



7884 A 40p  
ALTERNATIVE COMMUNICATION





<sup>2</sup>  
You Can't vote Anarchist,  
You can only be one.

History is His-story. ManSlaughter  
is Mans laughter.

Whoever you vote for,  
Government wins.

Whoever puts his hand on me,  
to govern me, is a usurper  
and a tyrant. I declare  
him my enemy.

Eliminate the vicious  
distortions of authoritarian  
Society.

Self respect is the key.



AS YIMIBOL AS YIM

THE VICINITY-T'S

BOL OF CLIPPER SS IOM

PATRIOT.

Let me appeal to your nationalism  
Let me appeal to your patriotism  
Let me appeal to your civic pride  
Let me give you a flag to wave  
Feel the strength when we march in  
the streets.  
It always feels good when your'e  
part of a crowd.  
Lets all stand underneath this  
banner.  
We're proud of the country  
and all it stands for.  
Cast aside all rational thought  
No need for reason or understanding.  
We've got the power of majority  
Pledge allegiance to the state almighty.  
Support the armed forces give more power.  
Capital punishment for sex offenders  
BUILD up the curfew hour by hour  
Life imprisonment for shoplifters.  
Electric chair for false opinion  
Routine searches for subversive elements  
Bring back the days of the British Empire  
Plant the flag on piles of dead bodies.  
..... Chaos dance band.





# ooh, what a lovely couple.

Here we go again, it's going to be just like Jubilee year. JUST when people were beginning to realise that they were being fucked about with prince charles decides to get married. Just when the unemployment figures reached 2,500,000, just when people were beginning to think for themselves (however little) and realise what shitty lives they lead. There couldn't be a better time for charles to decide to marry.

Thatcher must have got down on her knees and thanked god (?) There is nothing like a royal occasion to play on peoples ignorance and patriotism and to unite the country. Now is the time when everybody will talk excitedly about the 'happy day' and organize street parties to celebrate, (celebrate what exactly i don't know). There will be an atmosphere of forgive and forget. I mean, after all, who gives a toss about being on the breadline and out of work when there's a royal wedding.

For example did you see those people on the telly waiting outside Buckingham palace for hours on end? I didn't know whether to laugh or fucking cry. But who are these people that adore the royal family? Because although not everybody actually worships them, if asked, most people would want to keep the monarchy intact. This country really does have a soft spot for the royal family, in fact all lords and ladies etc. rank high in peoples minds.

YOU can't get away from this monarchy mania, all the media (especially) newspapers as they are run by people high up in the so called "social scale") support the monarchy. Even if they didn't they would have to pretend to or risk going out of business or losing their job. The only people in the media who don't support the monarchy are the writers in the trendy leftish papers. These are so inaccessible and so puffed up with their own importance that most people have never even heard of them let alone tried to read them. So you have the situation where people are so engulfed in pro-monarchy that they pretend to support the royal family out of a fear of appearing abnormal. But out of this fear and the constant stream of media bullshit in the end they really do support the queen and join in the screamings of abuse at those who don't.

There are lots of reasons for the adoration of the monarchy. I suppose mainly though, among the average people, it is patriotism. Ever since the time when we are able to form our own thoughts when we are children we have this idea of patriotism drummed into us. To be patriotic, we are told, is a virtue. We are told that we must all love the country and be loyal to it. But who is it that tells us all these lies? Is it parents and teachers? No. Although they are the immediate people responsible for it they too had these false ideals drummed into them when they were young. And, after all, it is of no real benefit or consequence to them whether we are patriotic or not. No, it is the ruling classes. These people DO benefit from patriotism, because love of, and loyalty to, the country is really love of and loyalty to the ruling classes. Without patriotism the government would have no one to fight its wars. Without patriotism there would be a greatly increased risk of the people rising up against inequality and oppression.

So patriotism governs our lives and the monarchy is a symbol to which this patriotism can be channelled.

The monarchy has no direct governmental power, it has no real say in our welfare:- It is just a symbol.

So why then do we hate it as it doesn't seem to affect us in any way? We hate it precisely because it is a symbol.

A symbol of inequality and oppression. Of course if the monarchy were to disappear inequality and oppression would not also




disappear. But if this symbol WAS removed one of the main reasons for patriotism would no longer be there. Also all the other symbols of the so called "British Life Style" would gradually be removed as they are reliant on the monarchy. Therefore, with nearly all the reasons to be patriotic gone there is a much improved likelihood of people refusing to accept the governments perpetuation of tyranny. The people would open their eyes and see through the corruption and oppression.

We also hate the monarchy because although it does have no power it DOES affect our lives. As it IS a symbol of patriotism and the majority of people are loyal to it, we, the minority who oppose the system have to go through shit if we try and voice our opinion. I don't mean from the authorities (who are obviously intolerant to us) but from Mr. Average on the street. It is not the people who control and protect the system who so hysterically adore the monarchy but the people who have been brainwashed into believing it.

