewhere as they have shown in Korea.

WHERE THE REAL STRUGGLE LIES

The importance of this question of Korea and Spain lies in this: that for simple anti-appeasers the main question is being stronger than Russia. If this is the main consideration, all other

problems are secondary-and such secondary problems include the defence of civil liberty, the struggle for better living conditions, against the encroachments of the militarized State. In short, the secondary considerations include even the struggle to extend the difference between the democratic State and the fully developed totalitarian tyranny exemplified by Russia.

For anarchists, the main problem is elsewhere. War is not merely an evil in itself-it is that for everyone who is sanc; it is also a process which is ineffectual to stem tyranny, but rather advances the spread of totalitarian patterns. Moreover, we see the mentality of war acceptance and war preparedness as being a precursor of the totalitarian mentality. Since we see this mentality already firmly entrenched not only in frankly totalitarian régimes but also in our own country and America, acceptance of war only

hastens the advance of the very thing which the honest left wing or progressive war acceptors seek to prevent. For us therefore the real struggle is against the principles for which Russia stands as a symbol, but which are by no means confined to the Iron Curtain. For this struggle to be effective it must be absolutely thoroughgoing, and must seek completely to transform the thought and outlook of society. To do so it must not simply react against totalitarian trends in their separate manifestations, but must have a positive outlook on life and towards the living, alive forces in human society. Such an outlook is very much wider than that of the democratic war acceptors like Koestler and his proposed "Legion of Liberty"; but it is more difficult to explain, to reduce to slogans, to "get across". Nevertheless, it is possible that such a viewpoint is more acceptable to peace hungry people than might appear at first sight.

*After initials indicates contributors to the 5/- a month scheme proposed by a London reader.

FREEDOM Anarchist Fortnightly Price 3d. **Postal Subscription Rates** 6 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$1). 12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2). Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies 6 months 7/6 (\$1.50). 12 months 15/- (\$3). Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers. FREEDOM PRESS Red Lion Street London, W.C.I England Tel.: Chancery 8364.

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1, Published by Freedom Press, 27 Red Lion Street, London, W.C 1.