But what is so bad is that the Queen's subjects and supporters realise that she is a parasite and lives in unearned luxury. They know that she is a symbol of inequality and oppression. The thing is though they won't accept, they know it, but refuse to say or see it. Patriotism, and especially adoration of the monarchy is going to be one of the hardest barriers to breakdown.

SAV.....

# WANTED.....





# WHOSE POLICE?

Join the Police and you'd be working with all sorts of people.

There'll be the arrogant types, "Do you realise who I am, Officer?"

And there'll be the insulting ones. "You lot are totally useless. While people are being mugged, raped and murdered, all you can do is tell me my tyres are bald"

You'll be called "Pig" by trouble-makers at a demo. And "Filth" by louts at a football match.

You'll come across down and outs, junkies, and drunks.

Occasionally you'll encounter the out and out villain, who may be downright vicious too.

To deal with people like these you'll need to be firm, level-headed and sometimes courageous. There'll be times when you'll need the patience of a saint, and a strong sense of humour.

But not everyone you meet is going to be unsavoury. Most will be helpful, some will be kind. "You look perished, Officer. Fancy a cuppa?"

The idea in the advert on the left is the typical attitude of the police to the people of this country. At first glance it seems harmless enough but that is only a surface picture. When it is studied closely it shows up the police opinion of us very well. They see us an endless list of criminals waiting to be arrested. Except for a few of us we are all potential convicts. Taking another look at the advert the bit that says "you'll be called pig by trouble -- makers at a demo" lays bare police attitudes best of all. It is of their opinion that anyone who attends a demonstration or protests against something is not a citizen carrying out his legal rights but a mindless thug. They think that anyone who is different from the norm or hasn't got the safe and accepted opinions is a troublemaker and should be locked up. But there is nothing the police like more than a demonstration or a picket because there is normally so many people and so much confusion that they can beat up on people as much as they like ( and they do like a lot ) without the fear of being caught. But sometimes they go a bit too far and it all comes out into the open like when Blair Peach was murdered by the S.P.G. Now that it has been admitted that members of the S.P.G have sledgehammers (momentoes) in their lockers they have been forced to carry out their beatings in a much lower profile.

Ever since I can remember there has been this myth of the "British Bobby". The kindly man who helps old ladies across the road and gives

you directions. This picture of the British police is a widely held belief. They are still considered to be ~~very~~ infallible and incorruptible. If there ever is a "scandal" that the media gets hold of the policeman involved is either a solitary "bad apple" or he has been set up by subversives hell bent on undermining the country's faith in the law. It must be said that the British police force are nowhere near as BLATANTLY oppressive as forces in other countries. (They can't show too much strength or the people might stop believing the lie that this country is a free country). But at times though the police do show themselves up for what they really are.

When you think about it, the fact that the general public do have so much faith in the police and that they do believe that the police are there to protect them it is pathetic and almost laughable. The police are here to protect nothing but the status quo and the ruling classes. They are here to stop social change and to keep things how the ruling classes want them. They are here to stop you acting in a way that is "likely" to interfere with the governmental system.

Just lately there has been yet more talk about increasing police power and strengthening the law. Violent crime and theft has never been so high we are told. (Although I shouldn't put too much faith in the government's figures etc. they always show us endless lists of statistics to give weight to their reasons for increasing police power and therefore decreasing our liberties).

CONT. OVER.....



But of course, i must be stupid, this claim of increased crime can't have anything to do with unemployment or bad housing. No, it has happened overnight for absolutely no reason whatsoever. So how does the government intend to combat this crimewave that has appeared suddenly out of the blue? Of course i don't really need to tell you, they increase police power and numbers and introduce stiffer penalties for offenders.

The ready made answer  
But the fear of the  
law into the people  
and they won't dare  
step out of line. EVER-  
yday in the papers  
you can read letters  
(normally from retired  
colonels or clergymen)  
that give you the often  
used, stale rubbish  
about "not enough dis-  
cipline". They also  
AGREE that a stronger  
police force is needed  
but say that this might  
incur a lot of expense.  
No, these idiots have  
got the other ready



made answers that will suit any purpose. They want to "get them while they're young". Discipline (brainwash) must start in the home. The parents must be strict and should keep their children to a well organised routine. The attendance of church is of the utmost importance and this should be taught to the child right from the start. The scouts and any other military style boys organisations are also a must as they instill the first senses of patriotism. The child ought to be weaned only on good clean literature with lots of loyalty, religious overtones and other splendid virtues (preferably Kipling). He or she should only speak when spoken to, and cold showers and bromide should be given unsparingly to control any unhealthy urges. Any breaking of the rules ought to be dealt with by means of corporal punishment (why not throw in capital punishment just for good measure?).

If you replace the word 'parents' with 'school' exactly the same rules apply. Of course the children must attend only a good army style school, that goes without saying. They must only learn suitable subjects. English (no 'bolshy' writers are to be read), maths (well disciplined subject, good for future life) and of course some history. History lessons are very important for instilling patriotism in the child. It is very good for the morale to learn about the wonderful battles that we superhuman english won. There's no need to say anything about the ones that we lost, the english must appear to be unbeatable. Also the history lesson is a very good time to tell the children about the nasty 'subversives' that eat young girls and boys and boil virgins in oil. But by far the most important subject is good old religious instruction. This is taught on the assumption that 1. god exists and 2. there is no need to show proof that he does as all the children have no doubt about it (they'd better not question his existence or it will be the firing squad for them). Religion is very useful for proving that the ruling classes morals are good and proper and that there is no need to question them as they have gods sanction. It can also be used to show that it is gods wish that the masses are ruled by a few as it



5  
was god himself that chose the people that must rule. After all, god's got to have someone to administer his laws or there would be anarchy wouldn't there? And we don't want that, do we?

Teach all of this in a well disciplined atmosphere, clamp down on any rebellious tendencies and you can't go wrong. At the end of their schooling children should be conscripted into the armed forces for a term of, say, three years. When this period is over the system will have churned out perfect law abiding citizens (but most important to the government, unthinking law abiding citizens).

So there we are nothing to worry about, the crime problem can be solved, just leave it to the people that know best and we can sleep tight in our beds at night.

Of course it doesn't enter the governments heads that people might steal because they are hungry or that they need money to pay the rent. Oh no ! people only steal because they have no respect for the governments authority, people steal because they are subversives and the thrill of stealing makes them come in their pants.

But seriously, crimes are committed because people would rather steal than go without the things that they need to live. Plus the fact that this country is geared to commercialism and consumerism and relies tremendously heavily on advertisement.

People feel alienated and 'wrong' if they haven't got all things that are symbols of a so called civilized and affluent society.

People have been brought to believe in and expect material wealth and when they see it in front of their eyes like a carrot they naturally want it. Especially when they see everyone around them with the much sought after products, this feeling of being the 'odd one out' only serves to increase their desire for what they cannot afford.

Take for example, a man who has always worked and never really gone without. Over those years he has been accustomed to a certain standard of living so that his particular way of life IS him and he can't do without it. Then he is no longer needed, he is thrown on the scrap heap and is unemployed. He can no longer afford to maintain his standard of living that he has grown accustomed to. Now, I don't mean that he will die if he can't keep up this standard, but because his way of life has suddenly changed it greatly affects him psychologically. He can't come to terms with going without the things he has been so used to. So what does he do? He steals them. Now, the state of his mind is not his fault. He has given his life to work for somebody elses profit and all through that time he has been led to believe that his way of life will continue until he dies. But of course this is not true, he is thrown away like the scrapings off a plate. Of course he steals. Did the government honestly think he wouldn't? People should steal, wouldn't you, rather than go without?

Say there was a situation where you had nothing. No shelter, no water, no food, nothing and no chance of getting anything by the 'proper procedure' of buying it. Would you sit there like a cripple and die? Would you waste away in the gutter like a good law abiding citizen? I know I wouldn't, I'd steal and so would anyone if they had any sense.

You are forever reading in the papers about violence especially racial and youth violence. This is terrible they say, it must be stamped out. But they don't really want it to be stopped. A lot of the violence actually stems from the media, from articles about different youth subcults and what they do and who they like fighting with etc.. the media and government like to see violence on football terraces and on the street, they like the bank holiday riots and racist attacks. They are glad that the S.W.P attack the N.F and B.M and vice versa. Not only because it sells newspapers but because while the people in this country are fighting each other the government knows that there won't be a serious threat to their authority. Young peoples energy and convictions are always directed and channelled away from the system to each other. That is why there is always interviews and articles about SKINHEADS, PUNKS, MODS, ROCKERS etc.. because they know that there will always be somebody



Bully Boys out fighting-  
it's still the same  
old game...





10

who will read it and take offense and start yet another youth war. For the same reason the police always pick on minority groups and set them against each other. Their trick is to divert peoples anger, and while we blindly accept it and carry on fighting each other we will never get anything changed in this country.

So the police ARE NOT HERE to protect us from the bogeyman but to keep the ruling classes in power, to uphold the ruling classes morals and standards and above all to get rid of any unnecessary obstacles that might put a spanner in the works. But getting back to the way the police force carry out law and order in this country. The reasons why you get harassment, discrimination, suppression and physical violence from the police can be summed up in one word. PROMOTION... Policemen are not promoted on the merit of being a 'good' policeman, it doesn't matter if he acts according to the law or if the crime rate in his area is low. All that matters is the number of people he arrests and are convicted. So is it any real wonder that torture is used to extract confessions? Is it any wonder that people are arrested for crimes that they did not commit? The obvious way to get more arrests under your belt is to harass people, to use any method under the sun to get people in prison. It's no wonder that the police are so corrupt. And police oppression is not just a symptom of capitalist societies but the difference between capitalist and totalitarian police forces is that the latter are more blatantly oppressive (we all know about russia).

The only way to stop police oppression is to remove the police force and the only way to remove the police force is to abolish government. You can only stop oppression of any kind by creating a free society where the only law is a mans conscience. Crime will not exist in such a society because all the reasons for crime will no longer be there.

When there is not a wage system what will prompt people to steal?

We have already said that normally people only steal rather than go without.

When everybodys needs are met people will not need or feel the desire to steal.

But what about murder?

How would people be stopped from killing each other?

There are different motives for murder and violence and all of them are born of this system of government.

#### 1. THE POLITICAL MURDER.

This is where a believer of a certain doctrine feels justified in killing someone to further his cause. This type of murder would disappear as we would all be free to live our life in anyway we chose. A group of capitalists or fascists or authoritarian communists could set up their own communities and live in them under their own rules. But i shouldn't think that they would last for long when the members see and realise that everybody else is living a far better life than they are. Also when there is no oppression there will be no "freedom fighters" fighting against the ruling classes, simply because there would be no ruling classes.

#### 2. Murder for the purpose of robbing somebody.

This would obviously not exist as there would be no reason to rob anybody.

#### 3. Murder by insane people.

These killings and acts of violence are the ones most obviously born of the system we live in today. Most psychopaths are insane because of the enviroment that they were brought up in. Take away the oppression and exploitation and replace it with freedom and kindness and the number of psychopaths would gradually decline and finally disappear. Of course i'm not saying that as soon as we have an anarchist society all the insane people will suddenly become sane, and of course there will always be insane people. But the present system of law and order doesn't stop psychopathic killings, and is locking up an ill person a kind and considerate way to treat somebody? For afterall, that is what insane people are, ill. These poor people should be looked after in a free and caring society where they will enjoy the same freedom as everybody else, living and working among freinds that have a great concern for them.

The only way to stop crime, oppression and corruption is to remove the reason for their existance....sav.



☐ THE forward march of emancipated women goes on apace. And their latest advance has taken them into two traditional areas of male preserve. Of who pays the bill—in the pub and the restaurant.

THIS WAS taken from the DAILY EXPRESS .....

Now I'm not a woman so I can't speak with any real authority about the liberation movement. But anybody can see that 'women's lib' is being undermined. NOT blatantly (you can't notice it unless you look hard), but subtly. I thought that women who wanted to be liberated wanted to fight against, and be free of, the male dominated world. I thought that they wanted to fight against ALL THE sexist prejudice that prevails today. But if you believe the idea put across by the DAILY EXPRESS (and there are many other similar ones in the rest of the mass media all the time) what they want to do is not change male dominated society but just to be part of it by joining in the oppression eg. by becoming executives, managers,

property and business owners, policewomen and soldiers etc etc..... Now I can't really believe that that is true but that is the picture put across by the newspapers. If it is true then I disagree with it. Another part of women's lib I find disturbing is that of women being sexist towards men, that is no better (or worse) than male sexism. The same is true of black racists who hate all white people just because they are white. Don't say it doesn't happen because it does and it's no better than belonging to the National Front or British Movement. ALL bigotry is wrong, whoever is on the receiving end. There is so much prejudice in society and a lot of it could be called 'inverted snobbery', such as working class people hating middle class people not because of what the middle classes are or represent but simply because they live a different life style, have different tastes and most important of all because they talk 'funny'. Now then, according to the Marxists etc. this is fine, this is fine because it's the 'class war'. BUT it is not class war for economic reasons or reasons of authority. It is just blind bigoted hate.

MIDDLE CLASS WORKING CLASS IT'S ALL A LOAD OF SHIT.....

Prejudice comes in many different forms. We all condemn colour prejudice but don't think twice about Irish jokes and discrimination and cheap jibes about homosexuals and physically or mentally handicapped people.

We must all fight against the male dominated world for a society free of ALL prejudice. A society of freedom and equality one where we all have the liberty to live our life the way we choose. An anarchist society.

PREJUDICE IS IGNORANCE..... sav.



"get back to the bleedin' kitchen n' skin those mammoths, before I skin you."

4(A)Z



# morality murders.



How can the church or government even beg-in to call itself moral? Does being moral include murdering people? Does being moral make it o.k to condemn people to drudgery and ignorance? If this is so i reject their morals. Or maybe there are two sets of morals and standards, one for me and you that says we are imprisoned for life for killing somebody and another set for the government, who, when, they kill people are congratulated on safeguarding freedom against subversives. Freedom? What fucking freedom?

How can Hiroshima or Nagasaki be morally justified? To be moral does not mean acting justly and rightly as the dictionary says or having high standards. It means

anything the ruling classes want it to mean. They tell us that we're obscene. They condemn us for using 'naughty' language. Well they are the ones that are obscene. They are the ones that are disgusting. They are the ones that make people homeless, they are the ones that make people jobless. They force women to have backstreet abortions. They take our freedom. They tax everything we have. They kill people in Ireland. They imprison us. they fill us with media bullshit. They turn a blind eye to shitty drugs that deform unborn children. They make bombs and guns with our money. They order the use of these weapons. They are the ones that contaminate us with radioactive dust. They put people in mental homes. They kill people in police cells.

They are the ones guilty of an endless list of obscenities.

YOU CAN FUCK THEIR SHITTY MORALS.....sav.





THIS IS AN ORDER FROM THE UNITED POLICE FORCE  
OF GREAT BRITAIN:

THE MINDS OF THE YOUNG PEOPLE TODAY ARE BEING INFILTRATED  
BY THE SO CALLED DE-GENERATION.

THESE PEOPLE'S IDENTIFICATION ARE ON FILE. WE HAVE ORDERS  
FROM THE NEW GOVERNMENT TO DETAIN THESE PEOPLE  
IMMEDIATELY. THESE PEOPLE MUST BE DETAINED IMMEDIATELY.

are **YOU** receiving?

KILLING JOKE Listen to reality.





# VERSEVERSEVERSE

## WASTED LIFE.

Dull corporation,  
bleak council block.  
Grey weary faces with  
a blank emty look.  
Tea and toast for breakfast,  
early morning call.  
White plastic lunch box,  
must obey the rule.  
Keep to the middle  
the unbending line.  
Wasted nothing normal,  
wasted nothing life.  
Regulation drainpipes are  
regulation red.  
Regulation Sundays  
spend the morning in bed.  
Stained flower wallpaper,  
television set.  
Up at six, bed at nine.  
The roundabout of death.  
Unquestioning acceptance  
unthinking thoughtless mind.  
A life of clockwork boredom  
with no hope to shine.  
To offer inspiration  
to drag you back to life.  
A spark of aspiration  
to see the strong true light.  
...sav...,

## SIL.(exacution).

Christ, carrier of mortal sin  
Christ, moral battles from within  
christ, let the sinners in  
assist me to walk away in sin  
christs card is lowered in index  
turn.  
christ the man who never learns  
christs mind is made of wood  
take a seat and watch it burn  
christ in crucifixion ecstasy  
christs cross chequered in agony  
make him bleed continiously  
holes in body weep for me  
christ, oh you sordid sight  
christ in your splintered plight  
christ in holy remembrance  
secret bliss on your last night  
you did your best  
you changed the rest  
you took our minds  
to make us blessed  
christ here are your people  
christ you are so feeble.  
yes these are your people  
and they have come to mock you  
christ you thought you died for them

## SUCKERS.

Piles upon rows upon piles upon  
rows of human bodies dumped in  
the street.  
Scarcely breathing, a whimper a  
moan, no light in the unseeing  
eyes of the rotting meat.

The air hangs heavy with the  
sweet sickly smell. The final  
solution of war mongers lust.  
We are the suckers, the blind  
and the fools. We will suck up  
this settling death dust.

Trapped in the temple of oppress-  
-ion and war, the screaming and  
puking is lost in the crowd.  
The dead mutant body that once  
was a man can hear the laughing  
that comes from under the  
ground.

Defensive deterrence stand back  
take a look, you'll see no  
protection when the holocaust  
comes. No shelter will save you  
when the bombs hit your home.  
The only protection is to be  
found in your tomb.

SAV...

christ you took the minds of men  
christ do you remember when  
before you came, men were men..

## SCAPE GOAT.

A pointing finger, accusing you.  
your heart beats quicker someones  
looking at you.  
chorus: you're just a scapegoat  
scapegoat for another ones aggres-  
-sion, scapegoat scapegoat for socie-  
-ty's oppression.  
you move too quickly a clutching  
fist  
An intake of verbal you're a fucking  
mess.

chorus.

your chainmail armour won't help you  
against steel rimmed hatred of  
society's coup.


chorus.

you say you've got rights but they  
don't count.  
you're just a born loser to societys  
stunts.

EXACUTION.....



# thinking of YOU



These two, Reagan and Thatcher, think of themselves as human beings i've got a decidedly lower opinion of them. Thatcher has been in power for 1 years now and she has put this country into the greatest depression since the '30's'. Not through incompetence or lack of understanding but on purpose.

She follows a policy called 'monetarist' and we can see all around us what that means. She is a blind and stupid bigot. She absolutely refuses to see what her government is doing. It is obvious that she is sacrificing us all to her god the "experimental monetary policy". We are all suffering just for the sake of her poxy experiment. I hate all governments in whatever shape or form but i have a special hatred for this particular one. And now that pillock Reagan is ruling america christ knows what's going to happen. After all he believes in the same form of government as the U.K's and he actually worships Thatcher. At the time of writing Thatcher and Reagan have just got together for a mutual orgasm session where they both wanked over each others perverted ideals. They both swore to stop "Soviet expansionism" by defending the Gulf areas where we (coincidentally) get a lot of our oil from.

Maybe more importantly Thatcher has given verbal support (on our behalf?) for Reagan's actions in El Salvador.

Now for those of you who don't know let me give you a few rudimentary facts about El Salvador. 75 per cent of the children suffer from malnutrition. The infant mortality rate is 5 times that of the U.K AND 1/4 OF THE children that survive at birth die before they are one year old. At the moment the unemployment rate is 75 per cent. Marxist guerillas have been fighting a civil war for the last three years against the government and right wing terrorists. Already this year alone, 3,000 people have died. It is the age old story of right wing fighting left wing and stuck in the middle are ordinary



# COMING SOON!

SEE THE WORLD'S THREE  
MOST DANGEROUSLY POTENT  
PEOPLE EXCITE EACH OTHER  
INTO EXPLOSIVE ECSTASY.

IT'LL BLOW YOUR MIND  
DAILY BLAH.

MUG'IN THATCHER!

RUBBER RAEGAN!  
USHF

FRIGID KNOKABOLLOCKOFF!  
USSR.

GUARANTEED TO MAKE  
AN IMPACT ON  
ALL THE FAMILY!  
NON-EXISTENT TIMES.

in  
**COME,  
COME,  
COME TOGETHER.**

FREE ADMISSION FOR ONE AND ONLY SHOWING.

GAZ



people. The marxists should have realised by now that violence is wrong and never has and never will achieve anything. The oppressive right wing authorities are systematically killing the people. Anyone who is considered to be a 'subversive' is brutally murdered. To be a subversive in El Salvador does not mean that you are an active revolutionary. If you just voice the opinion that you think the government is wrong or that you dislike the right wing you are a marked man and could be killed at any time. The 'subversives' include christian nuns and priests and just to be poor singles you out for the execution squad. This rising of the people against a cruel and harsh dictatorship is what the americans are calling a communist takeover. El Salvador is where Reagan is making his stand against russian imperialism, with absolutely no concern or regard for what the people of El Salvador want.

Being added to the weapons that the U.S has already supplied to the right wingers is another \$20 million worth of arms and a team of 54 military 'advisors'. So now the right wing terrorists will be able to kill more people more easily. El Salvador is already being likened to Vietnam but the americans refuse to accept this. They say that their increased military aid will make peace much more possible and that they have no intention of sending in soldiers. Ah, but of course, the americans must want peace, what better way to achieve it than to give weapons to the terrorists and show them how to use them etc.. We should all have realised, the more people that are killing each other the more peace there is, (according to this strategy then, if we want peace the whole population of the world should commit suicide).

These evil lies and actions are what Thatcher pledged her support to. Are we going to sit on our backsides and let this murder carry on? We must force Thatcher to leave N.A.T.O. and never enter into any offensive (defensive? it's all the same thing: WAR) military agreement with ANYONE again. This country must dismantle, get rid of, destroy all her weapons. Not just nuclear but ALL weapons.

NEVER AGAIN MUST PEOPLE DIE FOR SOMEBODY ELSE'S BIGOTED POLITICS....

sav....

---

## apartheid .v. apartheid.

The action of Guyana refusing entry to Robin Jackman of the english cricket team because of his sporting associations with south africa raises some important questions.

Should a country stop somebody's freedom of movement because of his politics (or any other reason) ?

Also should a man's country stop his freedom of movement because of that country's politics?

Put more simply and to the point the questions are:

1). Should Guyana have let Robin Jackman in ?

2). Should Britain, by law, stop association with south africa ?

The situation in s. africa is that of apartheid which, as we know, is the segregation of the black and white people. For the blacks this practically no freedoms at all. Most of the effects of apartheid are experienced (although to a lesser degree) in other parts of the world. These include gross inequality in education, housing, working and living standards etc.. But even in a country as unfree as Britain at least most of the time we can be pretty sure of talking, living or sleeping with who we wish (this is still ~~xxxxxx~~



HINdered by taboos that have survived from the 19th century). And we do have a certain amount of freedom of movement (INSIDE Britain and on 'PUBLIC' property that is).

This is what apartheid is about then, the non-existence of these two natural freedoms that should be intrinsic to, and the very base of, any society.

Guyana, as should be any sane country, is strongly opposed to apartheid. But surely, to my eyes anyhow, by stopping Robin Jackmans freedom of movement i.e. by refusing his entry to Guyana, that country is committing the same injustice as s.africa.

With one hand they ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ condemn apartheid and with the other, in effect, commends it.

The same argument applies to question 2). Should the government of Britain impose restrictions on peoples associations with s.africa? WHAT GREATER HYPOCRISY? First to express disapproval of apartheid then to go on and say I forbid you, on risk of imprisonment, to have any dealings with the country that upholds that system. The apartheid in s.africa is essentially a list of restrictions on the individual. If the government of Britain, or of any other country, brought in a law stopping freedom of movement to or freedom of association with s.africa where would be the difference?

Both countries would be perpetuating monstrous restrictions on the individuals freedoms and above all on his conscience. Apartheid in s.africa must be brought to an end. That belief is, or should be, held by anybody with an ounce of sanity and a genuine concern for human welfare and freedoms. But anybody that says that it must be done in any other way than voluntary boycotts and action can't really care all that much for individual freedom, he must have some ulterior motives. The only effective and long lasting way of destroying apartheid is by bringing to the peoples notice, and keeping it there, the horror that goes on in s.africa. The people of Britain are ~~generally~~ generally an apathetic lot so it would only be through constant anti-apartheid propaganda and action that they would be moved to voice together their disapproval of the system in s.africa. At first this would probably be just talk, but if pushed, people would take action to show their commitment to stopping apartheid.

This action could take many different forms.

The most obviously potent ones would be the disruption of any occasion when a prominent south african politician or sportsman visits the U.K. (This already happens on a small scale). Also workers should refuse to manufacture or supply any goods of whatever shape or form to s.africa. It must be stressed, though, that all this action should be on a completely voluntary basis. For if it were not and people were forced to join boycotts their attitude to the anti-apartheid movement would, in all probability, change from apathetic to hostile. But if peoples consciences were worked on well enough I think that there would be a massive and effective protest against apartheid. (And what better time for anarchists to move in and talk to people and show them that the only way to get rid of all inequalities and restrictions, of which apartheid is an example, is in an anarchist society where freedom is as guaranteed to the individual as is the air that he breathes)...sav.

## C.U.G.

For a while now, in canterbury, there has been a loosely formed unemployment group. It is made up of local employed and unemployed workers meeting together after the initiative of the area Trades COUNCIL. In short it's aims are to run premises for unemployed people in and around canterbury. A centre of this kind would have a great potential of uses but mainly it would be a place for



unemployed workers to meet, talk and organise themselves so that they won't be treated as though they are just unpleasant statistics. There will be somebody there all the time who will give welfare help concerning social security benefits, housing etc. This form of help will be much more useful to the unemployed than the standard 'help' they are supposed to receive from the authorities. When people go for help at the dole office they obviously feel alienated and often don't get 'personal' help but are treated coldly and made to feel small. But when they go to an unemployment centre run by unemployed people they will get help on a friendly person to person basis.

A number of people in the community are illiterate and a much larger number, although they can read and write, find it very difficult to express themselves. Therefore they come up against immeasurable difficulties when it comes to writing letters to the social security offices. For this reason the welfare help at the centre for unemployed will give help or in many cases write the letter for them.

With these aims in mind the C.U.G. BEGAN A CAMPAIGN TO add weight to its proposals i.e. an unemployment centre. This was to prove very difficult as Canterbury is a strong Tory council that goes out of its way to fulfill Thatchers policies in making massive cuts in the peoples welfare. Unperturbed though, the c.u.g handed out leaflets at the dole office and in the town. They got together a petition of 3,600 signatures and this was handed into the council. The night our motion was on the agenda a small number of the c.u.g demonstrated outside the Guildhall and a few of us went inside the meeting. We were kept waiting from 7.30 p.m until 1.15 a.m. before the motion came up. This was dealt with in two minutes flat and passed onto the Estates Committee (although the leader of the council, Tory councillor Porter, tried to get it on to his policy committee where, no doubt, he would have got rid of it as quick as lightning)

We attended the next estates committee meeting and were told that although they thought it a good idea (yeah i bet) there were no 'suitable' available. We had a very strong feeling beforehand that this would be the decision.

During this time we had still been leafletting but, in all honesty had no support from the local unemployed workers (the old story of the famous British apathy).

We decided that we wouldn't sit around while the council forgot about us but took matters into our own hands. All Saints church let us have use of their adjoining hall on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays from 9 a.m 'till 12 noon. We moved in on Tuesday 17th March and a few people came down during that week for welfare help. Overall though, the attendance was dismal (even if tea is only 5p and coffee 7p!!) but it's only early days yet.

Some people wanted to charge an entrance fee but this was decided against, leaving funds to the sale of tea and coffee and the collection of voluntary donations.

On the 6th April at 10 a.m (ALL SAINTS CHURCH HALL MILITARY ROAD CANTERBURY) there is to be a public meeting where further action etc. will be discussed, and a type of committee set up.

Also there is a march from Canterbury to Whitstable and back on May 2nd starting at Canterbury dole office at 10.15 a.m. It is hoped that the local unions will support this march and send delegates with donations.

Apart from not having the actual permanent centre there only appears to be one black spot, and that concerns the Trades Council. It was the Trades Council who originally set up the c.u.g and it seems



that on the proposed committee they will have 5 members (the other 5 to be elected from the unemployed). The Trades Council say that 'in the ~~end~~ end' the centre will be run by unemployed workers but in the meantime the Trades Council will have a large say in it's running. This is obviously wrong so it is important that anarchists support the c.u.g and make sure that the centre is run totally by the unemployed. The people that will run the centre and support the c.u.g must be militant because it is certain that when we do get the centre (and make no mistake we will) the city council will try and get it run as they like and even try to blackmail us with it. This sort of thing must not happen so the unemployed have to be ready to stop it.

Remember, please support the canterbury unemployment group.

PUBLIC MEETING: ALL SAINTS CHURCH HALL. MILITARY ROAD C/BURY April  
6th 10a.m

MARCH: -CANTERBURY DOLE OFFICE 10.15 A.M TO WHITSTABLE AND BACK.  
may 2nd. sav..

## THE FUTURE MUST BE OURS

We are told that nuclear power is needed to maintain our standard of living. We are told that nuclear power is needed for when coal and gas run out.

Nuclear power is cheap but not as cheap as the government rates our lives. Of course some resources can't last for ever so alternative sources of energy must be found. The truth of the matter is, as always, the government is putting money before human lives. Sun, wind and wave power have not been looked into anywhere as much as is needed and only a tiny fraction of the money given to research on nuclear power has been given to these other alternative energy sources.

The fight to stop the acceleration of the use of nuclear power is just as important as that against nuclear weapons. And it is not only for the obvious reason of radiation. The scientists and 'experts' that work for BNFL (British nuclear fuels ltd.) inform us that there is hardly any chance of being contaminated by radiation. It's a chance I don't want to take. But apart from radiation you can picture the other effects that nuclear power will have on us. For a start the more nuclear power the more uranium and plutonium and therefore more nuclear weapons. The government will be killing two birds with one stone, an easy cheap answer to our fuel problems and much more cheaper material to make weapons with. ME and YOU lose out both times. Also with all these power stations and bomb factories how do we know that Thatcher's not flogging bombs to previously nuclear free countries? After all it would improve our export figures and that's all politicians seem to care about. The more nuclear power we get the more the rest of the world will get. Planet earth will just be a pile of highly explosive polluted shit. But of course with all this nuclear fuel and technology around the government doesn't want it to fall into the wrong hands eg. terrorists (WHO USE ILLEGAL VIOLENCE AS OPPOSED TO THE GOVERNMENT'S LEGAL VIOLENCE). So these terrorists have got to be stopped from getting hold of anything that they could make bombs with or there would be big trouble for all of us. The government has to bring in safety measures and security. Now, this doesn't just mean guards and patrols outside the power stations (although that would be bad enough for the people that work there). No, it means our liberties are reduced. It means that under the government's security plans we are all suspected as spies and saboteurs. We are the ones that will have our mail opened and 'phones bugged. We are the ones that will have our homes searched and ransacked. We will be the ones stopped in the street and harassed. The more nuclear power stations there are the more our liberty is reduced. Until finally the



country's energy will be so centralised there would 'have' to be martial law to protect it. So therefore, in the end, the nuclear power, that is meant to maintain and raise our standard of living either kills us with contamination or condemns us to a life under martial law.

NUCLEAR POWER ? NO THANKS.

Don't just sit there whining, protest against nuclear power. HERE are the addresses of some organisations that are already campaigning against a nuclear future and all that goes with it.

ANARCHISTS UNITE. THE FUTURE MUST BE OURS.

FRIENDS OF THE EARTH/9 POLAND ST W.I (01-437621

HACKNEY ANTI NUCLEAR GRP / 83 BLACK STOCK RD N.4 (01-2261799)

LONDON ANTI-NUCLEAR ALLIANCE 6 ENDSLEIGH ST WC.1 (01-3875370)

ANTI-NUCLEAR CAMPAIGN/256 BATTERSEA PK RD W.II (01-2239115).

# alternative communication

This is the first of, we hope, many issues of ALTERNATIVE COMMUNICATION. I suppose we had better give a few reasons why we have decided to put out this magazine/fanzine/paper.

As you can probably gather from the title we are trying to communicate with people in an alternative or different way. It is an alternative not only to the rubbish that you read in the mass media eg. daily papers, music papers and any lies that are printed by big business but also an alternative to the majority of 'fanzines' that are produced. Although fanzines are good in a way they seem to limit themselves mostly to music. Fanzines really started to appear with the punk movement. At first they were a refreshing change from the music papers but now they seem to have regressed into an amateurish copy of the ~~very~~ very papers they seeked ~~to~~ to undermine or be an alternative to.

In this first issue the only real reference to music is a few lyrics, mainly because we didn't want to get involved in the 'fanzine' attitude which in all honesty really only worships the bands that in the first 'said' that they didn't want to be worshipped. The music scene has turned a full circle and the only differences from the era before punk is a few independent record labels. But out of the punk movement there has evolved a whole new generation of anarchists. This paper is trying to write for those anarchists and also, and much more importantly, is hoping to turn more young people to anarchism, to get them to think for themselves and to reject that the system offers. Getting people to think for themselves is harder than it sounds. ~~X~~ You probably have the idea that you do think for your self. BUT DO YOU ?

You must stop and look at your life. Every aspect of that life is not really life at all and when you see that that is true then you will really be using your mind and you will reject the system and fight for its downfall. Anyway that's a rough idea of why we have started this paper. Also we want to report on local happenings and how they affect us as people. It is only through strong local anarchist movements that we will have any chance of changing anything in this land.

As far as I know there is no anarchist group in this area so if anyone is genuinely interested in starting up a FEDERATION OF EAST KENT ANARCHISTS get in touch with either:-

Gary Seymour/38 Douglas rd/herne bay/Kent. or:-

Andrew Savage/18 oakwood rd/sturry/nr canterbury/Kent.

And last but in no means least this paper can only come out at reasonably regular intervals if there is enough material to put in it. So if you have any letters, articles, ideas, poems, song lyrics or anything that you want printed send it to one of the above addresses. Also if anyone knows where we can get ALTERNATIVE COMMUNICATION photo-copied either free or dirt cheap please contact us, thank you.

Thanks to steve and friends for paper and to carl for photo-copying.  
COVER BY ALAN AND GAZ.....



# Vengeance is Ours through Action

don't just sit there and agree  
with what we've got to say, get off  
your ass and do something about it.

